Abortion in the Court: The Impact of Abortion Views on Public Opinion of the Supreme Court

Robert Heins
Chapman University, heins102@mail.chapman.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/cusrd_abstracts
Part of the American Politics Commons, and the Supreme Court of the United States Commons

Recommended Citation
http://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/cusrd_abstracts/226

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Office of Undergraduate Research and Creative Activity at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Student Research Day Abstracts and Posters by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact laughin@chapman.edu.
Introduction

This poster will explore how the Supreme Court’s rulings in abortion cases have affected the public’s opinion of it. Abortion is one of the most complex identity aspects for citizens in recent American politics. Religion, women’s rights, and party identification all play a role in how one determines their stance on abortion. As a result of this, the subject has had a diverse and complicated history since its inception. Lee Epstein and Joseph Kobylyka’s book, *The Supreme Court and Legal Change*, goes in part through the complex history. From the 1930s through the 1960s abortion was a non-issue for citizens, with no public opinion polls taken regarding it and the media not discussing it. In 1958, however, Planned Parenthood released their first statements regarding abortion, and in 1962 the American Law Institute adopted an extremely liberal abortion code, beginning the controversy that would continue for decades.

Results

Upon completing the analysis I checked the Durbin-Watson statistic for each year. For all the years the Watson statistic were 1.861, 2.093, and 2.053. These values are within acceptable limits and show that the variables are not autocorrelated and each of the multiple regressions can be considered valid.

Starting with 1976 the results of the multiple regressions showed that the abortion variable had a significance level of .001 and a coefficient value of .185. Abortion in 1988 had a significance level of .881 and a coefficient value of -.081. By 2016 the significance level lowered to .633 but the coefficient value rose to .243. The 1980s is considered to be the high point of abortion advocacy and Supreme Court decisions such as *Roe v. Wade* in 1975. These decisions though, while in some cases narrowing the scope of previous rulings never went against or took the same amount of leeway as *Roe v. Wade* originally began with.

Conclusions

Supreme Court but the significance level was actually .88 which is higher than the 1986 level of .633. The findings of the research so far suggest two possible alternate hypotheses, one an optimistic view and one more cynical. If people are not basing their entire view of the Court on one issue such as they did in 1976 with abortion, it could suggest that people are non-issue voters, or rather judges, when it comes to their opinion of the Supreme Court. A less idealistic view is that today the Court has many more controversial rulings on both the left and the right then they did in 1976, a time when many controversial decisions favored the left. To test this I ran another multiple regression for 2016 but this time the dependent variables were different issues that are currently polarizing in our country including gay marriage, gun rights, the death penalty, transgender rights, illegal immigration, and campaign spending. Some of these issues the Court has ruled on recently, but others they have not.

The regression showed, that the two issues that currently are extremely significant in predicting opinion of the Supreme Court are gay marriage and gun control, with significance levels of 0.012 and 0.000 respectively. Interestingly, while gay marriage received its landmark decision *Obergefell v. Hodges* only recently in 2015, the last highly salient gun rights case was *District of Columbia v. Heller* eight years ago in 2008.

Based on this data it does not appear to be the case that American have learned more about the Court since 1976 and become less issue focused. Rather, I concluded that while at one point abortion opinion extremely impacted and predicted one’s opinion of the United States Supreme Court, today an assortment of different issues impact one’s views depending on what they personally identify with most.

Future Research

- Analysis of saliency of each Supreme Court case dealing with abortion
- Data with continuous questions about the Supreme Court from 1973 to present
- Inclusion of variable that analyzes strength of political ideology
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Figure 1. Gallup graph of public opinion toward abortion

Hypothesis

At times when abortion was a highly salient political issue, one’s being pro-life would cause a less positive view of the Supreme Court.

Experimental Method

American National Election Studies Time Series Studies data from 1976, 1988, and 2016 was used to analyze the hypothesis. The variables abortion opinion, party registration, and a proxy for knowledge of the Supreme Court were used as independent variables and variable of feeling towards the Supreme Court as the dependent variable. Due to differences in the 1976 survey the proxy for Supreme Court does not exist and the question regarding the Supreme Court is on a different scale, which makes the analysis less ideal.

To analyze the three years I first ran the descriptive statistics for each year to confirm that none of the years were significantly different than the others. I then ran multiple linear regressions for each of the individual years with a confidence interval of 95%.

Conclusions (continued)

Based on the results of the multiple regressions it appears that my hypothesis that at times when abortionis a highly salient political issue being pro life causes one to have a less positive view of the Supreme Court is correct, but only in some regards. In 1976 it seems that my hypothesis was incorrect. Just a few years after *Roe v. Wade* abortion was extremely statistically significant when it came to predicting feeling towards the Supreme Court, far stronger than the control variable of party identification that was used. The analysis of 1976 also supported the idea that the more pro-choice one was the more likely they were to hold a favorable view towards the Supreme Court, as illustrated by the coefficient value of .185 showing that for every increase in one point on the abortion scale, one’s view of the Supreme Court will increase by approximately 2.4%.

Neither of the other years, 1988 or 2016 showed abortion opinion as being at all significant in predicting one’s view of the Court, with significance levels far above .05 in all cases. This does not coincide with my hypothesis as only five months prior to the 2016 survey the Court decided *Whole Woman’s Health v. Hellerstedt*, in which they ruled against a Texas law that restricted access to abortion clinics. Based on my hypothesis abortion should have once again become a significant factor for determining feeling towards the Supreme Court.