

8-21-2018

Risk Factors Associated With Low Back Pain in Golfers: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Jo Armour Smith

Chapman University, josmith@chapman.edu

Andrew Hawkins

Los Angeles Angels

Marybeth Grant-Beuttler

Chapman University, beuttler@chapman.edu

Richard Beuttler

Independent Researcher, richardbeuttler@gmail.com

Szu-Ping Lee

Independent Researcher

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/pt_articles



Part of the [Physical Therapy Commons](#), and the [Sports Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Smith JA, Hawkins A, Grant-Beuttler M, Beuttler R, Lee S-P. Risk factors associated with low back pain in golfers: a systematic review and meta- analysis. *Sports Health*. 2018. doi: 10.1177/1941738118795425

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physical Therapy at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physical Therapy Faculty Articles and Research by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact laughtin@chapman.edu.

Risk Factors Associated With Low Back Pain in Golfers: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Comments

This is a pre-copy-editing, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in *Sports Health* in 2018 following peer review. The definitive publisher-authenticated version is available online at [DOI:10.1177/1941738118795425](https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738118795425).

Copyright

The authors

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

Risk factors associated with low back pain in golfers: a systematic review and meta- analysis

Jo Armour Smith¹

Andrew Hawkins²

Marybeth Grant-Beuttler¹

Richard Beuttler³

Szu-Ping Lee³

¹ Department of Physical Therapy, Crean College of Health and Behavioral Sciences, Chapman University, 9401 Jeronimo Road, Irvine, CA, USA 92618

² Los Angeles Angels, Scottsdale, AZ, USA

³ Independent researcher, Santa Ana, CA, USA

⁴ Department of Physical Therapy, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, USA, 89154

Corresponding Author: Jo Armour Smith, Department of Physical Therapy, Chapman University, 9401 Jeronimo Road, Irvine, CA, USA 92618.

Email josmith@chapman.edu

Tel: (1) 714 744 7924

20 **ABSTRACT**

21 **Context**

22 Low back pain is common in golfers. The risk factors for golf-related low back pain are unclear, but may
23 include individual demographic, anthropometric and practice factors as well as movement
24 characteristics of the golf swing.

25 **Objective**

26 The aims of this systematic review were to summarize and synthesize evidence for factors associated
27 with low back pain in recreational and professional golfers.

28 **Data sources**

29 A systematic literature search was conducted in the PubMed, CINAHL and SPORTDiscus electronic
30 databases through September 2017.

31 **Study selection**

32 Studies were included if they quantified demographic, anthropometric, biomechanical, or practice
33 variables in individuals with and without golf-related low back pain.

34 **Study design**

35 Systematic review and meta-analysis

36 **Level of evidence**

37 3

38 **Data extraction**

39 Studies were independently reviewed for inclusion by two authors and the following data were
40 extracted: the characterization of low back pain, participant demographics, anthropometrics,
41 biomechanics, strength/flexibility and practice characteristics. The methodological quality of studies was
42 appraised by three of the authors using a previously published checklist. Where possible, individual and
43 pooled effect sizes of select variables of interest were calculated for differences between golfers with
44 and without pain.

45 **Results**

46 The search retrieved 73 articles. Nineteen of these met the inclusion criteria, including twelve case-
47 control studies, five cross-sectional studies, and two prospective longitudinal studies. Methodological
48 quality scores ranged from 12.5 to 100.0%. Pooled analyses demonstrated a significant association
49 between increased age and body mass and golf-related low back pain in cross-sectional/case-control
50 studies. Prospective data indicated that previous history of back pain predicts future episodes of pain.

51 **Conclusion**

52 This review indicates that individual demographic and anthropometric characteristics may be
53 associated with low back pain but does not support a relationship between swing characteristics and
54 the development of golf-related pain. Additional high-quality prospective studies are needed to clarify
55 risk factors for back pain in golfers.

56

57

58 **Keywords**

59 Golf, low back pain, swing, biomechanics, risk factors

1

2 INTRODUCTION

3 Golf is one of the most frequently played sports in the world. More than 6 million people across Europe
4 and 26 million in the United States report playing at least one round per year.¹⁷ Due to the physical
5 activity and social interaction inherent in the sport, playing golf is associated with benefits to
6 cardiovascular, respiratory and metabolic health, particularly in older adults.⁴² However, in comparison
7 with other sports and recreational activities, golf is also associated with a moderate risk of
8 musculoskeletal injury.^{7,47} Low back pain (LBP) is one of the most common musculoskeletal problems
9 reported by recreational and professional golfers.^{21,39,40} The prevalence of low back injuries has been
10 estimated at between 15 to 35% in amateurs and up to 55% in professionals,¹⁰ and is associated with
11 significant time lost from golf play and practice.^{16,21} Multiple factors have been identified as potential
12 causes of LBP in golfers. These include movement characteristics of the golf swing, individual
13 demographic and physical characteristics, and volume of play/practice.

14 Back pain in golfers is often attributed to the mechanical demands of golfing. The golf swing is a
15 repetitive, asymmetrical motion that is associated with high segmental angular velocities and
16 substantial compressive, torsional and shear loading of the spine.²⁸ In particular, several characteristics
17 of modern swing technique have been identified as potential contributors to low back pain. In
18 comparison with traditional swing mechanics, modern swing technique utilizes increased separation
19 between the upper trunk/shoulders and pelvis at peak backswing and during the downswing.^{10,18} The
20 separation angle between the upper trunk and pelvis is called the “**X-factor**”(Figure 1a). Increasing the
21 X-factor may enhance angular velocity of the trunk toward the lead (non-dominant) side and therefore
22 increase the velocity of the clubhead²⁰ but also requires adequate spinal mobility. Modern swing
23 technique is also associated with increased lateral flexion to the trail (dominant) side. This peaks at
24 impact and during early follow-through. The combination of axial plane angular velocity toward the lead
25 side and lateral flexion toward the trail side is termed the “**crunch factor**”(Figure 1b).^{41,50} An
26 additional component of modern swing that has been proposed to contribute to low back pain is the

27 trunk hyperextension, or “reverse – C” position that occurs during follow-through (**Figure 1c**).¹⁰
28 Increased trunk hyperextension and crunch factor may result in greater compressive and shearing
29 forces on the lumbar spine. To date however, there is no clear evidence regarding swing mechanics
30 and the development of low back pain in golfers.

31 In addition to the mechanics of the golf swing, factors specific to the individual golfer have been
32 proposed to increase the risk of developing LBP. These include limited or asymmetrical hip rotation
33 range of motion,⁴³ increasing age,⁵¹ and the method used to transport the golf bag.⁴⁵ As most low back
34 pain in golfers is attributed to overuse or repetitive strain rather than a single precipitating event,³⁶ the
35 frequency and duration of playing and practice has also been hypothesized to contribute to symptoms,
36 particularly in professionals.⁴² However, the evidence for any of these factors is limited and often
37 conflicting.

38 Due to the popularity of golf, it is important to establish evidence-informed preventative and
39 rehabilitation strategies for low back pain in golfers. The objective of this review therefore was to
40 systematically appraise, and synthesize where possible, evidence for risk factors that may be
41 associated with low back pain in recreational and professional golfers.

42 **METHODS**

43 The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (PRISMA)
44 guidelines were utilized in the development of this review.³³ The protocol was registered in the
45 International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (*PROSPERO*: CRD42017067927).

46 **Eligibility Criteria**

47 Peer-reviewed studies were included if they quantified demographic, anthropometric, biomechanical, or
48 practice variables in individuals with and without golf-related LBP. Studies of amateur and professional
49 golfers of all ages and abilities were included. Case-control, cross-sectional and prospective
50 longitudinal study designs were eligible for inclusion. Studies were excluded if they were conference

51 abstracts, case reports, treatment studies, review articles, or if they did not include comparisons of
52 individuals with and without back pain. Studies were also excluded if the full-text was not available in
53 English.

54 **Search strategy**

55 A literature search was conducted in October 2016 in the PubMed, CINAHL and SPORTDiscus
56 electronic databases, without date restriction. The search terms were entered in three groups: 1) low
57 back pain and synonyms (lower back pain, lumbago, sciatica, back ache); 2) golf; and 3) modern swing,
58 swing characteristics, crunch factor, kinematics, kinetics, EMG, biomechanics, handicap, epidemiology,
59 risk factors, risks, predictors and injury prevention. The terms from all three groups were combined with
60 'AND'. Terms within groups were combined with 'OR'. Reference lists from all accessed articles and
61 previous reviews were also screened to identify any additional relevant studies. The search was
62 repeated using the same search terms in the same databases on 25th September 2017 to identify any
63 research articles published since the original search.

64 **Study selection/data extraction**

65 Two authors independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of all the identified studies to determine
66 eligibility. The following data were extracted from eligible studies:

- 67 • Study design
- 68 • Study population and sample size (setting, recruitment approach)
- 69 • Definition/criteria for low back pain
- 70 • Demographics
- 71 • Anthropometric variables
- 72 • Biomechanical golf swing variables
- 73 • Strength and flexibility variables
- 74 • Practice/expertise variables

- 75 • Other factors (e.g. transport of golf clubs)

76 **Quality assessment**

77 Assessment of study quality and risk of bias was conducted utilizing a previously published 16-item
78 checklist (Table 1).^{23,55,56} The total quality score was calculated as the sum of all positively-scored
79 checklist items from numbers 3 – 16 relevant to that study type, divided by the total possible score for
80 that study type (8, 12 and 9 for cross-sectional, case-control and prospective cohort studies
81 respectively) and expressed as a percentage score. Three of the authors (JAS, AH and SPL) first
82 independently scored the studies. The three authors then discussed any study where there was
83 disagreement until a consensus score was reached.

84 **Data synthesis**

85 Where possible, effect sizes for case-control or cross-sectional group comparisons were extracted or
86 calculated. For continuous data, the standardized mean difference was calculated utilizing Cohen's *d*.
87 Confidence intervals (CI) for the Cohen's *d* estimate were also calculated utilizing the z or t-distribution
88 for samples larger or smaller than 30 individuals respectively. Odds ratios (OR) and confidence
89 intervals were extracted or calculated where possible for dichotomous data. For studies where sample
90 frequencies or means and standard deviations/standard errors were not reported, attempts were made
91 to contact the authors to request the data. Meta-analysis, consisting of calculation of a pooled
92 standardized mean difference and 95% confidence interval was then conducted for all variables for
93 which appropriate data were available in at least 2 studies, and where studies were sufficiently similar
94 in population and outcome assessment. A random effects model was utilized to account for remaining
95 study heterogeneity.⁵ The I^2 statistic was also calculated, with I^2 greater than 0.75 indicative of
96 substantial heterogeneity across studies.²⁵ For prospective longitudinal studies, statistical measures of
97 the relationship between independent variables and occurrence of low back pain over the study period
98 were extracted. All statistical analyses were conducted with the open-source R statistical platform (R
99 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, version 3.4.1).¹³

100 **RESULTS**

101 **Search results**

102 Nineteen studies were retained for the review. Of these, twelve were case-control studies, five were
103 cross-sectional studies and two were prospective longitudinal studies. (Figure 2)

104 **Study characteristics**

105 Ten of the studies investigated recreational golfers. Of these, three specified a minimum duration of golf
106 experience or frequency of play for inclusion^{11,15,44} , and two required a handicap below 20.^{30,52} Three
107 studies included both professional and elite recreational golfers,^{21,27,35} and four investigated
108 professional golfers exclusively.^{16,22,34,53} (Table 2)

109 **Methodological quality**

110 Agreement among the three reviewers on each checklist item ranged from 80 to 100%. The least
111 agreement occurred on items 4 (participation rate) and 14 (control of individual confounding factors).
112 (Table 2)

113 **Prevalence and incidence of low back pain**

114 In the cross-sectional studies, prevalence of golf-related LBP in recreational golfers varied from
115 12.4%²¹ to 26.9%.³ In cross-sectional studies of professional golfers, prevalence ranged from 40.0 to
116 58.1%.^{21,22} In these studies, it was unclear whether the reported prevalence of low back pain was
117 specific to the time of the study, over the course of a year, or lifetime prevalence. In the longitudinal
118 studies, the incidence of new or recurrent back pain episodes was 31.6% (novice recreational golfers)
119 and 57.1% (young elite golfers) across the course of a year or a playing season respectively.^{6,16}

120 **Demographic factors**

121 The pooled results from nine case-control and cross-sectional studies indicated that greater age was
122 significantly associated with LBP (SMD 0.57, CI 0.07 – 1.07, I² 79.9%, Figure 3a). The studies included
123 in this meta-analysis included cohorts of both professional and recreational golfers with disparate age

124 distributions. Therefore, separate sub-analyses for the relationship between age and LBP in
125 recreational and professional golfers were also conducted. These demonstrated the same trends
126 (recreational golfers SMD 0.50, CI -0.14 – 1.14, I² 80.0%; professional golfers SMD 0.83 CI -0.95 –
127 2.61 I² 89.1%, Figure 3b). One of the four studies reporting the association between sex and LBP found
128 that male golfers are more likely to experience pain (OR 3.4, CI 1.3 - 13.4),⁴⁴ but this finding was not
129 replicated in other cohorts.^{3,40,43} One study reported a higher percentage of low back injuries in
130 professionals compared with recreational golfers (OR 4.7, CI 2.7 - 8.3).²¹ In the prospective study data,
131 the only demographic factor that was a significant predictor of occurrence of back pain over twelve
132 months (in novice recreational golfers) was a previous history of back pain (relative risk 9.8, CI 4.5 -
133 21.4).⁶

134 **Anthropometric characteristics**

135 Pooled results from case-control and cross-sectional studies indicated that mass was significantly
136 associated with LBP (SMD 0.36, CI 0.09 - 0.63, I² 0.0%, Figure 4a). Golfers with LBP were heavier than
137 healthy controls. Separate sub-analyses for recreational and professional golfers were again conducted
138 to account for the different data distributions in each group. Sub-analyses demonstrated that a
139 relationship between mass and low back pain existed only in recreational golfers (recreational golfers
140 SMD 0.64, CI 0.21 – 1.06, I² 0.00%; professional golfers SMD 0.08 CI -0.45 – 0.60 I² 0.00%, Figure 4b).
141 One longitudinal study showed that, in trainee professional golfers, **Body Mass Index (BMI)** was
142 significantly negatively correlated with frequency (% time) of LBP symptoms over a 10-month period (r
143 = -0.7).¹⁶ There was no evidence that hand dominance is associated with LBP.⁴³

144 **Golf swing movement characteristics**

145 Kinematic and muscle activation characteristics of the golf swing in individuals with and without LBP
146 were investigated in seven case-control and cross-sectional studies. All but two studies^{34,52} divided the
147 swing into address, backswing, downswing, impact and follow-through events and phases.

148

149 Pooled analyses of kinematic data (Table 3) were limited by heterogeneity in methodology, particularly
150 in the approach taken to modelling trunk motion, and results were inconsistent. Two studies
151 investigated crunch factor, defined as the instantaneous product of trunk or lumbar axial angular
152 velocity and trunk or lumbar lateral flexion angle. There was no significant difference between peak
153 crunch factor in individuals with and without LBP in either study. Peak X-factor was reported in two
154 studies, with conflicting results (Table 3).

155

156 Two studies investigated the timing of trunk muscle activity during the golf swing.^{12,27} Pooled analysis of
157 both studies indicated no relationship between timing of lead side external oblique onset relative to the
158 beginning of backswing in golfers and LBP (SMD -1.33 CI -4.83 – 2.18, I² 95.82). Cole et al., reported
159 that onsets of bilateral upper and lower lumbar erector spinae were earlier relative to the beginning of
160 backswing in the LBP group (*d* range = 0.7 – 1.0).¹² In one study, differences in amplitude of erector
161 spinae and external oblique activity between individuals with and without LBP showed different trends
162 in high-handicap and low-handicap golfers,⁹ while another reported no difference in abdominal muscle
163 activity between groups in professionals.²⁷ Silva et al.,⁴⁸ reported that activity of the lead biceps femoris
164 during backswing was the most important factor to distinguish between golfers with and without LBP
165 using a non-linear machine learning approach.

166

167 **Strength/flexibility characteristics**

168 Several cross sectional/case control studies demonstrated a relationship between trunk and hip muscle
169 performance and LBP. (Table 4) Peak trunk extensor strength, endurance of the trunk extensors and
170 flexors, and endurance in the side bridge position did not predict development of LBP over 10 months
171 in young professionals.¹⁶ However, side-to-side asymmetry of side-bridge endurance was significantly
172 associated with development of LBP (*r* = 0.6), explaining 36% of the variability.

173

174 Pooled analyses of trunk extension range of motion data (SMD 3.2, CI -2.6 - 9.0, I² 98.0%) and two out
175 of three individual studies investigating active trunk motion in all other planes did not indicate an
176 association between trunk range of motion and LBP.^{30,52,53} Four studies investigated hip ranges of
177 motion. Pooled analyses of lead and trail hip internal rotation did not demonstrate an association
178 between range of motion and LBP (lead limb SMD 1.25, CI -1.3 - 3.8, I² 96.8; trail limb SMD 0.13, CI -
179 0.3 - 0.5, I² 0.0%). Similarly, lead and trail hip external rotation were not associated with LBP (lead limb
180 SMD 0.1, CI 0.7 - 0.9, I² 61.3%; trail limb SMD 0.1, CI --0.9 - 1.1, I² 72.8%). Two studies reported that
181 side-to-side asymmetry in hip internal rotation was significantly greater in individuals with LBP, with the
182 LBP groups having reduced range of motion in the lead hip^{43,53} but appropriate data were not available
183 to pool these results or calculate effect sizes.

184

185 **Practice characteristics**

186 The pooled analysis of case-control and cross-sectional studies demonstrated no relationship between
187 handicap and low back pain (SMD 0.0, CI -0.3 - 0.4, I² 0.0%). Although multiple studies investigated
188 frequency and duration of play/practice, the heterogeneity in how practice characteristics were
189 measured precluded pooled analyses. One study reported that there was a lower risk of LBP in
190 individuals who performed less than 1 hour of full shot practice per week (OR 0.5, CI 0.3 - 0.8)⁴⁰ and
191 another described increasing rates of spinal pain with increasing rounds and shots played per week.²¹
192 However, multiple other studies found no significant difference in playing frequency or chipping/full shot
193 practice in individuals with and without LBP.^{3,34,43,44} There was no evidence of any influence of warm-
194 up, stretching or strengthening behaviors on LBP status in either the case-control/cross-sectional^{21,22} or
195 prospective studies.⁶ Gosheger et al.,²¹ reported that in their sample, individuals who reported regularly
196 carrying their golf bag were significantly more likely to have experienced LBP.

197

198 **DISCUSSION**

199 This study confirms that LBP is a widespread problem in golfers. Pooled analyses indicated that LBP is
200 associated with individual demographic and anthropometric characteristics, but current evidence does
201 not conclusively link kinematic or electromyographic features of swing technique to golf-related LBP.

202 In this review, age and previous history of symptoms emerged as potential contributors to LBP. The
203 average age of recreational golfers in the pooled data was 51.5 years, consistent with reported average
204 ages of recreational golfers in the US, Europe and Australia.^{2,14,49} In the general population, the
205 prevalence of LBP also increases with age until the sixth decade.²⁹ This has been attributed to a
206 transition from short, acute episodes of pain in young adulthood to more persistent symptoms over
207 time.⁵⁴ One high quality longitudinal study indicated that the strongest predictor of future episodes of
208 golf-related LBP is a previous history of low back pain.⁶ This finding also supports results from studies
209 of the general population and in other athletic groups.^{8,54} Other predictors of future episodes of LBP
210 following an initial episode include the severity of pain during the initial episode,¹⁹ alterations in central
211 nervous system structure and function³⁸ and depression and psychological distress.⁴⁶ These factors
212 were not investigated in any of the studies reviewed and should be included in future studies of golf-
213 related LBP.

214 This review found that in recreational golfers, as in non-golfers, greater mass is associated with more
215 LBP. This is potentially due to increased spinal loading. However, increased mass may also be a
216 consequence of reduced physical activity due to the presence of pain.³² In young professional golfers in
217 contrast, development of LBP over time was associated with a lower BMI. The mechanism by which
218 lower BMI may increase risk for low back pain does not appear to be mediated by muscle mass, as in
219 the longitudinal study by Evans et al.,¹⁶ there was no relationship between BMI and strength. They
220 speculated that taller individuals with lower body mass may be at heightened risk of injury due to
221 increased trunk range of motion or increased lever arm for forces at the spine, but these hypotheses
222 have not been further examined.

223 This study does not indicate a consistent link between features of modern swing and golf-related low
224 back pain. Increased X-factor, crunch factor and trunk hyperextension may all result in greater loading
225 of the spine and may be associated with asymmetrical patterns of spinal degenerative changes.⁵⁰
226 However, the absence of significant group differences in these swing mechanics in current studies
227 likely reflects a multifactorial relationship between cumulative mechanical loading and an individual's
228 risk of developing low back pain. Although two small studies demonstrated altered timing and activation
229 of the trunk musculature during the swing in individuals with back pain, the characteristics that were
230 affected were inconsistent and varied in golfers with high and low handicap.⁹ As substantial evidence in
231 non-golfers indicates that motor control adaptations with low back pain are highly individual,²⁶ further
232 research with larger samples will be needed to elucidate changes in motor control of the trunk
233 musculature in specific sub-groups of golfers. Additional epidemiological work will also be needed to
234 clarify if the prevalence of LBP is increasing as result of changes to swing mechanics.

235 The results in this review do not support a relationship between lead/trail hip range of motion and LBP.
236 Biomechanical analysis in healthy professional golfers indicates that golfers with limited lead hip
237 internal rotation utilize greater lumbopelvic motion throughout the golf swing and suggests that this
238 increased spinal motion may lead to back pain over time.³¹ However, this relationship is not consistently
239 evident in current research, and this may be due to disparities between available single-planar joint
240 range of motion measured in an unweighted position and the dynamic, multi-planar motion utilized
241 during the swing.²⁴

242 Individual cross-sectional and case-control studies reported impairments in multiple aspects of trunk
243 muscle performance in golfers with LBP. As these studies examined different variables, data could not
244 be pooled.^{15 35,52} Decrements in trunk muscle strength and endurance have also been reported in non-
245 golfers with low back pain. These have primarily been attributed to deconditioning, exertional pain, and
246 fear avoidance.^{1,4,37} In the longitudinal study that reported that trunk endurance asymmetry was
247 predictive of back pain in young elite golfers, multiple participants had a history of LBP at baseline and

248 therefore it is unclear to what extent this strength asymmetry was a result of previous episodes of pain
249 rather than a cause of ongoing symptoms.

250 Pooling of data in this review was limited by study heterogeneity and is reflected by high I^2 statistics for
251 some variables. There was substantial variability in how LBP was operationalized in terms of severity or
252 duration across studies. Additionally, studies that investigated the biomechanics of the golf swing
253 utilized disparate approaches to estimating global or regional trunk motion. The methodological quality
254 of studies in this review varied widely. However, quality scores in the present study were similar to
255 those in previous systematic reviews of risk factors for musculoskeletal disorders utilizing the same
256 methodological checklist.^{23,56} Only three studies in the review controlled for potential confounding
257 factors in the analysis^{6,9,44} and five reported measures of association and confidence intervals.^{6,16,43,44,48}
258 Very few reported the participation rate relative to the available population or utilized blinded
259 assessment.

260 **CONCLUSION**

261 Age and body mass are associated with golf-related low back pain. BMI and previous history of back
262 pain may predict golfers who will experience symptoms. However, due to generally low quality and
263 heterogeneity of current evidence, additional research is needed to facilitate evidence-based prevention
264 and rehabilitation of low back pain in golfers.

265

266 **Funding sources:**

267 None of the authors were supported by funding for this systematic review

268

269 Table 1. Checklist for assessment methodological quality for cross sectional (CS), case-control (CC)
 270 and prospective cohort (PC) study designs.^{23,56}
 271

Domain	Item #	Description	CS	CC	PC
Study objective					
	1	Positive, if the study had a clearly defined objective	+	+	+
Study population					
	2	Positive, if the main features of the study population are described (sampling frame and distribution of the population according to age and sex)	+	+	+
	3	Positive, if cases and controls are drawn from the same population and a clear definition of cases and controls is given and if subjects with the disease/symptom in the past 3 months are excluded from the control group		+	
	4	Positive, if the participation rate is at least 80% or if the participation rate is 60–80% and the non-response is not selective (data shown)	+	+	+
	5	Positive, if the participation rate at main moment of follow-up is at least 80% or if the non-response is not selective (data shown)			+
Measurements					
	6	Positive, if data on history of the disease/symptom is collected and included in the statistical analysis	+	+	+
	7	Positive, if the outcome is measured in an identical manner among cases and controls		+	
	8	Positive, if the outcome assessment is blinded with respect to disease status	+	+	
	9	Positive, if the outcome is assessed at a time before the occurrence of the disease/symptom		+	
Assessment of the outcome					
	10	Positive, if the time-period on which the assessment of disease/symptom was based was at least 1 year			+
	11	Method for assessing injury status: physical examination blinded to exposure status (+); self-reported: specific questions relating to symptoms/disease/use of manikin (+), single question (-)	+	+	+
	12	Positive, if incident cases* were included (prospective enrolment)		+	
Analysis and data presentation					
	13	Positive, if the measures of association estimated were presented (OR/RR), including CI and numbers in the analysis	+	+	+
	14	Positive, if the analysis is controlled for confounding or effect modification: individual factors	+	+	+
	15	Positive, if the analysis is controlled for confounding or effect modification: other factors	+	+	+
	16	Positive, if the number of cases in the final multivariate model was at least 10 times the number of independent variables in the analysis	+	+	+
Total possible score (sum of items 3 – 16)			8	12	9

272

Table 2. Overview of cross sectional (CS), case-control (CC) and prospective cohort (PC) studies included in review. Bold font indicates that the study found a significant difference between golfers with and without low back pain (LBP) for that variable.

Study	Design	Quality score (%)	Population characteristics	Low back pain criteria	N (M:F)	Potential risk factors (group comparisons available)				
						Demographics	Anthropometrics	Swing characteristics	Strength/flexibility	Practice characteristics
Batt 1992 ³	CS	12.5	Members of a British golf club	Site of injury (back); differentiated between injuries received playing golf and injuries affecting golf	193 (164:29)	Age; sex				Handicap; years of experience; rounds per month
Burdorf et al., 1996 ⁶	PC	100.0	Male novice recreational golfers at Dutch ranges and clubs	Lifetime history of low back pain (frequency, duration and severity of episodes); 1-year incidence of back pain	196 (196:0)	Age; education; occupation; physical activity at work;	Height; weight			Involvement in other sports; playing frequency; handicap at 1 year; number of lessons; regular warm-up Handicap
Cole & Grimshaw 2008 ¹²	CC	25.0	Not reported	≥20mm pain severity on VAS	27 (27:0)	Age	Height ; mass; BMI	Onset and cessation of external oblique and erector spinae activity		Handicap
Cole & Grimshaw 2008 ⁹	CC	33.3	Not reported	≥20mm pain severity on VAS	30 (30:0)	Age	Height; mass	Amplitude of external oblique and erector spinae activity		Sub-grouped into high-handicap and low-handicap cohorts
Cole & Grimshaw 2014 ¹¹	CC	25.0	Golfers at local private and public courses in Australia; over 18 years; playing for >12 months; current handicap	History of LBP when playing or practicing golf	27 (27:0)	Age	Height ; mass; BMI	Trunk lateral flexion; trunk and hip axial rotation and separation angle; trunk axial angular velocity; crunch factor		Handicap
Evans & Oldreive 2000 ¹⁵	CC	16.7	Recreational golfers from single UK club; playing twice weekly; age 20-45 years; playing > 2 years	History of LBP that prevents playing golf in last 2 years; no pain in previous 3 months	20 (20:0)				Endurance of transversus abdominis muscle	

Evans et al., 2005 ¹⁶	PC	33.3	Trainee professionals in the Queensland PGA	Moderate or severe symptoms; symptom impact on golf; presence/absence of leg pain	14 (14:0)		BMI		Endurance of abdominals and erector spinae; endurance asymmetry ; peak hip and trunk extensor strength; hamstring and hip flexor flexibility; lumbar spine range of motion	
Gosheger et al., 2003 ²¹	CS	12.5	Golfers at 24 German courses; professional and recreational golfers	Site of symptoms (lumbar, thoracic, cervical spine, categories collapsed into spine for most analyses); trauma versus overuse; duration of absence from golf; symptoms related to or unrelated to golf	703 (456:187)	Age; sex	BMI			Stretching and warm-up behaviors; rounds per week; driving range shots per week; golf bag carrying ; professional status
Gulgin & Armstrong 2008 ²²	CS	12.5	Professional golfers on LPGA Tour	Site of symptoms (right, left, bilateral, upper back, mid back, low back)	31 (0: 31)	Age	Height; weight		Passive hip internal and external rotation range of motion; side-to-side asymmetry	Stretching routine; strengthening program
Horton et al., 2001 ²⁷	CC	25.0	Professional and elite recreational golfers; members of Alberta PGA or Alberta GA; under 55 years	Report of always or often experiencing LBP after golf; symptoms for > 6 months	18 (18: 0)	Age	Height; weight; BMI	Amplitude of rectus abdominis, external oblique and internal oblique activity before and after practice session; onset of external oblique and internal oblique before and after practice session	Abdominal muscle fatigue before and after practice session	

Kalra et al., 2012 ³⁰	CC	25.0	Handicap ≤ 20; right-handed; 25-65 years	History of LBP for > 2 weeks; affecting golf within past year; ODI score ≥ 24%; symptoms central or on right side; symptoms resulted from or aggravated by golf;	30 (not reported)				Trunk strength; trunk range of motion; hamstring flexibility	
Lindsay & Horton 2002 ³⁴	CC	25.0	Members of Alberta PGA	Report of always experiencing LBP after golf	54 (54:0)	Age	Height; mass	Trunk flexion, extension, lateral flexion , axial rotation; peak trunk angular flexion , extension, lateral flexion and axial velocity		Rounds per month; practice sessions per month; balls per practice session; putting sessions per month; time per putting session
Lindsay & Horton 2006 ³⁵	CC	25.0	Members of Alberta PGA; elite amateurs; patients of local physical therapy clinics; under 50 years	Report of always or often experiencing LBP after golf; symptoms for > 6 months	39 (39:0)	Age	Height; mass		Trunk axial rotation strength; trunk axial rotation endurance	
Murray et al., 2009 ⁴³	CC	41.7	Members of two British golf clubs	Current LBP or history of LBP within previous year; symptoms for > 2 weeks; over-use rather than trauma	64 (43:21)	Age; sex	Height; weight ; handedness		Hip active and passive internal and external rotation; side-to-side hip asymmetry	Handicap; rounds per week; years of experience
McHardy et al., 2007 ⁴⁰	CS	12.5	Members of golf clubs randomly selected from across Australia	Current golf-related LBP or history of golf-related LBP in past 12 months	1725 (1316:318)	Age; sex				Handicap; duration of chipping/putting practice per week; full shot practice per week ; games per week
Nicholas et al., 1998 ⁴⁴	CS	75.0	Members of NY State GA; over 21 years; playing ≥ 1 year	Back condition from golf	368 (294:74)	Age; sex ; history of smoking; history of alcohol intake	Self-report of overweight			Handicap; holes per week; weeks of play per year; years of play
Silva et al., 2015 ⁴⁸	CC	25.0	Right-handed golfers	Report of experiencing back pain after playing 18 holes > 65% of the time	21 (not reported)	Age	Height, mass	Discriminant capacity of non-linear muscle activation patterns of rectus femoris, biceps femoris, semi-tendinosus,		Handicap; years of play

								external oblique, erector spinae and gluteus maximus		
Tsai et al., 2010 ⁵²	CC	25.0	Male, right-handed golfers with handicap < 20	Report of mechanical LBP aggravated by golf within previous 2 years; asymptomatic	32 (32:0)	Age	Height; mass	Axial trunk/pelvis separation; peak axial trunk rotation ; peak L5-S1 moments	Peak trunk and hip strength ; trunk and hip active range of motion; hamstring flexibility; FABER test; active spinal repositioning error; center of pressure velocity in single-limb stance	Handicap; estimated driving distance
Vad et al., 2004 ⁵³	CC	33.3	Professional golfers on PGA Tour	Report of LBP limiting golf performance for > 2 weeks in previous year	42 (42:0)	Age			Hip internal rotation range of motion ; FABER test; side-to-side hip asymmetry ; trunk flexion and lumbar extension range of motion	

Table 3. Summary of individual study findings for swing kinematics, with calculated effect sizes (Cohen's d) and confidence intervals (CI) for group comparisons

Study	Variable	Finding in LBP group	Swing phase	Effect size (CI)
Lindsay & Horton 2002 ³⁴	Peak trunk lateral flexion to lead side	Increased	Entire swing	2.0 (0.4 – 3.5)
Lindsay & Horton 2002 ³⁴	Peak trunk lateral flexion angular velocity	Increased	Entire swing	1.3 (-0.1 – 2.7)
Lindsay & Horton 2002 ³⁴	Trunk flexion angular velocity	Decreased	Entire swing	2.1 (0.5 – 3.7)
Tsai et al., 2010 ⁵²	Peak trunk axial rotation to trail side	Decreased	Entire swing	1.6 (0.7 – 2.4)
Cole & Grimshaw 2014 ¹¹	Peak crunch factor	No difference	Follow-through	0.1 (-0.7- 0.9)
Lindsay & Horton 2002 ³⁴	Peak crunch factor	No difference	Entire swing	0.2 (-1.1 – 1.5)
Tsai et al., 2010 ⁵²	Peak X-factor	No difference	Entire swing	0.3 (-0.4 - 1.1)
Cole & Grimshaw 2014 ¹¹	Peak X-factor	Tend toward decreased	Peak backswing	0.7 (-0.1 – 1.6)

Table 4. Summary of individual study findings for trunk and hip muscle strength and performance, with calculated effect sizes (Cohen's d) and confidence intervals (CI) for group comparisons where appropriate data were available

Study	Variable	Finding in LBP group	Effect size (CI)
Evans & Oldreive 2000 ¹⁵	Transversus abdominis endurance	Decreased	1.3 (0.3 - 2.3)
Kalra et al., 2012 ³⁰	Trunk strength in all planes	Decreased	
Lindsay & Horton 2006 ³⁵	Trunk axial rotation endurance toward lead side	Decreased	1.4 (0.5 - 2.3)
Tsai et al., 2010 ⁵²	Peak isokinetic trunk extension	Decreased	1.04 (0.3 - 1.8)
Tsai et al., 2010 ⁵²	Peak isometric lead hip adduction	Decreased	1.0 (0.2 - 1.7)

Figure 1. Characteristics of modern swing technique. a) X-factor. Axial separation between upper trunk and pelvis at backswing and during downswing. b) Crunch factor. Combination of trunk lateral flexion and axial angular velocity at impact and early follow-through. c) Reverse-c. Trunk hyperextension during follow-through.

Figure 2. PRISMA flow chart of study search and inclusion procedures

Figure 3. Pooled standardized mean difference in age between golfers with and without low back pain. a) All available data. b) Sub-analyses of studies explicitly reporting samples of recreational (top) and professional (bottom) golfers.

Figure 4. Pooled standardized mean difference in body mass between golfers with and without low back pain. a) All available data. b) Sub-analyses of studies explicitly reporting samples of recreational (top) and professional (bottom) golfers.

REFERENCES

1. Adams MA, Mannion AF, Dolan P. Personal Risk Factors for First-Time Low Back Pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1999;24(23):2497. doi:10.1097/00007632-199912010-00012.
2. AustraliaGolf. *Golf Participation Report*.; 2016. http://www.golf.org.au/site/_content/document/00037437-source.pdf. Accessed Dec. 2017
3. Batt M. A survey of golf injuries in amateur golfers. *Br J Sports Med*. 1992;26(1):63-66. doi:10.1136/bjism.26.1.63.
4. Beneck GJ, Baker LL, Kulig K. Spectral analysis of EMG using intramuscular electrodes reveals non-linear fatigability characteristics in persons with chronic low back pain. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol*. 2013;23(1):70-77. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2012.07.001.
5. Borenstein M, Hedges L V, Higgins JPT, et al. Fixed-Effect Versus Random-Effects Models. In: Borenstein M, Hedges L V, Higgins JPT, Rothstein eds. *Introduction to Meta-Analysis*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons 2009. doi:10.1002/9780470743386.ch13
6. Burdorf A, Van Der Steenhoven GA, Tromp-Klaren EG. A one-year prospective study on back pain among novice golfers. *Am J Sports Med*. 1996;24(5):659-664. doi:10.1177/036354659602400516.
7. Cabri, J., Sousa, J. P., Kots, M., Barreiros J. Golf-related injuries: a systematic review. *Eur J Sport Sci*. 2009;9:353-366.
8. Cholewicki J, Silfies SP, Shah RA, et al. Delayed Trunk Muscle Reflex Responses Increase the Risk of Low Back Injuries. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005;30(23):2614-2620. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000188273.27463.bc.
9. Cole MH, Grimshaw PN. Electromyography of the trunk and abdominal muscles in golfers with and without low back pain. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2008;11(2):174-181. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2007.02.006.
10. Cole MH, Grimshaw PN. The Biomechanics of the Modern Golf Swing: Implications for Lower Back Injuries. *Sport Med*. 2016;46(3):339-351. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0429-1.
11. Cole MH, Grimshaw PN. The crunch factor's role in golf-related low back pain. *Spine J*. 2014;14(5):799-807. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2013.09.019.
12. Cole MH, Grimshaw PN. Trunk muscle onset and cessation in golfers with and without low back pain. *J Biomech*. 2008;41(13):2829-2833. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.07.004.
13. Core Team R. A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013. <http://www.r-project.org/>.
14. EnglandGolf. *Golf Club Membership Questionnaire*.; 2016. <http://www.Englandgolf.org/page.aspx?siteSectionid=448&siteSectionTitle=Golf+Club+Membershi p+Questionnaire>.
15. Evans C, Oldreive W. A study to investigate whether golfers with a history of low back pain show a reduced endurance of transversus abdominis. *J Man Manip Ther*. 2000;4(1):162-171. doi:10.1016/S1443-8461(01)80059-9.
16. Evans K, Refshauge KM, Adams R, Aliprandi L. Predictors of low back pain in young elite golfers: A preliminary study. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2005;6(3):122-130. doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2005.05.003.

17. Farrally MR, Cochran AJ, Crews DJ, *et al.* Golf science research at the beginning of the twenty-first century. *J Sports Sci.* 2003;21(9):753-765. doi:10.1080/0264041031000102123.
18. Finn C. Rehabilitation of Low Back Pain in Golfers. *Sports Health.* 2013;5(4):313-319. doi:10.1177/1941738113479893.
19. Fritz JM, Beneciuk JM, George SZ. Relationship Between Categorization With the STarT Back Screening Tool and Prognosis for People Receiving Physical Therapy for Low Back Pain. *Phys Ther.* 2011;91(5):722-732. doi:10.2522/ptj.20100109.
20. Gluck GS, Bendo JA, Spivak JM. The lumbar spine and low back pain in golf: a literature review of swing biomechanics and injury prevention. *Spine J.* 2008;8(5):778-788. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2007.07.388.
21. Gosheger G, Liem D, Ludwig K, *et al.* Injuries and overuse syndromes in golf. *Am J Sport Med.* 2003;31(3):438-443. doi:10.1055/s-0031-1277207.
22. Gulgin HR, Armstrong CW. Passive hip rotation range of motion in LPGA golfers. *Clin Kinesiol.* 2008;62(2):9-15.
23. Hamstra-Wright KL, Huxel Bliven KC, Bay C. Risk factors for medial tibial stress syndrome in physically active individuals such as runners and military personnel: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2015;49:362-369. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2013-093262.
24. Harris-Hayes M, Sahrman SA, Van Dillen LR. Relationship between the hip and low back pain in athletes who participate in rotation-related sports. *J Sport Rehabil.* 2009;18(1):60-75. doi:10.2964/jsik.kuni0223.
25. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ.* 2003;327(7414):557-560. doi:10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557.
26. Hodges PW, Tucker K. Moving differently in pain: A new theory to explain the adaptation to pain. *Pain.* 2011;152(SUPPL.3):S90-S98. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2010.10.020.
27. Horton JF, Lindsay DM, Macintosh BR. Abdominal muscle activation of elite male golfers with chronic low back pain. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2001;33(29):1647-1654.
28. Hosea T, Gatt CJ. Back pain in golf. *Clinics Sport Med.* 1996;15(1):37-53.
29. Hoy D, March L, Brooks P, *et al.* The global burden of low back pain: estimates from the Global Burden of Disease 2010 study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2014;73(6):968-974. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204428.
30. Kalra N, Sing J, Neethi M. Study of Trunk Movement Deficits in Golfers with Low Back Pain Symptoms. *Indian J Physiother Occup Ther - An Int J.* 2012;6(3):141-145.
31. Kim SB, You JH, Kwon OY, Yi CH. Lumbopelvic kinematic characteristics of golfers with limited hip rotation. *Am J Sports Med.* 2015;43(1):113-120. doi:10.1177/0363546514555698.
32. Lake JK, Power C, Cole TJ. Back pain and obesity in the 1958 British birth cohort: cause or effect? *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2000;53(3):245-250. doi:10.1016/S0895-4356(99)00155-9.
33. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, *et al.* The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ.* 2009;339(jul21 1):b2700-b2700. doi:10.1136/bmj.b2700.
34. Lindsay D, Horton J. Comparison of spine motion in elite golfers with and without low back pain.

J Sports Sci. 2002;20(8):599-605. doi:10.1080/026404102320183158.

35. Lindsay DM, Horton JF. Trunk rotation strength and endurance in healthy normals and elite male golfers with and without low back pain. *N Am J Sports Phys Ther.* 2006;1(2):80-89.
36. Lindsay DM, Vandervoort AA. Golf-related low back pain: A review of causative factors and prevention strategies. *Asian J Sports Med.* 2014;5(4). doi:10.5812/asjasm.24289.
37. Luoto S, Helijvaara M, Hurri H, Alaranta H. Static back endurance low-back pain and the risk of. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).* 1995;10(6):323-324.
38. Mansour AR, Baliki MN, Huang L, *et al.* Brain white matter structural properties predict transition to chronic pain. *Pain.* 2013;154(10):2160-2168. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2013.06.044.
39. McHardy A, Pollard H, Kehui Luo. One-Year Follow-up Study on Golf Injuries in Australian Amateur Golfers. *Am J Sports Med.* 2007;35(8):1354-1360. doi:10.1177/0363546507300188.
40. McHardy AJ, Pollard HP, Luo K. Golf-related lower back injuries: an epidemiological survey. *J Chiropr Med.* 2007;6(1):20-26. doi:10.1016/j.jcme.2007.02.010.
41. Morgan DA, Sugaya H, Banks S, *et al.* A new 'twist' on golf kinematics and low back injuries: the crunch factor. *Proceedings of the 21st Annual Meeting of the American Society of Biomechanics.* 1997.
42. Murray AD, Daines L, Archibald D, *et al.* The relationships between golf and health: a scoping review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017;51(1):12-19. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096625.
43. Murray E, Birley E, Twycross-Lewis R, Morrissey D. The relationship between hip rotation range of movement and low back pain prevalence in amateur golfers: An observational study. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2009;10(4):131-135. doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2009.08.002.
44. Nicholas JJ, Reidy M, Oleske DM. An epidemiologic survey of injury in golfers. *J Sport Rehabil.* 1998;7:112-121. wos:000073442400004.
45. Parziale JR, Mallon WJ. Golf Injuries and Rehabilitation. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* 2006;17(3):589-607. doi:10.1016/j.pmr.2006.05.002.
46. Pincus T, Vogel S, Burton AK, Santos R, Field AP. Fear avoidance and prognosis in back pain: A systematic review and synthesis of current evidence. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006;54(12):3999-4010. doi:10.1002/art.22273.
47. Sheu Y, Chen LH, Hedegaard H. Sports- and Recreation-related Injury Episodes in the United States, 2011-2014. *Natl Heal Stat Rep.* 2016;(99):1-12. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27906643>.
48. Silva L, Vaz JR, Castro MA, Serranho P, *et al.* Recurrence quantification analysis and support vector machines for golf handicap and low back pain EMG classification. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2015;25(4):637-647. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2015.04.008.
49. Stachura M. The NGF's annual golf participation report uncovers favorable trends for the game's future. *GolfDigest.com.* 2017. <https://www.golfdigest.com/story/the-ngf-annual-golf-participation-report-uncovers-favorable-trends-for-the-games-future>.
50. Sugaya H, Tsuchiya A, Moriya H, *et al.* Low back injury in elite and professional golfers: an epidemiologic and radiographic study. In: Farrally MR, Cochran AJ, editors. *Science and Golf III: proceedings of the World Scientific Congress of Golf.* 1999.

51. Sutcliffe J, Ly JQ, Kirby A, Beall DP. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings of Golf-Related Injuries. *Curr Probl Diagn Radiol*. 2008;37(5):231-241. doi:10.1067/j.cpradiol.2007.08.005.
52. Tsai Y-S, Sell TC, Smoliga JM, *et al*. A Comparison of Physical Characteristics and Swing Mechanics Between Golfers With and Without a History of Low Back Pain. *J Orthop Sport Phys Ther* 2010;40:430–8. doi:10.2519/jospt.2010.3152
53. Vad VB, Bhat AL, Basrai D, *et al*. Low Back Pain in Professional Golfers. *Am J Sports Med*. 2004;32(2):494-497. doi:10.1177/0363546503261729.
54. Waxman R, Tennant A, Helliwell P. A prospective follow-up study of low back pain in the community. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000;25(16):2085-2090. doi:10.1097/00007632-200008150-00013.
55. van der Windt DAWM, Thomas E, Pope DP, *et al*. Occupational risk factors for shoulder pain : a systematic review. *Occup Environ Med* 2000:433-442.
56. van der Worp H, van Ark M, Roerink S, *et al*. Risk factors for patellar tendinopathy: a systematic review of the literature. *Br J Sports Med*. 2011;45(5):446-452. doi:10.1136/bjism.2011.084079.