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Introduction
This study examines opinions of the Affordable Care Act through a Rational Choice perspective to see if the theory can be applied to opinions on health care. Rational Choice Theory- Aggregates social behaviour results from the behaviour of individual actors, each of whom is making their individual decisions based on self-interest. Rational Choice Theory is a popular behaviour model that originates from economics and is commonly applied to political activities. Agents in Rational Choice Theory models are assumed to have self-interest as their strongest motivator.

If this theory could be applied health care opinions, it is assumed that those who the Affordable Care Act should help the most would be the most likely to support the bill. Sociotropic Voter Model
— Voters vote for what they believe to be best for the nation, not for themselves. — Contrasting Rational Choice Theory Party identification is a great determinant for what one thinks is best for the nation and, if the Sociotropic Voter Model holds up, one’s opinion on the ACA.

Many Americans have a tenuous grasp on how the Affordable Care Act would affect their families and the nation as a whole.

Affordable Care Act
Government subsidises the purchase of private health insurance plans for those who cannot afford one.
Requires businesses with over 50 employees to provide health insurance plans to their workers.
Prohibits insurance companies from denying coverage to those who have a pre-existing condition.
Banned price discrimination based on gender.
Individual Mandate
— If an individual does not either have health insurance or signs up during specific enrollment periods, they must pay a penalty fee.
Attempts to help unhealthy, uninsured, and poor Americans the most.

Hypotheses:
H 1: Unhealthy Americans are more likely to support the Affordable Care Act than healthy Americans.
H 2: Uninsured Americans are more likely to support the Affordable Care Act than Americans that have health insurance.
H 3: Americans of a lower-socioeconomic class are more likely to support the Affordable Care Act than Americans of a higher-socioeconomic class.

Results
H 1: Personal Health
The results show that Rational Choice Theory cannot be supported by this relationship. There is little difference in the support or opposition for the ACA depending on one’s health. In fact, the healthier one is, the more likely they are to support the ACA, which contradicts Rational Choice Theory. However, this relationship is very weak, showing that personal health is certainly not the main determinant of an individual’s opinion on the ACA.

H 2: Health Care Coverage
The results from this relationship also contradict Rational Choice Theory. One of the ACA’s main goals is to make it easier for uninsured Americans to obtain health insurance. Yet here, the data shows that an individual is less likely to support the ACA if they do not have insurance. The decrease in likelihood is very small and coupled with a very low correlation coefficient, these results do not contradict Rational Choice Theory tremendously.

H 3: Socioeconomic Class
There is a more predictable result in this relationship. The wealthiest Americans are the most likely to disapprove of the ACA and the poorest are the least likely to oppose. However, due to the low correlation coefficient, these results hardly make a strong case for Rational Choice Theory.

Conclusions
These results show that Rational Choice Theory cannot be applied to opinions on the ACA. The ACA is designed to help unhealthy, uninsured, and poor Americans. None of those factors had a strong influence on an individual’s opinion on the ACA. Party identification and knowledge of the content of the bill should be examined, as they are more likely to be the main influences. This research shows that Rational Choice Theory should not be applied to opinions of the Affordable Care Act.
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