11-4-2014

Peptide Arrays for Detecting Naphthenic Acids in Oil Sands Process Affected Water

Kamaljit Kaur

Chapman University, kkaur@chapman.edu

Subir Bhattacharjee

University of Alberta

Rajesh G. Pillai

University of Alberta

Sahar Ahmed

University of Alberta

Sarfuddin Azmi

University of Alberta

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/pharmacy_articles

Part of the [Amino Acids, Peptides, and Proteins Commons](#), [Cell Biology Commons](#), [Environmental Chemistry Commons](#), [Environmental Indicators and Impact Assessment Commons](#), [Environmental Monitoring Commons](#), [Hydrology Commons](#), [Medical Biochemistry Commons](#), [Medicinal-Pharmaceutical Chemistry Commons](#), [Other Cell and Developmental Biology Commons](#), and the [Other Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kaur K, Bhattacharjee S, Pillai R, Ahmed S, Azmi S. Peptide arrays for detecting naphthenic acids in oil sands process affected water. *RSC Advances*. 2014;4(105):60694-60701. doi: 10.1039/C4RA10981C

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Pharmacy at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Pharmacy Faculty Articles and Research by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact laughtin@chapman.edu.

Peptide Arrays for Detecting Naphthenic Acids in Oil Sands Process Affected Water

Comments

This article was originally published in *RSC Advances*, volume 4, issue 105, in 2014. DOI: [10.1039/C4RA10981C](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA10981C)

Copyright

Royal Society of Chemistry

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 60694

Peptide arrays for detecting naphthenic acids in oil sands process affected water†

 Kamaljit Kaur,^{*ab} Subir Bhattacharjee,^{cd} Rajesh G. Pillai,^c Sahar Ahmed^{ae}
and Sarfuddin Azmi^a

Naphthenic acids (NAs) are water-soluble components of petroleum. The characterization and quantification of NAs by analytical methods have proved quite challenging, whilst the toxic effects of these water-soluble compounds on a variety of organisms adversely affecting reproduction and steroid production is becoming apparent. In this study, we report a fluorescence-based competitive binding method for rapid sensing of the presence of NAs using cellulosic peptide array strips as sensors. The peptide array was designed from sequences derived from the estrogen receptor (ER). Several of these peptides were able to detect the presence of NAs at low micromolar ($\sim 5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) levels in different oil sands process affected water samples. The specific binding of one of the peptides, peptide 17 (EGXVEIFDXLLATS) with NAs was evaluated using isothermal titration calorimetry. The results show that peptide 17 interacts strongly with NAs with an apparent binding constant (K_a) of $96 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$, and may bind NAs in a similar fashion as ER interacts with estrogen. Finally, the data support that the peptides displaying high affinity for NAs can be used for developing disposable peptide-based sensor arrays for NA detection in oil sands process affected water samples.

Received 22nd September 2014

Accepted 3rd November 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4ra10981c

www.rsc.org/advances

Introduction

The worldwide demand for oil is increasing while conventional supplies are diminishing, necessitating the exploration of other unconventional sources of oil. Alberta's oil sands developments represent a significant source of unconventional oil.^{1,2} The extraction process utilizes large volumes of water to separate the bitumen from the oil sands.³ There are two variations of the extraction process used in Alberta, namely, mining based extraction, which uses low temperature extraction by "washing" the oil bearing sand with large volumes of water, and thermal or *in situ* extraction, where steam at around 240°C is injected underground to reduce the viscosity of the oil, following which the oil and water are recovered and separated at the surface. As a result of these processes, large volumes of oil sands process affected water (OSPW) are created.

In surface mining operations, the process-affected water is stored in large tailing ponds and is recycled back into the extraction process.^{4–6} In thermal extraction, the water is often treated and recycled to make steam, but a small fraction of the wastewater is discarded at the end of the process as "blowdown water", which is often injected into deep wells. The OSPW from surface mining operations (henceforth referred to as *sm*-OSPW) has resulted in over a billion cubic meters of tailings water held in tailings ponds. There have been considerable concerns about the amount of organic matter present in these water bodies, and the ensuing problems that could be engendered by the release of these compounds into the surface water systems.^{5,6} Although thermal or *in situ* extraction produces significantly lower volumes of wastewater compared to mining, the high temperature contact of the petroleum and water solubilizes large amounts of organic matter in the water that comes in contact with the bitumen. We will refer to this wastewater as *in situ* oil sands process-affected water (*is*-OSPW). This wastewater usually contains large amounts of organic matter such as naphthenic acids.

Naphthenic acids (NAs) are a group of alkyl-substituted saturated acyclic and cyclic carboxylic acids that can account for up to 4% (by weight) of raw petroleum (Scheme 1).^{7,8} These organic acids occur naturally in bitumen and become solubilized in wastewater streams generated during petroleum extraction. NA concentrations in some petroleum recovery wastewaters are as high as $110\text{--}120 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$.⁹ NAs are known to cause toxicity to a variety of organisms, adversely affecting

^aFaculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2E1, Canada. E-mail: kkaur@ualberta.ca; Tel: +1 780-492-8917

^bChapman University School of Pharmacy (CUSP), Harry and Diane Rinker Health Science Campus, Chapman University, Irvine, CA, 92618-1908, USA

^cDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G8, Canada

^dWater Planet Engineering, 721 Glasgow Ave, Inglewood, California, 90301, USA

^eMedicinal Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, Assiut 71526, Egypt

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Details of the synthesis of immobilized peptides (peptide array), EDAN-NAs, soluble peptides and their characterization using fluorescence imaging, MALDI-TOF MS, HPLC and UV spectroscopy. See DOI: 10.1039/c4ra10981c

Scheme 1 Proposed structures of a few representative naphthenic acids (R = alkyl group).¹¹

reproduction and steroid production.^{10,11} For example, these compounds are known to bind to the estrogen receptor or ER (weak agonists) and antagonize the androgen receptor.^{11–13} Due to the adverse aquatic environmental effects of NAs, interest in developing sensitive and robust analytical methods for detection of NAs has grown in recent years.

Different analytical techniques have been used to characterize NAs, including mass spectrometric (MS) analysis using electrospray (ES-MS),¹⁴ gas chromatography (GC-MS),^{15,16} Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR-MS),¹⁷ and thermal conversion/elemental analysis-isotope ratio (TC/EA-IRMS).⁷ These analytical techniques require heavy instrumentation, skilled operators, are time consuming, and expensive. Here we propose a simple assay using peptide arrays as molecular recognition elements for the detection of NAs in contaminated water samples. We hypothesize that peptide sequences derived from ER¹⁸ may exhibit strong interaction with NAs.

Accordingly in this study, we describe the development of a peptide-array based sensing method with peptides (14-mer) derived from the ER sequence (Fig. 1) for the selective detection of NAs. Peptides showing high binding to NAs are used to develop a competitive binding assay to detect and estimate the amount of NAs in commercial NAs solution as well as two types of oil sands process affected water samples (*sm*-OSPW and *is*-OSPW). Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) is used to validate the specific interaction between a high binding peptide 17 and NAs. The results demonstrate that NAs binds to selected peptides immobilized on cellulose membrane which can be potentially used as sensing strips for detecting NAs in oil sands process affected water samples.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and materials

Fmoc L-amino acids were purchased from NovaBiochem (San Diego, CA) while *O*-(1*H*-6-chlorobenzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluoro phosphate (HCTU) and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) were obtained from Chem-Impex International Inc., USA. Naphthenic acids (NAs, Technical grade), sucrose (99%), invertase (baker's yeast), *N*-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride (EDAN, >98%), *N,N'*-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC, >98%), *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99%), *N*-methyl morpholine (NMM, 99%), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 99%) and isopropyl alcohol (IPA, 99.9%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received.

The field samples of oil sands process affected water (OSPW) were obtained from major producers of bitumen from the Athabasca oil sands region in Alberta, Canada. These samples include OSPW collected from surface mining operations (*sm*-OSPW) and the boiler blowdown water from an *in situ* surface treatment plant (*is*-OSPW) earmarked for deep well disposal. The *is*-OSPW sample was collected hot and nitrogen sealed, following which it was cooled and transferred to our laboratory.

Synthesis of peptide arrays, soluble peptides and EDAN-NAs. Peptide array library consisted of peptides derived from the sequence of one of the two identical chains of ER (258 residues each, PDB code 1A52).¹⁸ A library of 33 peptides, referred as 1st library, was designed using overlapping peptides protocol, where each peptide was 14 amino acids long with seven overlapping residues between the consecutive peptides (Fig. 1). About ten residues each from the N-terminal and the C-terminal of ER sequence were not included in the library design.

1 st Library		2 nd Library	
Peptide	Sequence	Peptide	Sequence
1	KNVVPLYDLLLEXL	1	KNVVPLYDLLLEXL
2	HLYSXKCKNVVPLY	5	RLAQLLLILSHIRH
3	XSNKGXEHLYSXKC	6	TLQQQHERLAQLLL
4	ILSHIRHXSNGKXE	8	ITDTLIHLXAKAGL
5	RLAQLLLILSHIRH	9	IHRVLDKITDTLIH
6	TLQQQHERLAQLLL	15	SRFRXXNLQGEEFV
7	LXAKAGLTLQQQHE	16	DXLLATSSRFRXXN
8	ITDTLIHLXAKAGL	17	EGXVEIFDXLLATS
9	IHRVLDKITDTLIH	18	RNQGKCEGVXVEIF
10	SLEEKDHIHRVLDK	20	HPGKLLFAPNLLLD
11	FLSSTLKSLEEKDH	28	XXGLLTNLADRELV
12	LNSGVYTFLLSSTLK	29	RPFSEASXXGLLTN
13	CLKSIILLNSGVYT	33	ALSLTADQXVSALL
14	LQGEFVCLKSIIL	30	YSEYDPTRPFEAS
15	SRFRXXNLQGEFV	31	DAEPPILYSEYDPT
16	DXLLATSSRFRXXN	32	QXVSALLDAEPPIL
17	EGXVEIFDXLLATS		
18	RNQGKCEGVXVEIF		
19	APNLLLDNRQKCV		
20	HPGKLLFAPNLLLD		
21	LVWRSXEHGPKLLF		
22	LEILXIGLVWRSXE		
23	HLLECAWLEILXIG		
24	LTLDQVHLLLECAW		
25	RVPGFVDLTLDQV		
26	HXINWAKRVPGFVD		
27	LADRELHVHXINWAK		
28	XXGLLTNLADRELV		
29	RPFSEASXXGLLTN		
30	YSEYDPTRPFEAS		
31	DAEPPILYSEYDPT		
32	QXVSALLDAEPPIL		
33	ALSLTADQXVSALL

Fig. 1 Peptide array libraries derived from the sequence of human estrogen receptor. Inset shows schematic for a covalently conjugated peptide (1) from the peptide array on cellulose membrane (amino-PEG500-UC540). The peptides were synthesized following SPOT synthesis. The C-terminus of the peptides is covalently attached to the cellulose membrane via a β -alanine (Z) spacer and the N-terminal is capped with an acetyl group. X stands for norleucine (Nle).

Each Met residue was replaced with norleucine (Nle) to overcome problems associated with Met oxidation. The two peptide fragments of estrogen receptor, which have shown high affinity (peptide 17) and no affinity (peptide 31) towards NAs in competitive binding experiments, were chosen for further evaluation and their soluble forms were synthesized by automated synthesizer utilizing solid phase peptide synthesis methods. Commercially available NAs were used to prepare their fluorescent adduct with EDAN to give EDAN-NAs (Fig. S1†). The details of the synthesis of immobilized peptides using SPOT synthesis,¹⁹ soluble peptides using Fmoc-solid phase peptide synthesis and EDAN-NAs are given in the ESI.†

Characterization of EDAN-NAs. The fluorescent properties of EDAN adducts were evaluated by first measuring the absorbance of different molecules in the UV/IR range (200–400 nm). As shown in Fig. S3A (ESI†), the absorbance for EDAN-NAs and EDAN-CHPA was red shifted compared to EDAN alone. Both adduct showed appearance of a major peak (maxima) between 350–355 nm with a shoulder or small maxima at around 300 nm. Commercial NAs alone showed weak absorbance. When excited at 350 nm, the adducts and the starting compounds showed different emission spectra as shown in Fig. S3B.† Due to the presence of a wide range of dissolved organic matter (DOM), which can lead to some quenching and inner filtration effects, the fluorescence spectra of *sm*-OSPW and *is*-OSPW water samples were expected to show some difference. Due to these interferences, we cannot reliably detect NAs in oil sands produced water using conventional fluorescence spectroscopy analysis. These factors further highlight the significance of NAs detection using peptide array method as described here. Fluorescent properties of EDAN adducts were studied using Varian fluorescence spectrophotometer (Cary Eclipse, USA). Samples were prepared as 1 mM solution in ethanol except for EDAN which was diluted 4 times to avoid saturation. Samples were scanned in a 1 cm quartz cuvette with a stopper at 20 °C. Fluorescence spectra were collected in the 220–410 nm excitation wavelength range. The scan speed was 600 nm min^{−1} and the excitation and emission monochromator slit widths were set at 5 nm. The spectrum of each sample was blank-corrected with HPLC ethanol and then plotted using OriginPro software (version 8E).

Binding assay using peptide array. The peptide array membrane was soaked in ethanol for 30 seconds to prevent any precipitation of hydrophobic peptides, followed by incubation in distilled water for 30 min. The membrane (1st library) was incubated with EDAN-NAs adduct (0.4 mM) in 50% IPA/water for 30 min. After washing (three times, 10 min each) the unbound EDAN-NAs adduct was removed by washing with distilled water by shaking on an automatic shaker, the membrane was scanned using a Kodak Image Station 4000 M (USA) at $\lambda_{\text{ex/em}}$ 353/440 nm, and the net fluorescence intensity of each peptide spot was quantified using Kodak Molecular Imaging Software Version 4.0. The binding affinity of EDAN-NAs adduct for each peptide (spot) was determined by taking the average of each peptide in duplicates for each tested concentration. The background binding outside the peptide spot was very minimal. An external standard (set of peptides) was used to calibrate the fluorescence intensity between scans

performed on the same day and on different days. Five peptides (22, 23, 24, 26, and 27) that displayed high auto-fluorescence were excluded from the analysis (Fig. S4†).

After each binding experiment, the bound EDAN-NAs were removed from the membrane by washing with IPA for 3 h by shaking on an automatic shaker. For the 2nd library, the membrane was incubated with different concentrations of EDAN-NAs adduct (25–200 μM) in 35% IPA/water for 30 min, and the remaining experiment was performed as described above. Different incubation times (30 min–4 h) were attempted, and the results suggest that 30 min incubation time was optimal. This was selected because incubation beyond this point did not affect the relative fluorescence intensity. On the other hand, it was found that the increase in the percentage of IPA decreased the fluorescence signal possibly due to a slower kinetics of peptide and EDAN-NAs binding at higher IPA concentrations. However, 35% IPA was the minimal amount required to ensure solubility of the EDAN-NAs adduct. The data for five high binding peptides were fitted using one binding site equation to obtain apparent K_d values as shown in Fig. S5.†

Competitive binding assay. For the competitive binding experiments, the peptide array membrane was incubated with EDAN-NAs (50 μM) in the presence of unlabelled NAs (0, 20, 50, or 150 μM in 35% IPA/water) or OSPW field samples in a polypropylene box with a lid protected from light at room temperature. The field samples were adjusted for the total organic carbon (TOC) content by dilution using de-ionized water to measure between 20–120 mg L^{−1}, before competitive binding assay experiments. The TOC was measured using a TOC analyzer (Shimadzu TOC-L, Japan). After 30 min, the solution was decanted and the membrane was washed with double distilled water (3 $\times$, 10 min each). The membrane was imaged using Kodak imager at $\lambda_{\text{ex/em}}$ 353/440 nm. The fluorescence intensity of each peptide spot was quantified by net fluorescence integration over the spot using Kodak Molecular Imaging Software Version 4.0. The data represented average of two separate experiments. Each experiment was conducted on a fresh peptide array membrane, and values were normalized against the background fluorescence intensity (Fig. S6†).

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC). The thermodynamics of peptide–NAs binding were studied by a high sensitivity ITC instrument (VP-ITC, MicroCal, USA). The titration calorimetric experiments with soluble peptides (17 and 31) and NAs were performed at 25 °C. The solutions used for ITC experiments were prepared using the same 35% v/v IPA/water stock solution to minimize any dilution effects. Deionized water (Millipore, USA) with resistivity greater than 18.2 M Ω cm was used for all ITC experiments. The peptide stock solutions were prepared in 35% v/v IPA/water solution and their UV absorption was measured by scanning wavelength in the range of 200–400 nm using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (DU 730, Beckman Coulter). The concentration of the soluble peptides in their stock solution was estimated by UV absorption spectroscopy (Table S1, ESI†) using $\epsilon_{257} = 200 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (for phenylalanine residue, peptide 17) or $\epsilon_{275} = 1372 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (for tyrosine, peptide 31). The sensitivity of the ITC instrument was initially tested and calibrated using invertase catalyzed

Fig. 2 (a) Binding of EDAN–NAs to the 2nd peptide array library. The peptide array was incubated with increasing concentrations of EDAN–NAs (25–200 μM) for 30 min at 25 °C and the net fluorescence intensity was measured at $\lambda_{\text{ex/em}}$ 353/440 nm. Peptides 30–32 represent negative control peptides. (b) Competitive binding of EDAN–NAs (50 μM) to the peptide array in the presence of unlabeled NAs (0, 20, 50, and 150 μM). (c) Percent reduction of EDAN–NAs (50 μM) fluorescence after competitive binding of different water samples, *sm*-OSPW (TOC 38 mg L⁻¹), *is*-OSPW (100× diluted, TOC 111 mg L⁻¹), and water containing commercial NAs (5.3 mg L⁻¹ or 20 μM), to the 2nd peptide array library. The data represented here is the average of two spots and was normalized to the fluorescence intensity of the background.

sucrose hydrolysis experiment giving molar enthalpy $\Delta H_m = 14.85 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, consistent with previous literature reports.²⁰

Prior to each ITC run, the solutions were stirred and degassed using ThermoVac accessory for 10 minutes to remove any air bubbles. After degassing, commercial naphthenic acid solutions (10–50 μM, where 5 mg mL⁻¹ is approximately 20 μM with *m/z* ranging between 150–350) were kept inside the calorimetric cell and peptide solutions (100–200 μM) were injected to the cell *via* titration syringe in aliquots of 10 μL. The injection duration was 20 s with an initial delay of 60 seconds and a wait time of 160 s between the injections. The stirring speed of the ITC syringe paddle was set at 307 revolutions per minute (rpm). The heat of reaction associated with each injection was integrated using the software developed by MicroCal LLC. Control experiments were

performed with blank IPA/water (35% v/v) solution to find the heat of dilutions of peptide and NAs, and were subtracted from the heats determined in the corresponding peptide–NAs binding experiments. At least two trials for each ITC experiments were performed and showed good reproducibility.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and screening of peptide arrays

Peptide arrays offer a promising alternative approach that could be used to estimate the amount of NA mixtures in OSPW. A peptide array consisting of 33 overlapping sequences from ER, referred to as 1st library, was synthesized on a cellulose membrane with PEG and β-alanine as spacers (Fig. 1).^{21,22} The

peptides were synthesized by conjugation of amino acids to the free amino group of β -alanine residues using SPOT synthesis.¹⁹ Commercial NAs labelled with fluorescent *N*-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride (EDAN) were synthesized (Fig. S1†). The peptide array (1st library) was incubated with these labelled NAs (henceforth called EDAN-NAs) at room temperature for 30 minutes. After washing, the membrane was imaged using a fluorescence imager at $\lambda_{\text{ex/em}}$ 353/440 nm (Fig. S4†). Thirteen peptides from 1st library showed strong binding with the EDAN-NAs. These 13 peptides, along with peptides 30–32 (which showed negligible binding, and used here as negative controls) from the 1st library were chosen for further experiments (2nd library, Fig. 1).

The binding of the peptides in the 2nd library to EDAN-NAs was evaluated at five different concentrations (25–200 μM). Fig. 2a shows that all peptides except for the negative controls bind the EDAN-NAs with different affinities even at low concentration of EDAN-NAs (25 μM). The binding isotherms of the EDAN-NAs to the peptides were fitted to the experimental data, indicating that peptides bind to EDAN-NAs with an apparent binding constant (K_d) in the low micromolar range (Fig. S5†). For each peptide, the fluorescence signal reaches a plateau as the amount of EDAN-NAs is increased, suggesting saturation in peptide binding above *ca.* 100 μM .

Competitive binding of peptides and EDAN-NAs

A competitive binding assay was performed on the 2nd library by incubating the peptide arrays for 30 minutes with 50 μM EDAN-NAs in the presence of commercial NAs at various concentrations (0–150 μM) in 35% IPA/water solution, followed by fluorescence measurement of the library. The presence of NAs as a competitor at concentrations of 20 μM (5 mg L^{-1}) or higher decreased the binding of EDAN-NAs to the library, lowering the resulting fluorescence intensity (Fig. 2b). This suggests that the NAs participate in the binding to the peptides, and the hydrophobic portion of NAs is involved in the interaction between NAs and the immobilized peptides.

Next, we used this competitive binding assay to determine the presence of NAs in two different petroleum wastewater samples obtained from oil sands (bitumen) extraction processes practiced in Alberta, Canada. These wastewaters are generally referred to as oil sands process affected water (OSPW).^{8,16,23} The first sample was obtained from the tailings pond of a surface mining based operation⁸ (*sm*-OSPW), whereas the second sample was obtained from an *in situ* thermal recovery operation^{16,23} (*is*-OSPW).

The dissolved organic carbon concentration in these water samples were adjusted by dilution to measure between 20–120 mg L^{-1} (total organic carbon). The pH of both water samples were also adjusted to *ca.* 8. The NAs are weak acids with $\text{p}K_a$ around 5. Considering ionizable organic acids are 100% deprotonated when the pH is 2 units above the $\text{p}K_a$, we expect that there won't be any significant difference in the nature of NAs and their binding to the peptide before and after these pH adjustments. The 2nd library was then incubated with 50 μM EDAN-NAs and the water samples, followed by washing and

Fig. 3 Fluorescence excitation emission matrix (EEM) spectra of the water samples analyzed (NAs, *sm*-OSPW, and *is*-OSPW). The excitation wavelength varies from 200 to 490 at 5 nm increments, whereas the emission wavelength varies from 210 to 500 at 10 nm intervals. The color map shows the fluorescence intensity, the range of which is different for each sample. For each fluorescence EEM mapping, the sample concentration was adjusted to make the total organic carbon (TOC) concentration 30 ppm. All FEEMs were obtained at an adjusted pH of 8. Adjustment of both TOC and pH ensures that differences in the EEM spectra are attributable to the presence and relative abundance of different fluorophores. Inset of each figure shows the emission signal obtained for an excitation wavelength of 350 nm. Note that *is*-OSPW has a significant fluorescence emission compared to the other two water samples when excited at 350 nm at the emission detection wavelength (450 nm).

measurement of fluorescence. The percent fluorescence reduction for seven representative peptides from the 2nd library using a commercial NAs standard, the *sm*-OSPW, and the *is*-OSPW samples are compared in Fig. 2c.

The competitive binding experiment using these water samples showed decrease in fluorescence response due to EDAN–NAs binding (Fig. 2c and S4†). The data for both *sm*-OSPW and *is*-OSPW samples show good correlation with the presence of NAs, indicating that the dissolved organic molecules present in these water samples have similar interactions with the peptide array as observed with commercial NAs. Since the fluorescence reduction for the *sm*-OSPW and *is*-OSPW samples is less than that for the commercial NAs, we can estimate that the amount of NAs in these field samples is less than 20 μM (Fig. 2c). Concentrated solutions of *sm*-OSPW samples (10 $\times$) were also evaluated using the competitive binding experiment and these showed further reduction in fluorescence intensity (Fig. S6†). The results presented here suggest that the developed array acts as a presence/absence detector of NAs in water samples down to 5 mg L^{-1} ($\sim 20 \mu\text{M}$, Fig. 2b) sensitivity.

The fluorescence of the dissolved organics in the water samples may interfere with the competitive binding assay results. To verify this, the fluorescence excitation emission matrix (EEM) spectra of the water samples were obtained (Fig. 3). While the emission intensities of commercial NAs and *sm*-OSPW at 440 nm are low, the intensity of *is*-OSPW is significantly high. This interference could lead to a less

significant fluorescence intensity reduction for *is*-OSPW (Fig. 2c), even though more NAs could be present in this sample. From these results, we conclude that seven peptides (6, 15, 16, 17, 20, 28, and 33) show measurable response with $\geq 30\%$ reduction in fluorescence intensity due to the dissolved organic matter in the samples (Fig. 2c). In general, these results suggest that the water samples most likely contain a similar class of compounds that are recognized by these peptides.

Interaction of soluble peptides with naphthenic acids

To further validate the specific binding of peptides derived from ER and NAs, the interaction between selected soluble peptides and NAs was characterized using ITC.^{24,25} A high binding peptide, peptide 17, was chosen to evaluate specific interaction with NAs whereas peptide 31 (low-binding) was selected as a negative control peptide (Fig. S7†). To perform ITC titrations, fixed volumes (10 μL) of peptide solution (17 or 31, 200 μM) were added to NA solution (25 μM) kept inside the calorimetric cell. The top panels represent the raw ITC thermograms showing the heat of reaction associated with each injection (Fig. 4a) and the bottom panels show the corresponding titration curves showing integrated heat values as a function of [peptide] to [NAs] molar ratio in the calorimetric cell. The integrated heat values were fitted to the 'one set of sites' binding model using Origin software to obtain the titration curve for peptide 17 binding to NAs.

Fig. 4 (a) Representative isothermal titration calorimetry data at 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ showing interaction between NAs and the soluble peptides (17 or 31) in 35% IPA/water solution. The upper panel shows the calorimetric titrations with 10 μL injection of peptide solutions at 160 s interval between injections. The lower panel represents the corresponding integrated heat values as a function of [peptide] to [NAs] molar ratio in the calorimetric cell. (b) Thermodynamic parameters for the binding of peptide 17 to NAs after fitting the data in (ii) to 'one set of sites' binding model.

Fig. 5 Top: Sequence of a portion of ER highlighting peptides 16–30 (14-mers) used in the peptide array herein. Peptides 17 (H3), 20 (sheet), 22 (H2), and 28 (H1) from the peptide array contain residues that interact with estrogen based on the 3D structure of estrogen bound receptor. Of these four peptides, the peptides 17, 20 and 28 showed high binding to EDAN–NAs. Bottom: The 3D structure of ER (PDB 1A52)¹⁸ showing estrogen interacting with three helical regions and one sheet.

As expected, peptide 17 shows strong interaction and associated heat change whereas peptide 31 displayed negligible changes when titrated against NAs under similar conditions. The thermodynamic parameters for the peptide–NAs interactions (Fig. 4b) obtained from one-site binding model yielded an apparent binding constant (K_a) of $96 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$, and the N for the interaction was found to be 0.3 suggesting that three naphthenic acid molecules interact with each peptide molecule. Notably, soluble (unbound) peptides were used for ITC experiments whereas those used for binding studies shown in Fig. S5† were peptides bound (covalently) to the cellulose membrane. It is possible that soluble, unbound peptides may have better affinity to NAs. Furthermore, the apparent binding constant values derived from ITC experiments correspond to the direct interaction between peptide and NAs in the absence of any fluorescent label. Since we have used a heterogeneous mixture of NAs, the thermodynamic parameters derived from ITC experiments are only approximate. These results demonstrate that ITC can be used as a very valuable and sensitive tool to study the thermodynamics of peptide–naphthenic acid interactions. For more accurate thermodynamic results, individual NAs can be separated in high purity and tested for their interaction with these ER peptides. Finally, the ITC response of peptide 17 is consistent with fluorescence results of the immobilized peptide and support strong interaction with NAs.

Mechanism of peptide–NAs binding

The three dimensional structure of estrogen bound ER (PDB 1A52)¹⁸ was used to excise the structure of estrogen and surrounding sequences as shown in Fig. 5. It shows that estrogen binds with three helical regions (H1–H3) and one β -sheet segment of the receptor. Comparing the high binding sequences obtained from the binding assay with the sequences in ER that flank estrogen bound to the receptor, we observe that peptides 17 (helical), 20 (β -sheet), 22 (helical), 28 (helical) belong to the estrogen binding sequences. It is, however, possible that these sequences behave differently when excised from a complete protein.

We evaluated the solution conformation of soluble peptides 17 (high-binding) and 31 (low-binding) using circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. The CD spectra for the peptides were obtained in 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (40% TFE), a secondary structure promoting solvent²⁶ as well as in isopropanol (35% IPA) that was used for all peptide–NAs interaction experiments. In TFE, peptide 17 showed significant helical structure as indicated by the appearance of distinct negative band at 207 nm ($\theta = -10\,936 \text{ deg cm}^2 \text{ dmol}^{-1}$), a negative shoulder near 222 nm, and a positive band at 195 nm, whereas, peptide 31 displayed negative minimum at $\sim 195 \text{ nm}$ characteristic of random coil structure (Fig. 6). Similarly in 35% IPA, the CD spectra of peptide 17 showed negative bands at $\sim 207 \text{ nm}$ ($\theta = -289 \text{ deg cm}^2 \text{ dmol}^{-1}$) and 222 nm, and a positive band at $\sim 195 \text{ nm}$ suggesting the peptide remains helical in IPA. However, the helicity was much less compared to that in secondary structure stabilizing medium TFE. CD spectra of peptide 31 showed no helical or sheet conformation in either environment. The helical conformation of peptide 17 and its high affinity toward NAs supports our conjecture that peptide 17 binds to NAs in a similar fashion as ER interacts with estrogen. In addition, our results show that three of the ER derived peptides 17, 20 and 28 display high binding to NAs. Consecutive peptides 15, 16, and 17 were also able to bind as well as compete for EDAN–NAs suggesting that the molecular recognition segment must be common in these overlapping sequences.

To summarize, we demonstrate that peptide arrays synthesized using fragments of ER on cellulose membranes using SPOT® synthesis can be used to detect the presence of NAs in water samples. Several peptides, including a few from the estrogen binding region of the estrogen receptor, displayed enhanced binding to NAs. These peptides act as a sensing platform for binding fluorescently labelled adducts of NAs (EDAN–NAs) as well as competitively binding NAs from water samples in the presence of EDAN–NAs. They can detect NAs at micromolar concentrations ($\sim 5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) in petroleum process affected water samples. Such peptide arrays on cellulose membrane can potentially be used directly for water quality analysis in fields or natural water bodies. More detailed experiments are required to confirm the selectivity of this method in the presence of other contaminants in water that may exhibit similar affinities as NAs to these ER peptides.

Fig. 6 CD spectra of soluble ER derived peptides (0.5 mg mL^{-1} or $\sim 300 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$) in different solvent environments. (A) shows spectra in 40% TFE whereas (B) and (C) show spectra in 35% IPA. Black squares and grey circles represent peptide 17 and peptide 31, respectively.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and NSERC-Industrial Research Chair program of SB. The infrastructure support from the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) is also acknowledged. RGP thank financial support from NSERC-Industrial Research Chair program.

Notes and references

- 1 E. A. R. E. Ministry of Energy, Alberta, C. A. <http://www.energy.alberta.ca/Org/Publications/AR2010.pdf> and a. 23.04.2011.
- 2 S. S. Leung, M. D. MacKinnon and R. E. Smith, *Aquat. Toxicol.*, 2003, **62**, 11–26.
- 3 Z. J. Z. Jacob Masliyah, Z. Xu, J. Czarnecki and H. Hamza, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2004, **82**, 628–654.
- 4 L. F. Del Rio, A. K. Hadwin, L. J. Pinto, M. D. MacKinnon and M. M. Moore, *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2006, **101**, 1049–1061.
- 5 J. P. Giesy, J. C. Anderson and S. B. Wiseman, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, **107**, 951–952.
- 6 E. W. Allen, *J. Environ. Eng. Sci.*, 2008, **7**, 123–138.
- 7 J. M. Ahad, H. Pakdel, M. M. Savard, M. C. Simard and A. Smirnoff, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 10419–10425.
- 8 D. M. Grewer, R. F. Young, R. M. Whittall and P. M. Fedorak, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2010, **408**, 5997–6010.
- 9 J. V. Headley and D. W. McMartin, *J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part A: Toxic/Hazard. Subst. Environ. Eng.*, 2004, **39**, 1989–2010.
- 10 R. J. Kavanagh, R. A. Frank, K. Burnison, R. F. Young, P. M. Fedorak, K. R. Solomon and G. Van Der Kraak, *Aquat. Toxicol.*, 2012, **116–117**, 34–42.
- 11 K. V. Thomas, K. Langford, K. Petersen, A. J. Smith and K. E. Tollefsen, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2009, **43**, 8066–8071.
- 12 Y. He, S. B. Wiseman, M. Hecker, X. Zhang, N. Wang, L. A. Perez, P. D. Jones, M. G. El-Din, J. W. Martin and J. P. Giesy, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **45**, 6268–6274.
- 13 S. J. Rowland, C. E. West, D. Jones, A. G. Scarlett, R. A. Frank and L. M. Hewitt, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **45**, 9806–9815.
- 14 J. V. Headley, K. M. Peru, M. P. Barrow and P. J. Derrick, *Anal. Chem.*, 2007, **79**, 6222–6229.
- 15 D. Jones, C. E. West, A. G. Scarlett, R. A. Frank and S. J. Rowland, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2012, **1247**, 171–175.
- 16 M. A. Petersen and H. Grade, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **50**, 12217–12224.
- 17 M. P. Barrow, J. V. Headley, K. M. Peru and P. J. Derrick, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2004, **1058**, 51–59.
- 18 D. M. Tanenbaum, Y. Wang, S. P. Williams and P. B. Sigler, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1998, **95**, 5998–6003.
- 19 R. Frank, *J. Immunol. Methods*, 2002, **267**, 13–26.
- 20 I. Wadso and R. N. Goldberg, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2001, **73**, 1625–1639.
- 21 S. Ahmed, A. S. Mathews, N. Byeon, A. Lavasanifar and K. Kaur, *Anal. Chem.*, 2010, **82**, 7533–7541.
- 22 R. Soudy, S. Ahmed and K. Kaur, *ACS Comb. Sci.*, 2012, **14**, 590–599.
- 23 A. Maiti, M. Sadrezadeh, S. G. Thakurta, D. J. Pernitsky and S. Bhattacharjee, *Energy Fuels*, 2012, **26**, 5604–5612.
- 24 W. F. Tan, L. K. Koopal and W. Norde, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, **43**, 591–596.
- 25 T. Abraham, R. N. A. H. Lewis, R. S. Hodges and R. N. McElhaney, *Biochemistry*, 2005, **44**, 11279–11285.
- 26 D. Roccatano, G. Colombo, M. Fioroni and A. E. Mark, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2002, **99**, 12179–12184.