9-20-2021

Generalized Grassmann Algebras and Applications to Stochastic Processes

Daniel Alpay
Chapman University, alpay@chapman.edu

Paula Cerejeiras
University of Aveiro

Uwe Kaehler
University of Aveiro

Recommended Citation

Generalized Grassmann Algebras and Applications to Stochastic Processes

Comments

This is the accepted version of the following article:

Copyright

GENERALIZED GRASSMANN ALGEBRAS AND APPLICATIONS TO STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

D. ALPAY[†], P. CEREJEIRAS[‡], U. KÄHLER[‡]

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present the groundwork for an Itô/Malliavin stochastic calculus and Hida's white noise analysis in the context of a supersymmentry with $\mathbb{Z}_3$-graded algebras. To this end we establish a ternary Fock space and the corresponding strong algebra of stochastic distributions and present its application in the study of stochastic processes in this context.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

Classic theories like Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac statistics are based on SU(2)-symmetries and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebras. But theories like Quantum Chromodynamics where quarks are considered as fermions require a setting with $\mathbb{Z}_3$- (or $\mathbb{Z}_6$-)graded algebras for a convenient generalisations of Pauli's exclusion principle and the establishment of the corresponding statistics [28]. Such algebras and the corresponding Dirac operators have been studied in the recent past. But these theories raise an additional question about the necessary extension of the corresponding supersymmetry. While standard supersymmetry combines bosonic fields with fermionic fields to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Lie super algebra a super-symmetric extension involving quarks requires a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-graded algebra of Grassmannian type which leads to a kind of hypersymmetry [1].

One important aspect of supersymmetry lies in its combination with stochastic dynamics, also called topological supersymmetry initiated by the seminal works of Parisi and Sourlas in 1979 (see e.g. [32]

or [26]), in particular the more recent supersymmetric theory of stochastic dynamics [30, 31]. But for the establishment of such a theory in the context of $\mathbb{Z}_3$- (or $\mathbb{Z}_6$-)graded algebras, i.e. the development of topological hypersymmetry, a major problem arises. One needs the counterpart of the classic Itô/Malliavin stochastic calculus and Hida's white noise analysis in this context. This is the problem we are going to study in this paper. While differentiation and integration has been studied in superspace using Clifford algebras [22, 21] no such study has been made in the context of $\mathbb{Z}_3$-graded algebras to the knowledge of the authors.

To this end let us recall the classic (finite or infinite) Grassmann algebra. $\mathcal{G}_d$ is the unital algebra over the complex numbers generated by $\mathbf{e}_0 = 1$ and a finite set of elements $\mathbf{e}_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, which do not belong to and are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, they satisfy

$$(1.1) \qquad\qquad\qquad \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i = 0,$$

where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, and in particular

$$(1.2) \qquad\qquad\qquad \mathbf{e}_i^2 = 0.$$

An element of $\mathcal{G}_d$ is often referred to as a supernumber. The number of generators can be taken to be infinite. To do so, closures of the algebra with respect to a norm are considered – see, e.g., [33, 35, 6, 7].

It is well-known that the classic Grassmann algebra is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded. To introduce a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-grading we present a generalization of the classic Grassmann algebra to a ternary Grassmanian setting. We consider once again the real generator $\mathbf{e}_0 = 1$ and basis elements $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_d$. Instead of (1.1), we assume the generators satisfy

$$(1.3) \qquad\qquad\qquad \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j = \omega\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i$$

for every $i < j$, where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ and where $\omega \neq 1$ is a (fixed) non-zero complex number. As will be clear from the next section these algebras have a natural $\mathbb{Z}_3$-grading.

Using these algebras we are going to create the necessary algebraic and analytic tools for the establishment of the counterpart of Hida's white noise space theory, including the construction of a topological algebra associated with the above mentioned algebra based on a decreasing family of Hilbert spaces which allows us to obtain the link between this algebra and the Fock space. We will finish by showing the application to stochastic processes.

2. Finite Ternary Grassmann Algebras

Let us start by introducing ternary Grassmann algebras. Let $\mathfrak{G} = \{\mathbf{e}_j, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set of linearly independent vectors over $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by V_d the complex linear space generated by the first d of such vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_d$.

Definition 2.1. *We define the ternary Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ associated to V_d as the free (non-trivial) algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ containing a copy of* Span $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_d\}$ *and of $\mathbb{C}$, and satisfying to the following relations:*

(i) there exists a complex $\omega \neq 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \qquad\qquad\qquad \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j = \omega\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i, \qquad \text{for all } i < j;$$

(ii) the basis elements satisfy

$$(2.2) \qquad \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } i, j, k = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the ternary form (based on the anti-commutator):

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k) = \mathbf{e}_i\{\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k\} + \mathbf{e}_j\{\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_i\} + \mathbf{e}_k\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j\}$$

$$(2.3) \qquad = \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_k\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_k\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_k\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_k\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i, \quad 1 \le i \le j \le k \le d.$$

Remark 2.2. *By "non-trivial" we mean that the product of any two arbitrary basic elements of the algebra is either a new element of the algebra or it is zero. We will say that $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{G}_d = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d\} \subset \mathfrak{G}$. Such algebras have already been studied in the literature. They are often referred to as ternary Grassmann algebras [17, 27].*

An immediate consequence of the definition is the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Under the conditions of Definition 2.1 it holds*

(i) $\mathbf{e}_i^3 = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$;

(ii) ω is a third root of the unit.

Proof. Proposition (i) is immediate. For the second proposition we have for $1 \le i < j \le d$

$$0 = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_i$$

$$= 2(\mathbf{e}_i^2\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i^2) = 2(1 + \omega + \omega^2)\mathbf{e}_i^2\mathbf{e}_j.$$

Under the assumption of non-triviality (i.e. $\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i^2 \neq 0$) we obtain $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ and therefore, ω is a root of third order the unity. $\qquad\square$

Remark 2.4. *In what follows we assume $\omega = e^{i2\pi/3}$.*

Due to Lemma 2.3 one observes that the relevant powers of the vector basis elements are given by $\mathbf{e}_j^m, m = 0, 1, 2$, since $\mathbf{e}_j^m = 0$ for all $m \ge 3$. Furthermore, we identify $\mathbf{e}_j^0 = 1$, the identity of the field $\mathbb{C}$. Hence, we have

$$(2.4) \qquad \mathbf{e}_j^m = \begin{cases} 1, & m = 0 \\ \mathbf{e}_j, & m = 1 \\ \mathbf{e}_j^2, & m = 2 \\ 0, & m \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, d$. In consequence, a basis for the finite ternary Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ is expressible in terms of appropriated ordered $d-$tuples of powers less than 3, that is,

$$(2.5) \qquad \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \mathbf{e}_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_d^{\nu_d}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^d.$$

Under the previous convention we have $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{0}} = 1$ with $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{I}_d$ the set of all such $d-$tuples, that is,

$$(2.6) \qquad \mathcal{I}_d = \{0, 1, 2\}^d.$$

Notice that we have $\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ if and only if $\nu_j = \mu_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$.

Since there are 3^d such basis elements every $z \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ can be written as

(2.7) $$\mathbf{z} = \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\nu}|=0}^{2d} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \qquad z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \in \mathbb{C}, \ |\boldsymbol{\nu}| = \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_d.$$

We observe that $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ is a Z_3-graded algebra, that is, under multiplication the grades add up modulus 3. This leads to the following blade decomposition of the generalised ternary Grassmann algebra:

(2.8) $$\mathcal{G}_{3,d} = [\mathcal{G}_{3,d}]_0 \oplus [\mathcal{G}_{3,d}]_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus [\mathcal{G}_{3,d}]_{2d}$$

where each $k-$blade is

(2.9) $$[\mathcal{G}_{3,d}]_k = \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d} : \mathbf{z} = \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\nu}|=k} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \right\}, \ k = 0, \ldots, 2d.$$

In what follows we denote by $[\mathbf{z}]_k := \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\nu}|=k} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ the projection of $\mathbf{z}$ into the blade $[\mathcal{G}_{3,d}]_k$, $k = 0, \ldots, 2d$.

Example 2.5. *For dimension $d = 2$ we have*

$$\mathbf{z} = \underbrace{z_{00}}_{\in[\mathcal{G}_{3,2}]_0} + \underbrace{z_{10}\mathbf{e}_1 + z_{01}\mathbf{e}_2}_{\in[\mathcal{G}_{3,2}]_1} + \underbrace{z_{20}\mathbf{e}_1^2 + z_{11}\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_2 + z_{02}\mathbf{e}_2^2}_{\in[\mathcal{G}_{3,2}]_2} + \underbrace{z_{21}\mathbf{e}_1^2\mathbf{e}_2 + z_{12}\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_2^2}_{\in[\mathcal{G}_{3,2}]_3} + \underbrace{z_{22}\mathbf{e}_1^2\mathbf{e}_2^2}_{\in[\mathcal{G}_{3,2}]_4},$$

where

$$[\mathbf{z}]_0 = z_{00}, \quad [\mathbf{z}]_1 = z_{10}\mathbf{e}_1 + z_{01}\mathbf{e}_2, \quad [\mathbf{z}]_2 = z_{20}\mathbf{e}_1^2 + z_{11}\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_2 + z_{02}\mathbf{e}_2^2,$$
$$[\mathbf{z}]_3 = z_{21}\mathbf{e}_1^2\mathbf{e}_2 + z_{12}\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_2^2, \quad [\mathbf{z}]_4 = z_{22}\mathbf{e}_1^2\mathbf{e}_2^2.$$

The generalized finite ternary Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ decomposes itself into the sum of three spaces

(2.10) $$\mathcal{G}_{3,d} = \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^2,$$

where each $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}^k$

$$\mathcal{G}_{3,d}^k = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} : |\boldsymbol{\nu}| = k \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 3)\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2.$$

We observe that these spaces obey the following multiplication rules:

$$\mathcal{G}_{3,d}^0 \cdot \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^0 \subset \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^0, \qquad \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^1 \cdot \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^2 \subset \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^0, \qquad \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^2 \cdot \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^1 \subset \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^0.$$

Hence, only $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}^0$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ while the spaces $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}^1, \mathcal{G}_{3,d}^2$ do not form an algebra.

2.1. **Properties.** We now present results on products in $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$. Due to (2.1), we have

(2.11) $$\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j = \omega\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_i = \omega^2\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j,$$

for all $i < j$.

Hence, for each component we have

(2.12) $$\mathbf{e}_j^{\nu_j}\mathbf{e}_j^{\mu_j} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_j^{\nu_j+\mu_j}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu_j + \mu_j \leq 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, d.$$

We now observe that for all $\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_d$ we have

(2.13) $$\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = 0,$$

whenever $\nu_j + \mu_j \geq 3$ for some j. However, if $0 \leq \nu_j + \mu_j < 3$ for all j then by (2.11) we obtain

$$(2.14) \qquad\qquad \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu})\,\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}},$$

where $\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \omega^{2\sum_{s=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j=s+1}^{d}\nu_j\mu_s}$ corresponds to $\omega = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3})$ to the power of the number of permutations of the basis elements. This leads to the following multiplication rule:

$$(2.15) \qquad \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu})\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \qquad \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu}) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu} \notin \mathcal{I}_d \\ \omega^{2\sum_{s=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j=s+1}^{d}\nu_j\mu_s}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 2.6. *For every* $\mathbf{z} \in [\mathcal{G}_{3,d}]_k, \mathbf{w} \in [\mathcal{G}_{3,d}]_s$, $(0 \leq k, s \leq 2d)$, *we have that* $\mathbf{z}\mathbf{w} = 0$ *if* $k + s > 2d$ *and*

$$(2.16) \qquad\qquad \mathbf{z}\mathbf{w} \in \{0\} \oplus [\mathcal{G}_{3,d}]_{k+s}, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq k + s \leq 2d.$$

Proof. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathbf{z}\mathbf{w} = \Big(\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\nu}|=k} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\Big)\Big(\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\mu}|=s} w_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\Big) = \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\nu}|=k,|\boldsymbol{\mu}|=s \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{I}_d}} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}w_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu})\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\nu}|=k,|\boldsymbol{\mu}|=s \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{I}_d}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu})\, z_{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_d}w_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_d}\mathbf{e}_1^{\nu_1+\mu_1}\cdots\mathbf{e}_d^{\nu_d+\mu_d}.$$

The result follows trivially from (2.15). $\qquad\qquad\square$

Lemma 2.7. *For every vector* $\mathbf{z} = z_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + z_d\mathbf{e}_d \in [\mathcal{G}_{3,d}]_1$ *it holds*

$$(2.17) \qquad\qquad\qquad \mathbf{z}^3 = 0.$$

Proof. By direct computation we get

$$\mathbf{z}^3 = \sum_{i,j,k} z_i z_j z_k \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k) = 0.$$

since ω is the 3rd root of the unit and $\mathbf{e}_i^3 = 0$. $\qquad\qquad\square$

We now present some decomposition results for the finite ternary Grassmann algebra. The results will be expressed in terms of the basis element $\mathbf{e}_d$ but are easily extendable to any basis element $\mathbf{e}_j$ with appropriate modifications.

Lemma 2.8. *Every element* $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ *admits the following decomposition*

$$(2.18) \qquad\qquad \mathbf{z} = A + B\mathbf{e}_d + C\mathbf{e}_d^2, \quad A, B, C \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d-1}.$$

The result is straightforward and its proof will be omitted.

Lemma 2.9. *For every* $A \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d-1}$, *there exists* $A' \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d-1}$ *such that*

$$(2.19) \qquad\qquad\qquad A\mathbf{e}_d = \mathbf{e}_d A'.$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_d = \omega \mathbf{e}_d \mathbf{e}_j$, $j = 1, \cdots, d-1$. Hence, we have for $A = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-1}} a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d-1}$

$$A \mathbf{e}_d = \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-1}} a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \right) \mathbf{e}_d = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-1}} a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{d-2}^{\nu_{d-2}} (\mathbf{e}_{d-1}^{\nu_{d-1}} \mathbf{e}_d)$$

$$= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-1}} a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{d-2}^{\nu_{d-2}} \left(\omega^{\nu_{d-1}} \mathbf{e}_d \mathbf{e}_{d-1}^{\nu_{d-1}} \right) = \cdots = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-1}} a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \omega^{\sum_{j=1}^d \nu_j} \mathbf{e}_d \mathbf{e}_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{d-2}^{\nu_{d-2}} \mathbf{e}_{d-1}^{\nu_{d-1}}$$

$$= \mathbf{e}_d \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-1}} a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \omega^{\sum_{j=1}^d \nu_j} \mathbf{e}_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{d-2}^{\nu_{d-2}} \mathbf{e}_{d-1}^{\nu_{d-1}} \right) := \mathbf{e}_d A'.$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.10. *If we have $A = 0$ in decomposition (2.18) then $\mathbf{z}^3 = 0$.*

This is an obvious consequence of the two previous lemmas, as $\mathbf{z} = (B + C\mathbf{e}_d)\mathbf{e}_d$.

Lemma 2.11. *For every $1 \leq n \leq d$ there exists an element $I_n = \mathbf{e}_{d-n+1}^2 \cdots \mathbf{e}_d^2$ such that it satisfies:*

i) I_n is nilpotent, that is $I_n^2 = 0$;

ii) I_n acts as a projector of $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ onto the subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_{3,d-n}$, that is to say, there exists a projector $P_n : \mathcal{G}_{3,d} \to \mathcal{G}_{3,d-n}$ given by

$$\mathbf{z} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_d} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d} \mapsto P_n(\mathbf{z}) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-n}} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d-n},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_{d-n})$;

iii) In particular, P_d acts as a projector of $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ onto $\mathbb{C}$, given by $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_d} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d} \mapsto P_d(\mathbf{z}) := [\mathbf{z}]_0 = z_{\mathbf{0}} := z_{(0,\cdots,0)}$.

Proof. The fact that I_n is nilpotent is straightforward. For the projection part, we observe that by (2.12) the product of an arbitrary $\mathbf{z}$ by I_n kills off all terms containing $\mathbf{e}_{d-n+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_d$, that is, given

$$\mathbf{z} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_d} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-n}} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_d \setminus \mathcal{I}_{d-n}} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}},$$

we have

$$\mathbf{z} I_n = \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_d} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) I_n = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-n}} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} I_n, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_{d-n}).$$

Hence, we identify the projection of $\mathbf{z}$ into $\mathcal{G}_{3,d-n}$ with $P_n(\mathbf{z}) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_{d-n}} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. The third proposition is now immediate. $\square$

Remark 2.12. *Based on the last proposition, the non-scalar part of an element $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ is obtained by*

$$(1 - P_d)\mathbf{z} = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_d \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}}} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{z} = P_d \mathbf{z} + (1 - P_d)\mathbf{z}.$$

Henceforward we shall use the notations $z_{\mathbf{0}} := P_d \mathbf{z}$ for its scalar part (also, body of $\mathbf{z}$) and $\mathbf{z}_r := (1-P_d)\mathbf{z} = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_d \\ \mu \neq \mathbf{0}}} z_\mu \mathbf{e}^\mu$ for its remainder (also, soul of $\mathbf{z}$).

Lemma 2.13. *An arbitrary element $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ is invertible if and only if its scalar part $[\mathbf{z}]_0 = z_{\mathbf{0}}$ is non-zero.*

Proof. We begin our proof by showing that if the scalar part of an element $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ is zero then this element cannot be invertible. Indeed, if $[\mathbf{z}]_0 = 0$ then $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathcal{I}_d \\ \nu \neq \mathbf{0}}} z_\nu \mathbf{e}^\nu$ and by Lemma 2.6 we get $[\mathbf{zw}]_0 = 0$ for all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d}$. Hence, $\mathbf{z}$ is not invertible.

Now we consider $[\mathbf{z}]_0 \neq 0$. First, we observe that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{z}_r^m = 0$. Take $m(\mathbf{z}) := \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbf{z}_r^m = 0\}$. Whenever $z_{\mathbf{0}} \neq 0$ we have

$$\mathbf{z}^n = z_{\mathbf{0}}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{0}}}\mathbf{z}_r\right)^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, for $n = m(\mathbf{z}_r) - 1$ we obtain

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{0}}}\mathbf{z}_r\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{0}}}\mathbf{z}_r + \frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{0}}^2}\mathbf{z}_r^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{m(\mathbf{z})-1}\frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{0}}^{m(\mathbf{z})-1}}\mathbf{z}_r^{m(\mathbf{z})-1}\right) = 1,$$

so that

$$\mathbf{z}^{-1} = \frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{0}}} - \frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{0}}^2}\mathbf{z}_r + \frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{0}}^3}\mathbf{z}_r^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{m(\mathbf{z})-1}\frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{0}}^{m(\mathbf{z})}}\mathbf{z}_r^{m(\mathbf{z})-1},$$

is the right inverse of $\mathbf{z}$. The same construction holds for the left inverse which proves the unicity of $\mathbf{z}^{-1}$. □

2.2. A conjugation in $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$.

We present a morphism acting on the finite generalised ternary algebra $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$.

Definition 2.14 (Pseudo-conjugation). *The pseudo-conjugation in the ternary Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ is defined as the morphism $\overline{\cdot} : \mathcal{G}_{3,d} \to \mathcal{G}_{3,d}$, with $\mathbf{z} \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{z}} = \sum_\nu \overline{z}_\nu \,\overline{\mathbf{e}^\nu}$, where $\overline{z}_\nu$ denotes the standard complex conjugation, while its action on the basis elements $\mathbf{e}^\nu, \nu \in \mathcal{I}_d$, is given by,*

$$(2.20) \qquad \overline{1} = 1, \quad \overline{\mathbf{e}}_j = \mathbf{e}_j^2, \quad j = 1, \cdots, d,$$

and satisfying to

$$(2.21) \qquad \overline{\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{c}} = \overline{\mathbf{b}}\,\overline{\mathbf{a}} + \overline{\mathbf{c}}, \quad for \ all \ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d}.$$

Remark 2.15. *As a consequence, we get $\overline{\mathbf{e}_j^2} = \overline{\mathbf{e}}_j\overline{\mathbf{e}}_j = \mathbf{e}_j^2\mathbf{e}_j^2 = 0$. Hence, $\overline{\mathbf{e}^\nu} = 0$ if and only if $\nu \notin \{0,1\}^d$. Furthermore this morphism is not onto and it is not an involution since $\overline{(\overline{\mathbf{e}}_j)} = 0$.*

Lemma 2.16. *For all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ it holds:*

   i) $\overline{(\overline{\mathbf{z}})} = z_{\mathbf{0}}$;

  ii) $[\mathbf{z}\overline{\mathbf{z}}]_0 = [\overline{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{z}]_0 = |z_{\mathbf{0}}|^2$,

where we recall, $z_{\mathbf{0}}$ denotes the scalar part of $\mathbf{z}$.

Proof. The first proposition is obvious since the action of the pseudo-conjugation on the basis elements is given by

$$\overline{\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} = \mathbf{e}_d^{2\nu_d} \cdots \mathbf{e}_2^{2\nu_2} \mathbf{e}_1^{2\nu_1}, \qquad \text{whenever } \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \{0,1\}^d,$$

and zero otherwise. Furthermore, as $\mathbf{e}_j^2 \mathbf{e}_i^2 = \omega^2 \mathbf{e}_i^2 \mathbf{e}_j^2$, $i < j$, we obtain

$$\overline{\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{e}^{2\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \omega^{2(\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{s=j+1}^{d} \nu_j \nu_s)} \mathbf{e}^{2\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \{0,1\}^d.$$

Hence,

$$\overline{(\overline{\mathbf{z}})} = \overline{\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \{0,1\}^d} \overline{z}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}_d^{2\nu_d} \cdots \mathbf{e}_2^{2\nu_2} \mathbf{e}_1^{2\nu_1}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \{0,1\}^d} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \overline{\mathbf{e}_1^{2\nu_1}} \, \overline{\mathbf{e}_2^{2\nu_2}} \cdots \overline{\mathbf{e}_d^{2\nu_d}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \{0,1\}^d} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}_1^{4\nu_1} \, \mathbf{e}_2^{4\nu_2} \cdots \mathbf{e}_d^{4\nu_d} = z_{\mathbf{0}}.$$

For the second proposition we have

$$[\mathbf{z}\overline{\mathbf{z}}]_0 = \left[\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_d} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \right) \left(\overline{\sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}_d} z_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} \right) \right]_0$$

$$= \left[\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}_d \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \{0,1\}^d}} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \overline{z}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}_1^{\nu_1} \mathbf{e}_2^{\nu_2} \cdots \mathbf{e}_d^{\nu_d} \mathbf{e}_d^{2\mu_d} \cdots \mathbf{e}_2^{2\mu_2} \mathbf{e}_1^{2\mu_1} \right]_0 = z_{\mathbf{0}} \overline{z}_{\mathbf{0}} = |z_{\mathbf{0}}|^2.$$

The same holds for $[\overline{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{z}]_0$, which completes our proof.   □

3. COMPLETIONS OF GRASSMANN ALGEBRAS

We now consider the ternary Grassmann algebra generated by taking the formal limit $d \to \infty$ of $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$. We denote the correspondent algebra by $\mathcal{G}_3$, associated to the countable set $\mathfrak{G} = \{\mathbf{e}_j, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Similar to the case of the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra Λ_∞ the resulting ternary Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_3$ is an associative but not commutative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$.

We denote its elements $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \in \mathcal{G}_3$ as *ternary supernumbers* where $\mathcal{I} = \{0,1,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ denotes the set of indexes, and we endow the ternary Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_3$ with a p-norm. Remark that, since the pseudo-conjugation is not an isomorphism it does not induce a norm. Hence, we will use the ℓ^p-norm where $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is to be identified with $(z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} \in \ell^p(\mathbb{C})$.

The conjugation and product in $\mathcal{G}_3$ (an infinite dimensional algebra) are well defined provide that $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ satisfy $\#\boldsymbol{\nu} < \infty$, where $\#\boldsymbol{\nu}$ denotes the number of non-zero entries in the sequence $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ (equal to the number of $\mathbf{e}_j's$ present in the basis element $\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$). For example, for $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (1,0,2,1,0,0,\dots)$ we get $\#\boldsymbol{\nu} = 3$ corresponding to $\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_3^2 \mathbf{e}_4$.

Hence, we have for the conjugation

$$(3.1) \qquad \mathbf{w} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}} w_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \quad \mapsto \quad \overline{\mathbf{w}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \, \overline{w}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{2\boldsymbol{\mu}},$$

and for the product between $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbf{w} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}} w_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathcal{G}_3$ we get

$$(3.2) \qquad \mathbf{z}\mathbf{w} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \, z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} w_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}},$$

under the restriction $\#\boldsymbol{\nu}, \#\boldsymbol{\mu}$ are finite, and where $\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ is defined as in (2.15). We stress that only under particular conditions we have $\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \overline{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu})}$.

Henceforth we assume all sequences to have a finite number of non-zero entries.

Definition 3.1. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the p-norm of $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{G}_3$ is defined as*

$$(3.3) \qquad \|\mathbf{z}\|_p = \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} |z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the usual modulus of a complex number.

We remark that Definition 3.1 holds also for any real $p \geq 1$.

Restricted to the finite dimensional sub-algebra $\mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ the p-norm satisfy the following properties:

Theorem 3.2. *For all $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{G}_{3,d}$ it holds*

i)

$$(3.4) \qquad \|\mathbf{zw}\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{z}\|_1 \|\mathbf{w}\|_1,$$

ii) and for $p = 2, 3, \dots$

$$(3.5) \qquad \|\mathbf{zw}\|_p^p \leq \|\mathbf{z}\|_1^p \|\mathbf{w}\|_{2^{p-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{2^k}, \quad \|\mathbf{zw}\|_p^p \leq \|\mathbf{w}\|_1^p \|\mathbf{z}\|_{2^{p-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{2^k}.$$

The proof of (3.4) is straightforward. Moreover, the proof of (3.5) follows in the same as the one presented in [6], where the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is used repeated times and having in mind that $|\omega| = 1$.

In order to study analytic properties of stochastic processes taking values in this algebra one needs to consider its completion with respect to the ℓ^2-norm. In the next section we study the completion of $\mathcal{G}_3$ with respect to the p-norm, which we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(p)}$. This closure is widely studied in the literature in the classical case of Grassmann algebras (see, e.g., [7, 33, 35]). Also, remark that by (3.4) we have that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(1)}$ has a Banach algebra structure. The study of completion of the $p-$norm has the purpose of establish a ternary Fock space based on the ℓ^2-inner product between two supernumbers $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{G}_3$ given by

$$(3.6) \qquad \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} z_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \overline{w_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}.$$

3.1. **Topological Algebra Associated with $\mathcal{G}_3$.** In order to establish an analysis and stochastic process theory in the framework of ternary Grassmann algebras we need to establish an equivalent to the classic Gel'fand triple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, dx), \mathcal{S}')$ where $\mathcal{S}$ is the space of test functions and $\mathcal{S}'$ denotes its dual (see for instance [36]). The commutative setting was first introduced by Kondratiev and adapted to the framework of Hida's white noise space theory and commutative Fock space (see [23, 29]). More, the commutative case is associated with bosons and applied to study solutions of stochastic differential equations and to model stochastic processes and their derivatives. The construction of the noncommutative counterpart of this theory in the classic setting (see e.g. [5, 9]) was motivated by fermionic formulation. In our case of topological hypersymmetry we need to establish the corresponding noncommutative counterpart in terms of our algebra and to construct a Gel'fand triple in this ternary Grassmann setting.

Gel'fand triples allow to define other products (on itself not necessarily laws of composition) different from the usual inner product in the Hilbert space. One such example is the Wick product in the white noise space which is not a law of composition. By embedding the white noise space into an analogous space of stochastic distributions the Wick product becomes a law of composition by strict inclusion. Another important reason such a construction of the space of stochastic distributions is the fact that such spaces are necessary for a future study of their derivatives (see [5]).

Hence, we now recall a few facts from the classical case and from the theory of perfect spaces and strong algebras. For more details on these spaces we refer the reader to [20, 19].

Starting from a decreasing family of Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}_p, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_p})_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}$, with increasing norms,

$$\ldots \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-2}\ldots$$

it is known that the intersection $\mathcal{F} = \cap_{p=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{H}_p$ is a Fréchet space. If, furthermore, $\mathcal{F}$ is perfect then compactness is equivalent to being compact and bounded. In particular, this is ensured when for each p there exists $q > p$ such that the injection map from $\mathcal{H}_q$ into $\mathcal{H}_p$ is compact. In the usual way we identify $\mathcal{H}_p'$ with $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ together with its the dual $\mathcal{F}' := \cup_{p=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{H}_{-p}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0$ forms a Gel'fand triple $(\mathcal{F}, \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0), \mathcal{F}')$. Indeed, the dual $\mathcal{F}'$ endowed with the strong topology defined in terms of the bounded sets of $\mathcal{F}$ is then locally convex. Furthermore, the strong topology coincides with the inductive limit topology. See [11, Section 3] for a discussion. Thus, the space of distributions $\mathcal{F}'$ is the dual of a Fréchet nuclear space.

We recall here two statements about compactness and convergence of sequences in $\mathcal{F}'$.

Proposition 3.3. [20] *A set is (weakly or strongly) compact in $\mathcal{F}'$ if and only if it is compact in one of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$ in the corresponding norm.*

Proposition 3.4. [20] *Assume $\mathcal{F}'$ perfect. Then, weak and strong convergence of sequences are equivalent, and a sequence converges (weakly or strongly) if and only if it converges in one of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$ in the corresponding norm.*

Now, we are going to show that $\mathcal{F}$ can be made a topological algebra denoted $\mathfrak{S}_1$ where the product satisfies the so-called Våge inequality. This ensures that we can consider $\mathcal{F}'$ as an inductive can be done as limit of Hilbert spaces. This is used in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

A topological algebra is assumed to be separately continuous in each variable. It is not immediate, but true that a strong algebra is jointly continuous in the two variables (see [16, IV.26, Theorem 2] and also the discussion in [12, pp. 215-216]).

In our case, we define

$$(3.1) \qquad \mathcal{H}_p(\mathbf{c}) = \left\{ f = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(2)} \;\middle|\; \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} |f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}|^2 c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{2p} < \infty \right\},$$

with $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. The coefficients give rise a sequence $\mathbf{c} = (c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}}$ of positive real numbers such that

$$(3.2) \qquad c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}c_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \leq c_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I} \text{ such that } \boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathcal{I},$$

and where

(3.3)
$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{-2d} < \infty, \quad \text{for } d = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

By construction we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{-q}(\mathbf{c}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-p}(\mathbf{c}),$$

if $p \geq q$.

From here on we abbreviate $\mathcal{H}_{-p}(\mathbf{c})$ by $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$.

Definition 3.5. *The norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$ is defined as*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} |f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}|^2 c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{-2p}.$$

Proposition 3.6. *If $c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, then $c_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$.*

Proof. If so, then

$$c_{\mathbf{0}} c_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = c_{\mathbf{0}+\boldsymbol{\mu}} = c_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$$

so that $c_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}}$ be such that $c_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$ and $c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} > 1$, for all $\boldsymbol{\nu} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Then,*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} = |f_{\mathbf{0}}|^2, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}_{-p}.$$

Proof. Since $\lim_{p\to\infty} c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{-2p} = 0$ for every $\boldsymbol{\nu} \neq \mathbf{0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} &= \lim_{p\to\infty} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} |f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}|^2 c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{-2p} \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} |f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}|^2 \left(\lim_{p\to\infty} c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{-2p} \right) \\
&= |f_{\mathbf{0}}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.8. *We consider the space*

(3.4)
$$\mathfrak{S}_1 = \cap_{p\geq 0} \mathcal{H}_p$$

and its topological dual

(3.5)
$$\mathfrak{S}_{-1} = \cup_{p\geq 0} \mathcal{H}_{-p},$$

which can be considered as analogues of the spaces $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$, respectively, in our setting.

The next theorem introduces a Våge-like inequality [37] which permits the analysis of stochastic processes to be done locally in a Hilbert space.

Theorem 3.9. *If $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-q}$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}_{-p}$, with $p > q$, then*

(3.6)
$$\|fg\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} \leq C_{p-q} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-q}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}}, \quad \|gf\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} \leq C_{p-q} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-q}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}},$$

with $C_{p-q} > 0$ being a constant.

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-q}$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}_{-p}$. Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|fg\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}} |(fg)_\gamma|^2 c_\gamma^{-2p} \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \sum_{\substack{\nu, \mu \in \mathcal{I} \\ \nu + \mu = \gamma}} \sigma(\nu, \mu) f_\nu g_\mu \right|^2 c_\gamma^{-2p} \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\nu, \mu, \nu', \mu' \in \mathcal{I} \\ \nu + \mu = \nu' + \mu' = \gamma}} |f_\nu||g_\mu||f_{\nu'}||g_{\mu'}| \right) c_\gamma^{-2p} \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\nu, \mu, \nu', \mu' \in \mathcal{I} \\ \nu + \mu = \nu' + \mu' = \gamma}} |f_\nu| c_\nu^{-p} |g_\mu| c_\mu^{-p} |f_{\nu'}| c_{\nu'}^{-p} |g_{\mu'}| c_{\mu'}^{-p} \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{\nu, \nu' \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\nu| c_\nu^{-p} |f_{\nu'}| c_{\nu'}^{-p} \left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{I} : \exists \mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{I} \\ \nu + \mu = \nu' + \mu' = \gamma}} |g_\mu| c_\mu^{-p} |g_{\mu'}| c_{\mu'}^{-p} \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{\nu, \nu' \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\nu| c_\nu^{-p} |f_{\nu'}| c_{\nu'}^{-p} \left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{I} : \exists \mu \in \mathcal{I} \\ \nu + \mu = \gamma}} |g_\mu|^2 c_\mu^{-2p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{I} : \exists \mu' \in \mathcal{I} \\ \nu' + \mu' = \gamma}} |g_{\mu'}|^2 c_{\mu'}^{-2p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sum_{\nu, \nu' \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\nu| c_\nu^{-p} |f_{\nu'}| c_{\nu'}^{-p} \left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}} |g_\mu|^2 c_\mu^{-2p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\mu' \in \mathcal{I}} |g_{\mu'}|^2 c_{\mu'}^{-2p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\nu| c_\nu^{-p} \right)^2 \|g\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\nu| c_\nu^{-q} c_\nu^{-(p-q)} \right)^2 \|g\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} c_\nu^{-2(p-q)} \right) \|f\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_{-q}} \|g\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to prove that there exists a sequence $\mathbf{c} = (c_\nu)$ such that $\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} c_\nu^{-2d} < \infty$ for all $d = 1, 2, \ldots$. We assume this sequence to be given by

$$
c_\nu = e^{\sum_k \varphi(3^{k-1}\nu_k)} = e^{\varphi(\nu_1) + \varphi(3\nu_2) + \varphi(3^2\nu_3) + \cdots},
$$

where $\nu_k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. We now look into the properties of such a function φ.

Bering in mind that $c_0 = 1$ and $c_\nu c_\mu = c_{\nu+\mu}$ for all $\nu, \mu \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\nu + \mu \in \mathcal{I}$, we obtain

i) $c_0 = e^{\sum_k \varphi(0)}$, leading to $\varphi(0) = 0$;

ii) since $c_\nu c_\mu = c_{\nu+\mu}$ if $\nu + \mu \in \mathcal{I}$, we have that for a given position $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu_k + \mu_k \neq 3, 4$;

iii) $c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}c_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ implies

$$e^{\sum_k \varphi(3^{k-1}\nu_k)} e^{\sum_j \varphi(3^{j-1}\mu_j)} = e^{\sum_k \varphi\left(3^{k-1}(\nu_k+\mu_k)\right)};$$

iv) furthermore, for integers $k > j$ and $\nu_k, \mu_j \in \{1, 2\}$ we have $3^{k-1}\nu_k > 3^{j-1}\mu_j$ so that φ should be an increasing function, satisfying to $\varphi(a) + \varphi(b) = \varphi(a+b)$, for $a, b > 0$.

For example, consider $\varphi(x) = x, \ x > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{-2d} &= 1 + \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I} \\ |\boldsymbol{\nu}\neq\mathbf{0}}} c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{-2d} \\
&= 1 + \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I} \\ |\boldsymbol{\nu}|\neq\mathbf{0}}} e^{-2d\sum_k 3^{k-1}\nu_k}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we split this sum in terms of the number $\#\boldsymbol{\nu}$ of non-zero entries in the sequence $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. Then

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{-2d} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I} \\ \#\boldsymbol{\nu}=m}} e^{-2d\sum_k 3^{k-1}\nu_k}.$$

We observe that, for $m = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I} \\ \#\boldsymbol{\nu}=1}} e^{-2d\sum_k 3^{k-1}\nu_k} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2d3^{k-1}\nu_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2d3^{k-1}} \qquad (\text{recall: } \nu_k = 1, 2) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2dk} = e^{-2d}\frac{1}{1-e^{-2d}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, remark that $0 < e^{-2d} < 1, \ d = 1, 2, \ldots$ so that $\frac{1}{1-e^{-2d}} > 1$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-2d}\frac{1}{1-e^{-2d}} < 1 \ &\Leftrightarrow \ 2e^{-2d} < 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \ -2d < -\ln 2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \ d > \ln\sqrt{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\#\boldsymbol{\nu} = m > 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I} \\ \#\boldsymbol{\nu}=m}} e^{-2d\sum_k 3^{k-1}\nu_k} &= \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I} \\ \#\boldsymbol{\nu}=m}} e^{-2d\nu_1} e^{-2d3\nu_2} \cdots e^{-2d3^{m-1}\nu_m} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2dk}\right)^m = \left(\frac{e^{-2d}}{1-e^{-2d}}\right)^m, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{-2d} &= 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I} \\ \#\boldsymbol{\nu}=m}} e^{-2d \sum_{k} 3^{k-1} \nu_k} \\
&\leq 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{-2d}}{1 - e^{-2d}} \right)^m \\
&= \frac{1 - e^{-2d}}{1 - 2e^{-2d}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we remark that although none of the Banach algebras $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$ is commutative the second inequality in (3.6) holds with the same value of constant C_{p-q}. Indeed, due to the multiplication rules (2.14) and (2.15) we have that $f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} g_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}} = g_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\nu}}$, so that $\|fg\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} = \|gf\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}}$. $\qquad \square$

Proposition 3.10. *The space $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ equipped with the product induced by the coefficients is a strong algebra.*

Proof. Let us start by endowing $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ with the inductive topology. From Theorem 3.9 we get that the product of the algebra is separately continuous in every space $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$ which is the same as continuity in the inductive topology. Additionally, the product in $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ inherits associativity from our ternary Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_3$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ has a Banach algebra structure and, thus, we can consider it as the inductive limit of Banach spaces, which makes it a strong algebra. $\qquad \square$

For more details on this proof, see [12]. This also shows that the inductive topology is equivalent to the strong topology in $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$. Furthermore, the product will also be associative in $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ and the multiplication is jointly continuous ([10], p. 215, case (iv), and also [16], IV.23, Proposition 4).

Then by [11, Theorem 3.7] we have $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ being nuclear, and the dual of a perfect space.

Corollary 3.11. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-p} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-p-2}$. Then,*

$$
\|f^n\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}} \leq C_2^{n-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}}^n,
$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is as in Theorem 3.9.

Proof. We have for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-p} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-p-2}$ that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}}$. By Theorem 3.9,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f^n\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}} &\leq C_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} \|f^{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}} \\
&\leq C_2^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}}^2 \|f^{n-2}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}} \\
&\leq C_2^{n-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}}^n.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad \square$

Corollary 3.12. *Consider a power series*

(3.7)
$$
F(\lambda) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \alpha_n \lambda^n
$$

absolutely convergent in the open disk with radius R, with $\alpha_n, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-p}$ we have that if

(3.8)
$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} < \frac{R}{C_2}$$

then $F(f)$ converges in $\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}$.

Proof. By assumption, if $|\lambda| < R$, power series (3.7) converges absolutely, i.e.,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\alpha_n \lambda^n| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\alpha_n| \, |\lambda^n| < \infty.$$

Applying Corollary 3.11, we obtain the absolute convergence of $F(f)$ in the space $\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}$ via

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|\alpha_n f^n\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\alpha_n|^2 \, \|f^n\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}}$$
$$\leq \alpha_0 + C_2^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\alpha_n|^2 \left(C_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} \right)^n.$$

Thus, $F(f)$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{H}_{-p-2}$ if

$$C_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} < R$$

or, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} < \frac{R}{C_2}$. $\qquad \square$

Corollary 3.13. *Suppose $F(\lambda)$ is power series like in the previous corollary (Corollary 3.12). Then, for all $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ such that its scalar part f_0 satisfies (3.8) we have that $F(f)$ converges in $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{-1}$, then we know that there is $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-q}$ for each $q \geq q_0$. Using Theorem 3.12 we have that to ensure convergence of $F(f)$ we need $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-q}} < R/C_2$, which in general is not valid. But, due to Proposition 3.7, this condition becomes

$$|f_0|^2 < \frac{R}{C_2},$$

which gives us the statement of the corollary. $\qquad \square$

Corollary 3.14. *Suppose $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{-1}$. Then, we have that f is invertible if and only if we have for its scalar part $f_0 \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let us assume that g is the inverse of f and its scalar part is denoted by g_0, then we have $fg = 1$ implies $f_0 g_0 = 1$ and $f_0 \neq 0$.

To show the opposite direction we suppose $f_0 \neq 0$, or with a convenient normalization $f_0 = 1$. From Corollary 3.13 we get that
$$F(f) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (1 - f)^n$$

converges when the scalar part of $1 - f$ is less than C_2^{-1}. But, $(1-f)_B = 0$ and we get that $g = F(f) \in \mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ and g is the inverse of f. $\qquad \square$

3.2. **Berezin integration.** We now look into a proper definition of path-integration in the sense of Berezin. Berezin integrals are used in superspace theory as linear maps from polynomials in anti-commuting variables to elements of Grassmann algebras. As we aim to establish stochastic processes

on generalised Grassmann algebras it is necessary to construct a proper path integration on the arising infinite dimensional spaces of anti-commuting random variables. Such a path-integration theory was developed in [34], [18] as a Fermionic counterpart of the quantum Bosonic case.

In what follows we assume $f : \Omega \to \overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(2)}$, where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We begin with the definition of the left multiplication operator acting on functions with values in $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(2)}$. For each $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ we define the left multiplication operator M_f as

$$(3.9) \qquad g \in \mathfrak{S}_{-1} \mapsto M_f g := fg \in \mathfrak{S}_{-1}.$$

Recall that, since the inductive algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ is a strong algebra we have that the multiplication is jointly continuous. Using the basis elements of $\mathcal{G}_3$ we obtain

$$M_f g = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{I}} f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} g_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}} := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{I}} f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} g_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}.$$

Thus, the left multiplication operator M is defined by its action on the basis elements $\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ of $\mathcal{G}_3$,

$$(3.10) \qquad M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mapsto M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} := \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu})\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \qquad \#(\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}) < \infty,$$

where $\sigma(\cdot,\cdot)$ is as in (2.15). We notice that for $f = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ we have

$$M_f 1 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{I}} f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = f,$$

as $\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\mathbf{0}) = 1$.

An obvious problem that arises is that the left multiplication has a non-trivial kernel. To overcome this we use the correspondent ℓ^2-inner product linked to the 2-norm of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(2)}$,

$$(3.11) \qquad \langle c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, c_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \rangle_2 := c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \bar{c}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \delta_{\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\eta}}, \quad c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, c_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \{0,1,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Also we observe that $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{0}} = 1$ so that $M_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathrm{Id}$ is the identity operator, and $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = M_{\nu_1} \cdots M_{\nu_d}$ for $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1,\dots,\nu_d)$.

Then,

$$\langle M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \rangle_2 = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu}) \langle \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \rangle_2 = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu})\delta_{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\eta}},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \{0,1,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

For an arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \{0,1,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we define the adjoint of $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$, denoted by $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^*$, as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \rangle_2 &:= \langle \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \rangle_2 \\
&= \overline{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\eta})} \langle \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\eta}} \rangle_2 \\
&= \overline{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\eta})}\delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\eta}} \\
&= \overline{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\eta})}\delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\eta}}
\end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$(3.12) \qquad M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* \mapsto M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \overline{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\nu})}\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I},$$

with again $M_{\mathbf{0}}^* = \mathrm{Id}$ and $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* = M_{\nu_d}^* M_{\nu_{d-1}}^* \cdots M_{\nu_1}^*$ for $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_d)$. Furthermore, for $f = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, g = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathfrak{S}_{-1}$ we have $\left\langle M_f^* g, \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \right\rangle_2 = \langle g, M_f \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \rangle_2$ so that the adjoint becomes

$$M_f^* g = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{f}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} g_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \overline{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\nu})} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\nu}}.$$

Again, we notice that for $f = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ we have

$$M_f^* 1 = \sum_{\mathbf{0} - \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{f}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \overline{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}, -\boldsymbol{\nu})} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{0} - \boldsymbol{\nu}} = \overline{f}_{\mathbf{0}},$$

as $\mathbf{0} - \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\sigma(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) = 1$.

We finalize the description of the operators $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ and $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ with a table of the relevant pairs for each j−th component of $\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}$:

$$\mu_j + \nu_j \in \{0, 1, 2\} \qquad\qquad \mu_j - \nu_j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$\nu_j \,\backslash\, \mu_j$	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	1	2	
2	2		

$\nu_j \,\backslash\, \mu_j$	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1		0	1
2			0

Remark that the $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^*$ operator corresponds to a left derivative and it is analogous to the one traditionally defined in superanalysis and supersymmetry [14, 15, 18, 35]. Hence, the Berezin integral can be defined in terms of $M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^*$ as

$$(3.13) \qquad \int d\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} g := M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* g = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \overline{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\nu})} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\nu}},$$

for $g = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathfrak{S}_{-1}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and where $\#\boldsymbol{\nu} < \infty$.

We remark that, in the particular case of $g = g_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ then its Berezin integral becomes

$$\int d\mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} (g_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) = g_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} M_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = g_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \overline{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathbf{0})} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{0}} = g_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \langle M_{g_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} 1, \mathbf{e}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \rangle_2.$$

Lemma 3.15. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Then it holds:*

$$(3.14) \qquad \langle M_f 1, M_g 1 \rangle_2 = \langle f, g \rangle_2.$$

The lemma is immediate since we have

$$M_f 1 = f,$$

as seen above.

4. Stochastic Processes and Their Derivatives

A second-order stochastic process indexed by a set S is a map f_t from S into some probability space $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$, and the covariance of the process is

$$(4.1) \qquad k(t, s) = \int_{\Omega} \overline{f_t(w)} f_s(w) dP(w) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathbb{E}_P \overline{f_t} f_s,$$

where $\mathbb{E}_P$ denotes the mathematical expectation with respect to P. Usually, in topological supersymmetry one is interested not just in the total probability distribution P but also in the generalized probability distribution which consists the differential forms. For the sake of simplicity we are restricting us here to the case of P with the consideration of the generalized probability distribution being done in a similar fashion than the classic case [30, 31]. In order to define stochastic integrals it is of interest to consider cases where the function $s \mapsto f_s$ is differentiable, possibly in a larger space than the original probability space (a space of stochastic distributions). Taking Hida's white noise space (see e.g. see [23, 29]) as probability space, this space of stochastic distributions, together with an underlying space of stochastic test functions, form a Gel'fand triple. which allows to give useful models for stochastic processes and their derivatives and in which one can develop stochastic calculus.

There is more than one possible such Gel'fand triple. One particularly convenient space of stochastic distributions has been introduced by Yuri Kondratiev, and has a special algebraic structure. It is a strong algebra, as defined above, and in fact provided to the authors of [8] the inspiration and framework to define strong algebras.

Hida's white noise space is identified in a natural way with the Fock space associated to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0, \mathbb{C})$, and this motivates the definition of stochastic processes as functions (or, as multiplication operators by functions) taking valued in the counterpart of the Fock space in various situations. This approach was also developed in [2, 3], and in [4] in the setting of the grey noise space, and in [13] in the theory of non-commutative stochastic processes and [5] in the setting of the Grassmann algebra. The Wick product takes different forms in each of these cases, but they all satisfy Våge's inequality in an appropriately defined strong algebra; this allows to transfer the results from one setting to the other setting with the same proofs. We now introduce the counterpart of the Fock space in the present framework.

Definition 4.1. *By analogy with the noncommutative setting, we define the $3-$graded super Fock space as $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(2)}$.*

We here explain the corresponding theory in our setting, and first define what is meant by a stochastic process in the present framework. Let $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote the system of normalized Hermite functions. They form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ and every element f in the latter can thus be written as

$$(4.2) \qquad f(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \xi_n(u), \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n|^2 < \infty.$$

We define an isometric map

$$f \mapsto Xf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \mathbf{e}_n$$

from $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ into $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(2)}$, and

$$(4.3) \qquad M_{Xf} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n M_{\mathbf{e}_n}$$

Definition 4.2. *A stochastic process indexed by a set S is a map $s \mapsto M_{Xf_s}$, where $f_s \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ for every $s \in S$. The covariance function of the process is defined by*

$$(4.4) \qquad \langle M_{Xf_s} 1, M_{Xf_t} 1 \rangle = \langle f_s, f_t \rangle_2.$$

In (4.4), the second inner product is the $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ inner product, and the equality follows from (3.14). This equality plays a key role in the arguments. Counterparts of this equality hold in particular in the white noise space setting, see [24], the Poisson noise setting, see [24, (4.9.4), p. 204], the grey space noise setting, see [25, 4], and the free setting, see [38]. In each case, the right and side stays the same, but the left hand side can take quite different forms. (4.4) allows to relate the underlying setting with the Lebesgue space.

In the free setting, the counterpart of the left hand side of (4.4) is the trace of a C^*-algebra generated by the (real parts) of the creation operators. In the Grassmann setting, operators are also involved, to make contact with the Berezin integral. Here too, to define counterparts of the Berezin integrals we introduced earlier multiplication operators, which can be seen as the analogs of the creation operators. For the discussion of stochastic processes themselves, we will not consider operators, but directly functions.

We are interested in two special cases, namely $S = \mathbb{R}$ (or a subinterval of it), and the real valued Schwartz functions, here denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. In the first we consider covariance functions in (4.4) of the form

$$(4.5) \qquad K_\sigma(t, s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(e^{iut} - 1)(e^{-ius} - 1)}{u^2} d\sigma(u),$$

where σ represents an increasing function such that the Stieltjes integral

$$(4.6) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\sigma(u)}{u^2 + 1} < \infty.$$

Such a family contains in particular the Brownian and the fractional Brownian motion.

Let us introduce an operator S_m in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$(4.7) \qquad \widehat{S_m f}(u) = \sqrt{m(u)} \widehat{f}(u),$$

with $\widehat{f}$ denoting the Fourier transform of f. Keep in mind that in general S_m is an unbounded operator. The domain of S_m is given by

$$\mathrm{dom}\, S_m = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}) \,\middle|\, \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(u) |\widehat{f}(u)|^2 du < \infty \right\},$$

which contains $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$. We can now consider the action of the operator S_m on the function $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$, i.e.

$$f_m(t) = S_m \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$$

and via an application of Plancherel's identity we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle f_m(t), f_m(s)\rangle_{\mathbf{L}_2(\mathbb{R})} &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\left\langle \widehat{f}_m(t), \widehat{f}_m(s)\right\rangle_{\mathbf{L}_2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\left\langle \sqrt{m(u)}\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_{[0,t]}, \sqrt{m(u)}\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_{[0,s]}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{L}_2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\left\langle m(u)\frac{e^{-iut}-1}{u}, \frac{e^{-ius}-1}{u}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{L}_2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(e^{iut}-1)(e^{-ius}-1)}{u^2}m(u)du.
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(2)}$-valued process

$$
XS_m\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\int_0^t (S_m\xi_n)(u)du\right)\mathbf{e}_n
$$

has covariance function equal to

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(e^{iut}-1)(e^{-ius}-1)}{u^2}m(u)du.
$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let m be a positive measurable function, satisfying (4.8)*

$$
(4.8)\qquad m(u) \leq \begin{cases} K|u|^{-b} & |u| \leq 1, \\ K|u|^{2N} & |u| > 1, \end{cases}
$$

with $b < 2$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and K represents a positive real constant. and (4.6) (the latter for $d\sigma(t) = m(t)dt$). Then, $TS_m 1_{0,t]}$ is differentiable in $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$, with continuous derivative there.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $s \mapsto f_s$ be a $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_3^{(2)}$-valued function such that the derivative $s \mapsto f_s'$ is continuous from $[0,1]$ into $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$, and let $s \mapsto Y(s)$ be a continuous function from $[0,1]$ into $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$. There is a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the function $t \mapsto Y(t)f'(t)$ is continuous in $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$ and the corresponding Hilbert space integral $\int_0^1 Y(t)f_s'$ converges in $\mathfrak{S}_{-1}$.*

In the case of $S = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we consider a continuous positive operator A from $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ into $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. On the one hand, applying the Bochner-Minlos theorem to the function $\exp(-\langle As, s\rangle)$ where the brackets denote the duality between $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. We obtain a probability measure P_A on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
(4.9)\qquad \mathbb{E}_{P_A}e^{-i\langle\cdot, s\rangle} = e^{-\langle As, s\rangle},
$$

and a centred Gaussian process indexed by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, defined by

$$
(4.10)\qquad Q_s(\omega) = \langle\omega, s\rangle,
$$

with covariance function

$$
(4.11)\qquad \mathbb{E}_{P_A}(Q_{s_1}Q_{s_2}) = \langle As_1, s_2\rangle.
$$

The operator A can be factored via $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ as $A = T^*T$, where T is a continuous operator from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ into $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ as $A = T^*T$, and therefore (4.11) can be rewritten as:

$$
(4.12)\qquad \mathbb{E}_{P_A}(Q_{s_1}Q_{s_2}) = \langle Ts_1, Ts_2\rangle_2,
$$

where the latter brackets denote the inner product in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$.

Acknowledgement

References

[1] Viktor Abramov, Richard Kerner, and Bertrand Le Roy. Hypersymmetry: A z3-graded generalization of supersymmetry. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 38(3):1650–1669, Mar 1997.

[2] D. Alpay, H. Attia, and D. Levanony. On the characteristics of a class of Gaussian processes within the white noise space setting. *Stochastic processes and applications*, 120:1074–1104, 2010.

[3] D. Alpay, H. Attia, and D. Levanony. White noise based stochastic calculus associated with a class of Gaussian processes. *Opuscula Mathematica*, 32/3:401–422, 2012.

[4] D. Alpay, P. Cerejeiras, and U. Kaehler. Generalized fock space and moments, 2020.

[5] D. Alpay, P. Jorgensen, and G. Salomon. On free stochastic processes and their derivatives. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 124(10):3392–3411, 2014.

[6] D. Alpay, I.L. Paiva, and D.C. Struppa. Distribution spaces and a new construction of stochastic processes associated to the grassmann algebra. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.11058*, 2018.

[7] D. Alpay, I.L. Paiva, and D.C. Struppa. Positivity, rational schur functions, blaschke factors, and other related results in the grassmann algebra. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.02843*, 2018.

[8] D. Alpay and G. Salomon. New topological ℂ-algebras with applications in linear systems theory. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 15(2):1250011, 30, 2012.

[9] D. Alpay and G. Salomon. Non-commutative stochastic distributions and applications to linear systems theory. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(6):2303–2322, 2013.

[10] D. Alpay and G. Salomon. On algebras which are inductive limits of Banach spaces. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2013.

[11] D. Alpay and G. Salomon. Topological convolution algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 264(9):2224–2244, 2013.

[12] D. Alpay and G. Salomon. On algebras which are inductive limits of Banach spaces. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 83(2):211–229, 2015.

[13] Daniel Alpay, Ismael L. Paiva, and Daniele C. Struppa. Distribution spaces and a new construction of stochastic processes associated with the grassmann algebra. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 60(1):013508, Jan 2019.

[14] F. A. Berezin. *The Method of Second Quantization*. Academic, New York, 1979.

[15] F.A. Berezin. *Introduction to superanalysis*, volume 9 of *Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics*. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987. Edited and with a foreword by A. A. Kirillov, With an appendix by V. I. Ogievetsky, Translated from the Russian by J. Niederle and R. Kotecký, Translation edited by Dimitri Leĭtes.

[16] N. Bourbaki. *Espaces vectoriels topologiques*. Masson, 1981.

[17] M. R. de Traubenberg. Clifford algebras of polynomials generalized grassmann algebras and q-deformed heisenberg algebras. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras*, 4(CRN-94-17):131–144, 1994.

[18] B. DeWitt. *Supermanifolds*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1992.

[19] I. M. Gelfand and N. Ya. Vilenkin. *Generalized functions. Vol. 4*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1964 [1977]. Applications of harmonic analysis, Translated from the Russian by Amiel Feinstein.

[20] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov. *Generalized functions. Volume 2*. Academic Press, 1968.

[21] A. Guzmán Adán and F. Sommen. Distributions and integration in superspace. *J. Math. Phys.*, 59:073507, 2018.

[22] A. Guzmán Adán and F. Sommen. Pizzetti and cauchy formulae for higher dimensional surfaces : a distributional approach. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 489:124140, 2020.

[23] H. Holden, B. Øksendal, J. Ubøe, and T. Zhang. *Stochastic partial differential equations*. Probability and its Applications. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

[24] Helge Holden, Bernt Øksendal, Jan Ubøe, and Tusheng Zhang. *Stochastic partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, second edition, 2010. A modeling, white noise functional approach.

[25] F. Jahnert. *Construction of a Mittag-Leffler Analysis and its Applications*. PhD thesis, TU Kaiserslautern, 2015.

[26] Georg Junker. *Supersymmetry in Classical Stochastic Dynamics*. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.

[27] R. Kerner. Cubic and ternary algebras, ternary symmetries and the lorentz group. *Proceedings of Math. Phys. Conference*, 1705:134–146, 2010.

[28] R. Kerner. Ternary generalisations of graded algebras with some physical applications. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures App.*, 489:107–141, 2018.

[29] H.-H. Kuo. *White noise distribution theory*. Probability and Stochastics Series. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996.

[30] I.V. Ovchinnikov. Self-organized criticality as witten-type topological field theory with spontaneous broken beeccchi-rouet-stora-tyutin symmetry. *Physical Review E*, 83:051129, 2011.

[31] I.V. Ovchinnikov. Introduction to supersymmetric theory of stochastics. *Entropy*, 18(4):108, 2016.

[32] G. Parisi and N. Sourlas. Supersymmetric field theories and stochastic differential equations. *Nucl. Phys.*, B206:321–332, 1979.

[33] A. Rogers. A global theory of supermanifolds. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 21(6):1352–1365, 1980.

[34] A. Rogers. Graded manifolds, supermanifolds and infinite-dimensional grassmann algebras. *Commun.Math. Phys.*, (105):375–384, 1986.

[35] A. Rogers. *Supermanifolds. Theory and applications*. Singapore: World Scientific, 2007.

[36] L. Schwartz. *Théorie des distributions*. Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Strasbourg, No. IX-X. Nouvelle édition, entiérement corrigée, refondue et augmentée. Hermann, Paris, 1966.

[37] G. Våge. Hilbert space methods applied to stochastic partial differential equations. In H. Körezlioglu, B. Øksendal, and A.S. Üstünel, editors, *Stochastic analysis and related topics*, pages 281–294. Birkäuser, Boston, 1996.

[38] D. V. Voiculescu, K. J. Dykema, and A. Nica. *Free random variables*, volume 1 of *CRM Monograph Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. A noncommutative probability approach to free products with applications to random matrices, operator algebras and harmonic analysis on free groups.

[†] Schmid College of Science and Technology,

Chapman University

One University Drive

Orange, California 92866, USA

[‡] CIDMA - Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications,

Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro

Campus Universitário de Santiago

3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal.