The Acute and Persisting Impact of COVID-19 on Trajectories of Adolescent Depression: Sex Differences and Social Connectedness

Sabrina R. Liu
Elyssia Poggi Davis
Anton M. Palma
Curt A. Sandman
Laura M. Glynn

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/psychology_articles

Part of the Child Psychology Commons, Epidemiology Commons, Health Psychology Commons, Other Mental and Social Health Commons, Other Psychiatry and Psychology Commons, Other Psychology Commons, Personality and Social Contexts Commons, Psychiatric and Mental Health Commons, and the Psychological Phenomena and Processes Commons
The Acute and Persisting Impact of COVID-19 on Trajectories of Adolescent Depression: Sex Differences and Social Connectedness

Comments
This article was originally published in Journal of Affective Disorders, volume 229, in 2021.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.11.030

This scholarship is part of the Chapman University COVID-19 Archives.

Creative Commons License
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Copyright
The authors
The acute and persisting impact of COVID-19 on trajectories of adolescent depression: Sex differences and social connectedness

Sabrina R. Liu a, b, *, Elysia Poggi Davis c, e, Anton M. Palma d, Curt A. Sandman e, Laura M. Glynn b

* Corresponding author at: Department of Psychology, Crean College of Health and Behavioral Sciences, Chapman University, One University Drive, Orange, CA 92866, United States of America
E-mail address: sabliu@chapman.edu (S.R. Liu).

A R T I C L E   I N F O
Keywords:
COVID-19
Adolescent
Depression
Mental health
Pandemic
Social

A B S T R A C T
Background: The COVID-19 era is a time of unprecedented stress, and there is widespread concern regarding its short- and long-term mental health impact. Adolescence is a sensitive period for the emergence of latent psychopathology vulnerabilities, often activated by environmental stressors. The present study examined COVID-19’s impact on adolescent depression and possible influences of different domains of social connectedness (loneliness, social media use, social video game time, degree of social activity participation).
Methods: A community sample of 175 adolescents (51% boys, mean age = 16.01 years) completed questionnaires once before and twice during the COVID-19 pandemic. Piecewise growth modeling examined the acute (7 weeks) and persistent (8 months) effects of COVID-19 on depressive symptoms, and differences across sex and social connectedness.
Results: Significant increases in depressive symptoms followed pandemic onset for boys and girls. However, this increase was earlier and more pronounced among girls than boys, whose depression only increased significantly during the persistent period and to a lesser degree. Trajectories of depression were influenced by loneliness and social connections.
Limitations: Most participants had economic stability and minimal exposure to the virus. Exacerbation of depressive symptoms may be more severe in higher risk populations.
Conclusions: Adolescent depression levels have increased during COVID-19, and are higher for girls and those who are lonely. Enhanced screening and management for adolescent depression and social connectedness could play a critical role in mitigating the negative mental health fallout of COVID-19 and future pandemics within this population.

1. Introduction

Considered the most serious global public health crisis since the 1918 influenza pandemic, COVID-19 has infected over 231 million people at the time of this study (October 2021), 4.7 million of whom have died (WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard, 2021). Experts warn that without fundamental changes to the ways in which humans interact with their environment, the future will bring more frequent, contagious, and lethal pandemics (UN News, 2020). There is widespread concern among health professionals and the general public about the mental health impact of the virus and associated stressors (e.g., illness and death of oneself or loved ones, unemployment and financial insecurity, disrupted everyday life).

The impact of COVID-19 on adolescent mental health is of particular concern, given that adolescence is a sensitive period for the emergence of mental health disorders, often brought on or exacerbated by stressful environmental factors (Barrocas and Hankin, 2011). In addition to the typical stressors that come with adolescence, those living through the pandemic must contend with a myriad of new COVID-19-related concerns, such as fear of infection, worry about the health of loved ones, disrupted future plans, missed rites of passage, school, finances, and interpersonal relationships (Ellis et al., 2020; Bagus et al., 2021). Indeed,
cross-sectional research suggests that adolescents are experiencing unique pandemic-related stress (American Psychological Association, 2020; Ellis et al., 2020; Magson et al., 2020), higher levels of stress than other age groups (American Psychological Association, 2020), and increased prevalence of multiple mental health disorders (Guessoum et al., 2020). However, most studies on COVID-19-related depression to date are cross-sectional, and the few existing longitudinal studies that include pre-COVID data report mixed findings (Copeland et al., 2021; Magson et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020).

Adolescent social connectedness during the COVID-19 pandemic may be a key determinant of mental health. Emerging independence from parental figures and more reliance on peers for social connection and support is a hallmark of the adolescent developmental stage. Along with these changes comes more social stressors and increased social sensitivity, both of which are thought to increase susceptibility to depression (Flynn and Rudolph, 2011; Owens et al., 2019; Somerville, 2013). The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted typical adolescent social functioning through wide-spread school closures, event cancellations, and social distancing policies. Unsurprisingly, research has shown that adolescents are concerned about disconnection from friends, as well as disruption to school, extra-curricular activities, and social events during the COVID-19 pandemic (Ellis et al., 2020; Magson et al., 2020). However, continued participation in social activities increases risk of exposure to COVID-19 and potentially increased worry and anxiety regarding exposure.

Learning more about online peer interactions among adolescents during the pandemic is critical to understanding social connectedness and its role in mental health. In today’s world, virtually all U.S. adolescents are “hyperconnected” with their peers through digital mediums such as social media and online gaming (Anderson and Rainie, 2012; Colder Carras et al., 2017), and cross-sectional data indicate that adolescent time on social media has increased further during the pandemic (Ellis et al., 2020). There are conflicting findings and professional opinions regarding the impact of social media and/or social video game usage on adolescent mental health (Granic et al., 2014; Nesi and Prieinstein, 2015; Ohannessian, 2009; Vannucci and McCauley Ohannessian, 2019). On one hand, these online social mediums present opportunities for social connection, support, skill building, entertainment, and learning. Conversely, they might also expose one to negative peer interactions or bullying, more social comparison, and stressful, hurtful, or violent modeling or messaging.

Adolescence is also the developmental stage during which girls begin to demonstrate greater rates of depression compared to boys (Hankin et al., 1998; Rudolph, 2002; Salk et al., 2017; Slavich et al., 2020). One possible explanation is that puberty brings sex-differentiated hormonal and neurodevelopmental changes that create developmentally dependent vulnerabilities to various stressors (Conley et al., 2014; Copeland et al., 2019; Li et al., 2012; Salk et al., 2017). Evidence suggests these changes may render adolescent females more sensitive to stressors (Bale, 2006; Bourne and Neigh, 2011; Goel and Bale, 2009; Rudolph, 2002) and particularly those of an interpersonal nature (Conley et al., 2014; Rudolph, 2002; Slavich et al., 2020).

The emergence of depression can lead to chronic disability across the lifetime (Rudolph, 2017), and further understanding of how stress related to COVID-19 contributes to disease risk is critical for guiding surveillance and clinical intervention. The pandemic presents a natural experiment to study several important questions related to the contribution of stress exposure to adolescent depression. First, is the introduction of a universal stressor associated with increases in adolescent depression (Thapar and Rutter, 2019)? Second, do trajectories of depressive symptoms vary by sex, and by the degree and nature of social connectedness (e.g., loneliness, degree of social activity participation, social media use, social video game use) during the pandemic? Lastly, is the effect related to duration of the stressor? Studies comparing mental health using data from multiple assessments from before and during the pandemic can provide insight into the acute and persistent impacts of the pandemic on mental health; however, to the authors’ knowledge, there has been no research of this nature to date focused on adolescent depression.

The goal of the present study was to longitudinally examine the acute (at seven weeks into the pandemic) and persistent (at eight months into the pandemic) effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on adolescent depressive symptoms in boys and girls. We predicted that there would be an increase in depressive symptoms from before to during COVID-19 and that this difference would be more pronounced for girls and for those who were lonelier. We had no a priori hypotheses pertaining to the relation between social activity participation, social media and video game use and depression.

2. Methods

2.1. Study overview and context

This analysis used data from a sample of adolescents enrolled in an ongoing longitudinal study of mental health in Southern California. The week of March 11, 2020 COVID-19 was officially declared a pandemic by the WHO and a national emergency by the U.S. President. By early April, social distancing, travel restrictions, and mask-wearing guidelines were in place. In California, the governor declared a state of emergency on March 4th, issued a stay-at-home order on March 19th, and closed all schools for the remainder of the school year on April 1st. The state began to roll back its stay-at-home order in early May, only to reverse much of the plans by early June as cases began to spike again. By mid-December, California was consistently breaking new state records of daily cases and death tolls, and many counties reported their Intensive Care Units had 0% availability (AJMC Staff, 2020; Antczak and Thompson, 2020; WHO, 2020; Eby, 2021; Hoeven, 2020; Lin et al., 2020; Muccari et al., 2020; Ostrov and Ibarra, 2020; Reuters Staff, 2020; Tapp, 2020).

Longitudinal data used in this study were collected via three online surveys: The first assessment (T1) took place before the COVID-19 pandemic (on average, 2 years prior to state shutdown; range 5 years-1 week prior), the second assessment (T2) took place approximately eight weeks after the initial state shutdown (range 6 to 12 weeks), and the third (T3) took place at roughly 8.5 months after the shutdown (range 8 to 9.25 months). All data were collected before COVID-19 vaccines were available to the public. All procedures were approved by the responsible institutional review board. A timeline of survey administration in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic is presented in the Supplementary Material.

2.2. Participants

Participants included 175 adolescents (51% boys) whose mothers were originally recruited during pregnancy into a longitudinal study of child development. Participants ranged in age from 12 to 21 at the date of the first California shutdown (M = 16.01, SD = 2.56). For the current study, the only inclusion criteria were for adolescents to belong to the original study cohort (N = 271) and to have completed all three assessments. Those that completed all three surveys were about 9 to 10 months younger on average than those who did not. The two subsamples did not differ across sex, income, or racial/ethnic identity. Further sample demographic information is reported in Table 1.

2.3. Measures

COVID-19-specific descriptive information was collected at T3 with a series of questions from the CoRonavirus Health Impact Survey (CRISIS, 2020) Youth Self-Report Baseline Form, a measure developed by the National Institute of Mental Health, and Nathan Kline Institute. Depression Symptoms were assessed with the Children’s Depression Inventory (CDI-2:SR [S] (Kovacs, 2011). The CDI-2 consists of 12 self-report items assessing various symptoms over the prior two weeks.
Responses are summed for an overall score, which can range from 0 to 24. The CDI-2 has been validated and widely used as an assessment tool with adolescent populations (Kovacs, 2011). In the current study, it showed strong internal consistency at each timepoint, with values ranging between 0.81 and 0.84.

Loneliness was measured at T2 and T3 with the 8-item UCLA loneliness scale (RULS-8; Roberts et al., 1993). Scores can range from 0 to 24, with greater scores indicating more loneliness. This measure has been used extensively with different adolescent populations (Goossens et al., 2014; Loades et al., 2020), and there was good internal consistency in the current study sample (alpha = 0.82). Reported levels of loneliness at T2 and T3 were moderately correlated (r = 0.56, p < .01), and an average of the two scores was used.

Time spent per day engaging in social media was assessed at T2 and T3 with a question from the CRISIS asking how much time per day participants were spending on social media (e.g., FaceTime, Zoom, Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, Twitter, TikTok). Participants responded on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 = “none” to 5 = “more than 6 h.” Social media use at T2 and T3 were correlated (r = 0.49, p < .01), and an average of the two scores was used.

Time spent per day playing social video games was assessed at T3 with a question created for this study and based on formatting of the CRISIS. Participants were asked how much time they spent per day (hours and minutes) playing video games with others over the course of the last two weeks.

Social activity participation was measured at T3 with a checklist created for this study, asking participants if they had done any of 16 different activities since the beginning of the pandemic. These endorsements were summed for a total score assessing participants’ degree of social activity participation during COVID-19.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Characteristics, COVID-19-related Health &amp; Financial Impact at 8 Months Post State Shutdown.</th>
<th>Mean (SD), Median or %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean)</td>
<td>16.01 (2.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% Female)</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial/Ethnic Identity (%)</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (home school, certificate program, vocational program)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income-to-needs ratio (Median %)</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access in Home (%)</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment and earning status changes due to COVID-19 (%)</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost job</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family member lost job</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced ability to earn money</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family member reduced ability to earn money</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to COVID-19 (%)</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed to someone likely to have virus</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspected of having the virus (tested positive, received medical diagnosis, or had symptoms)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member of household diagnosed</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

#### 3.1. Sample characteristics

Sample demographics and COVID-19-related information are reported in Table 1. Twenty-six percent of the sample had a family member whose ability to earn money was reduced due to COVID-19, while almost one-fifth reported exposure to someone likely to have the virus, and 6% believed they had acquired COVID.

Figs. 1 and 2 illustrate self-reported participation in social activities and interpersonal relationships after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic by sex. The overwhelming majority of participants reported engaging in social activities with non-household members during the pandemic. More popular activities included going to eat at restaurants outdoors and going inside others’ homes. Notably, boys and girls did not significantly differ in their endorsement of any social exposure items except for going to the movies (17% of boys vs. 6% of girls). When these activities were summed, boys reported participating in an average of 6.03 activities, which was similar to girls’ average of 6.23 activities.

Over half of participants reported using social media for 2–3 h or more per day and playing social video games for 3.4 h per day; sex differences emerged with girls spending significantly more time on social media (F(1,173) = 28.12, p < .001) and boys playing social video games more (F(1,171) = 23.84, p < .001). On average, girls reported that they spend closer to 2–3 h daily on social media (a value of 4 on the scale), while boys reported spending closer to 2–3 h (a value of 3 on the scale). Conversely, boys are spending much more daily time playing social video games at an average of 243 min compared to girls’ daily average of 40 min. There were no reported sex differences in loneliness.

#### 3.2. Trajectories of depressive symptoms

Mean CDI scores and mean changes between timepoints were estimated from the growth models and are presented in Table 2 and graphically in Figs. 3 and 4. Model 1 shows that in the pre-COVID era, boys and girls had no significant differences in mean CDI scores (3.91 for boys, 4.35 for girls, p = .39). However, as seen in Fig. 3, girls experienced a significant increase in depressive symptoms during the acute period following the initial COVID-19-related state shutdown (mean change: +1.31 points, p < .01), and boys did not (+0.03 points, NS).
During the persistent period (between 7 and 33 weeks into the pandemic), boys had a significant increase in depression levels (mean change: +1.02 points, \( p < .05 \)). Meanwhile, girls did report a significant change in depression levels during the persistent period. Models 2–5 (Table 2) examined change in depressive symptoms over time for boys and girls, and the influence of loneliness, time playing social video games, level of social media use, and degree of participation in social activities. Elevated loneliness was associated with higher levels of depressive symptoms at all timepoints, for both boys and girls (Fig. 4). Further, among adolescents with high levels of loneliness, sex differences in levels of depression were attenuated. For girls, more time on both social media and video games showed patterns of higher levels of depression across time. In particular, girls with higher levels of social video game time reported greater acute increases in depressive symptoms compared to girls with less social video game (difference = .28, \( p < .01 \)). In contrast to girls, social media use and video game time were not robust predictors of boys’ depression over time. Lastly, throughout the pandemic, girls participating in more social activities experienced a steeper increase in depression symptoms during the pandemic compared to girls participating in fewer social activities (acute period difference = .26, \( p < .01 \); persistent period difference = .48, \( p < .01 \)). Similar to social media use and social video game time, social activity participation was not a robust predictor of depression during the pandemic for boys.

The exploratory analyses testing associations of COVID-19 exposure and racial/ethnic identity with change in depression over time be found in the Supplemental Material.

4. Discussion

Existing literature suggests that the impact of stress on functioning can be more pronounced when the experience is prolonged (Goldfarb, 2020), but in comparison to research on acute collective stressors (for example, an earthquake or event of mass violence), there is very little
research on how extended stressors like a pandemic impact mental health over time. In response to the ongoing public health emergency perpetuated by the COVID-19 pandemic, this study sought to answer critical questions about its acute and persistent effects on adolescent depressive symptoms. Longitudinal modeling identified increases in depressive symptoms among adolescents that have important implications for clinical assessment and treatment, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic. Notably, we observed both acute and persistent increases in adolescent depression levels after the shutdown, and these changes differed by sex and social connectedness. Before the pandemic, girls and boys in our sample did not differ in their depression levels. However, after the shutdown, girls showed a significant uptick in depressive symptoms during the first seven weeks, which were maintained over the course of the next six or seven months. In contrast, boys did not initially exhibit increases in depressive symptoms after the shutdown, but their symptoms increased over the course of the next six months. Typically, adolescents score between 3 and 4 on the CDI-2:SR [S] (Kovacs, 2011), but the average depression scores of adolescents in this study eight months after the shutdown was approximately 5 for boys and 6 for girls, indicating elevated symptoms beyond what would

Fig. 2. Boys’ and girls’ average ratings of social activity participation, loneliness and time on social video games and social media. Note. Asterisks denote significant differences across sex, ***p < .001. Social video game time and social activity participation were rated at T3; loneliness and social media time are averaged scores from T2 and T3 ratings.
Table 2
Boy’s (n = 89) and girls’ (n = 86) trajectories of depression from before to after COVID-19 pandemic onset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timepoint</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2 (⊥ loneliness)</th>
<th>Model 3 (⊥ social video game time)</th>
<th>Model 4 (⊥ social media use time)</th>
<th>Model 5 (⊥ social activity participation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Boys vs. Girls</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CDI score (SE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-COVID (T1)</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
<td>(0.36)</td>
<td>(0.36)</td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute (T2)</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.36)</td>
<td>(0.36) **</td>
<td>(0.35)</td>
<td>(0.67)</td>
<td>(0.36) (0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent (T3)</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
<td>(0.69)</td>
<td>(0.37) (0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change over time (SE)^1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Acute – Pre-COVID</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>–0.39</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>–0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.29)</td>
<td>(0.27)**</td>
<td>(0.33)</td>
<td>(0.64)</td>
<td>(0.31) (0.39)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Persistent – Acute</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.33)*</td>
<td>(0.34)</td>
<td>(0.38)</td>
<td>(0.64)</td>
<td>(0.34) (0.46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *p < .05, **p < .01.
Mean CDI score is estimated by the fitted model at the median timepoint of assessment for each period (median no. weeks from state shutdown: T1: –109, T2: 7, T3: 33). All models are adjusted for age. Models 2–5 additionally include domains of social connectedness: loneliness (model 2), social video game time (model 3), social media use time (model 4), and social activity participation (model 5); these were modeled using 3-way interactions between social exposure*sex*time.

^a Differences by sex were compared using two-tailed Welch’s t-test.
^b Low and high loneliness, social video game time and social media use time were set at the 25th and 75th percentile values in the sample. Loneliness: low = 4.0 vs. high = 9.5 points; social video game time: low = 0 vs. high = 5.2 h; social media use time: low = 2–3, high = 4–6 h; social activity participation sum score: low = 4, high = 8 activities.
^c Mean change between timepoints were estimated using model-predicted CDI score at median weeks from shutdown, stratified by sex.
^d p-values are for test of differences between high vs. low social connectedness variables in mean CDI score and change in mean CDI score over time.
be expected in a non-pandemic population. Changes in depressive symptoms were present after adjusting for age, suggesting that the observed increases were over and above expected developmental changes.

Our results align with and build on a limited number of prospective findings of elevated depression in adolescents in the United States and abroad, in response to COVID-19 (Copeland et al., 2021; Huckins et al., 2020; Magson et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). For example, Magson and colleagues (2020) also observed a more pronounced increase in depression levels for girls compared to boys from before to during COVID-19 (two months in). Our study extends Magson’s finding by documenting that girls’ depression levels remained elevated after an initial rise, while boys showed a delayed response, closing some of the observed gap. Taken together, the results support prior evidence and clinical theory suggesting that an increase in stress (in this case, stress related to COVID-19) and stress sensitivity during adolescence contributes to increases in depressive symptoms (Hankin, 2015; Somerville et al., 2010), and that girls may have heightened stress sensitivity compared to boys in adolescence (Bale, 2006; Bourke and Neigh, 2011; Conley et al., 2014; Goel and Bale, 2009; Rudolph, 2002), resulting in their faster and more extreme depressive reaction in response to COVID-19. The increases in depressive symptoms we observed over time were particularly important, given prior research showing that youth scores on self-report depression measures typically decline over time due to a repeated-measures effect (Long et al., 2020). Also notable were the sex differences present in both level and trajectories of adolescent depression, because while it is well-established that adolescents demonstrate large sex differences in depression as measured by diagnostic interviews, these differences do not necessarily emerge when examining adolescent depression symptoms through self-report questionnaires like the CDI (Long et al., 2020).

Although girls appear to be suffering more than boys in response to COVID-19, our results show that boys also are impacted and thus should not be overlooked in prevention and intervention efforts. Prior research suggests boys’ delayed response may be related to higher levels of emotional regulation, lower levels of socioemotional awareness and/or societal norms and pressures for males to suppress negative emotions more so than girls (Deckert et al., 2020; Giel and Derntl, 2021; Hagler et al., 2016; Pollastri et al., 2018). Scholars have also questioned whether males may be more likely to respond to COVID-19 related stressors via externalizing behaviors that this investigation did not address, such as substance use (Giel and Derntl, 2021). Research examining adolescent externalizing disorders during COVID-19 could deepen understanding of the sex differences identified by the current study.

Given the dearth of mental health research on pandemics prior to COVID-19, it also is important to draw on literature from the field of disaster psychiatry to contextualize study results. To date, research of a longitudinal nature that considers possible sex differences has been limited. A recent meta-analysis found exposure to natural disasters was
linked to both internalizing and externalizing behaviors among youth; however, there was an insufficient amount of data to examine sex or racial/ethnic identity as moderators (Rubens et al., 2018). Several empirical studies have found links between being female and (compared to males) increased psychological distress, internalizing symptoms, or depression among adolescents exposed to the Great East Japan Earthquake (Hayashi et al., 2020), the spring 2011 tornado outbreak in Alabama and Missouri (Adams et al., 2014), and Hurricane Georges in Puerto Rico (Felix et al., 2011). One study found that posttraumatic stress levels were four times greater among children who experienced isolation and quarantine due to the 2009 H1N1 pandemic versus those who did not, and no differences were observed across sex (Sprang and Silman, 2013). Conversely, research examining trajectories of youth posttraumatic stress symptoms after disasters has identified higher levels among girls, but no differences between boys and girls in level of change over time (Osofsky et al., 2015). Unlike the present study, none of these studies included pre-disaster mental health data, and thus were unable to examine change in mental health symptoms from pre to post or during disaster. Nonetheless, findings are mostly consistent with our results showing girls may be more vulnerable to such exposures. More longitudinal disaster research is needed to understand sex differences in trajectories of mental health, particularly depression, from before to during and after collectively stressful events.

This study also found that different domains of social connectedness were related to adolescent depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic, and sometimes the nature of these influences were sex-differentiated. First, adolescents who were lonelier during the pandemic had higher levels of depression both before and throughout the pandemic (regardless of sex). Consistent with existing research conducted prior to and during COVID-19 (Hankin et al., 2015; Loades et al., 2020; Murata et al., 2020), our findings point to loneliness as a key indicator of who might be at higher risk for depression during a pandemic. For example, a recent cross-sectional study identified loneliness as a predictor of anxiety, posttraumatic stress, suicidal ideation or behavior, and prolonged grief among adolescents during COVID-19 (Murata et al., 2020). Additionally, feeling socially connected during the pandemic was protective against poor mental health in a prospective study of adolescents from before to during COVID-19 (Mason et al., 2020). Although directionality cannot be determined in the present study, previous research suggests loneliness is a consistent predictor of depressive symptoms among adolescents (Vanhalst et al., 2012), which aligns with the hypothesis that increased loneliness due to the COVID-19 pandemic is contributing to increased depression among adolescents.

We also examined adolescents’ level of social activity participation during the pandemic, finding that it did not differentiate depression levels for boys or girls across the pandemic. More social activity participation was linked to greater increases in depression over time for girls. We cannot say with certainty the directionality of these associations, and further research is needed to discern whether maintaining social activity is a vulnerability factor for more depression among adolescents during a pandemic, or indicative of those adolescents who are generally more socially inclined and further impacted by COVID-19-related isolation.

Online social activity was related to girls’ depressive symptoms over time. Higher levels of social media use were associated with higher depressive symptoms, while more social media use and social video game time were linked to greater acute increases in depression. These results build on prior cross-sectional research that found more social media use related to more depression among adolescents during COVID-19 (Ellis et al., 2020; Murata et al., 2020). Our results support previous research suggesting that social video game usage influences girls’ and boys’ mental health differently; specifically, it has been associated with greater risk for anxiety among females and lower risk among males (Ohanessian, 2009; Ohanessian, 2019). Video games may differently impact boys’ and girls’ mental health for a variety of reasons, including misogyny and sexual harassment throughout social gaming spaces (Campbell, 2018; Clarke, 2019; O’Halloran, 2017).

Although directionality of the relation between online social activity and depression cannot be determined, prior research found that depression predicts more frequent social media use only among girls (not boys), and not the other way around (Heffer et al., 2019). This body of research highlights the need for further research on directionality of relations between various domains of social connectedness and depression, and differences across sex, particularly in the context of pandemics. It is also possible that domains of social connectedness (loneliness, social video game time, social media time, social activity participation) interact to influence depression. Future research can study this question through testing loneliness as a mediator or moderator of the association between social media use and video game time and depression.

4.1. Limitations and future research directions

This study has several limitations. Importantly, most study participants were in economically stable households and had minimal exposure to the virus. Studies need to examine adolescent depression in higher risk populations and study the unique and cumulative impact of other collective stressors co-occurring with COVID-19, including but not limited to COVID-19 exposure, racial injustice and political unrest (American Psychological Association, 2020). Longitudinal studies of depressive symptoms among socioeconomic and racially/ethnically diverse samples of adolescents across the U.S. during COVID-19 could more comprehensively address how COVID-19 intersects with social interactions, structural inequities, and socioeconomic disadvantage to pattern health outcomes. We were unable to assess the type of social media content or social video games adolescents were engaging with; more research is needed to examine these nuances, how well they capture social connectedness, and links to mental health. Lastly, there is evidence to suggest that exposure to COVID-19 itself and/or the stress of COVID-19 may alter brain structures associated with mental health (Valenzano et al., 2020). For example, one study found volumetric increases in brain regions commonly linked to internalizing disorders, in relation to a COVID-19-related lockdown (Salomon et al., 2021). Future research can build on the findings reported in this study by examining neurobiological mechanisms accounting for the relation between the COVID-19 pandemic and mental health.

4.2. Implications

Despite this study’s limitations, its findings illustrate that increased attention to addressing depression among adolescents is essential during pandemics. Guidelines and toolkits for best practices in depression screening and intervention exist, including recommendations for both psychotherapy (i.e. cognitive behavioral therapy and interpersonal therapy) and pharmacotherapy (Clark et al., 2012). Strategies drawing on telehealth, mobile health (mhealth) and positive technologies (Riva et al., 2020), short-term treatment and self-guided interventions are available to implement with broad populations and must be tested in future research.

Our finding that loneliness exacerbated depression levels in the context of an extreme stressor underscores the importance of social support to adolescent mental health during the pandemic. During this time, more responsibility is falling on families to support one another and/or to find safe opportunities for social connection, for example, through socially-distanced and online activities and meetings. Programs and policies that provide financial and other types of resources for families are crucial and will likely have a ripple benefit of allowing them more emotional capacity to support one another. Additionally, youth-serving organizations should also be aware of the increased risk of depression during this time, particularly among girls, and potential ways to mitigate risk including through increasing opportunities for social connection. Attention to social media and video game use is also indicated. Social media and gaming can be an outlet for adolescents to
receive peer social support and engage with friends, but it may also contribute to worse mental health through exposure to online bullying, social comparison, or harmful modeling. Understanding an adolescents’ individual pattern of engagement with social media and video games (particularly, whether or not they are playing with friends in a supportive manner) and the purpose it serves for them should be an important consideration in terms of its potential impact on their mental health.

Our results also suggest that participants are struggling to balance their need for social connection and interaction with physical health safety precautions during the pandemic. The majority of our participants were not living in complete “lockdown mode,” despite public health recommendations, but rather endorse participating (at relatively equal rates for boys and girls) in a variety of social activities that present different levels of infection risk. Therefore, it would seem that relying on recommendations, but rather endorsed participating (at relatively equal health.
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