

3-31-2024

What If We No Longer Call It DEI?

Essraa Nawar

Chapman University, nawar@chapman.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/librarian_articles



Part of the [Disability Studies Commons](#), [Inequality and Stratification Commons](#), [Other Sociology Commons](#), [Place and Environment Commons](#), [Politics and Social Change Commons](#), [Race and Ethnicity Commons](#), [Social Justice Commons](#), and the [Sociology of Culture Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Nawar, E. (2024, March 31). What if we no longer call it DEI? <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/what-we-no-longer-call-dei-essraa-nawar-lmiqc/>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Leatherby Libraries at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Library Articles and Research by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact laughtin@chapman.edu.

What If We No Longer Call It DEI?

Comments

This article was originally published on [LinkedIn](#) in 2024.

Copyright

The author

What If We No Longer Call It DEI?



Essraa Nawar, MBA, MA, MLIS

Assistant Dean - Library DEI Initiatives and Development, Academic Librarian Top 40 Women of Egypt, O.C.'s List of 125 Most Influential People, Women of Influence in O.C. Top 30 Egyptian Women Abroad, Marquis Who's Who

Published Mar 31, 2024

The persistent debate surrounding the term DEI reveals a broader dissatisfaction with its perceived limitations and the misunderstandings around its true meanings and concepts. As DEI initiatives face de-funding and positions are eliminated, there's a risk of the term becoming diluted, associated more with performative gestures than genuine structural change.

This backlash against DEI also signifies a growing disappointment with "buzzword-driven" approaches to diversity and inclusion, underscoring the need for a deeper understanding of equity and justice. In the middle of this critique, the idea of renaming DEI emerges as a means of revitalizing the discourse and re-centering efforts on inclusivity and social justice.

As we consider this shift, we acknowledge the need for broader conversations about language, power dynamics, and systemic change. This invites us to "reimagine" a framework that better captures the multifaceted nature of identity, emphasizing intersectionality and community empowerment. Through this reflection, we can strive to develop a more inclusive and equitable vocabulary reflecting our commitment to fostering genuine social transformation. However, the widespread adoption of DEI has led to a growing sentiment that the term may be losing its effectiveness and appeal.

Picture this: You're sitting in a conference room, staring at a slide deck overloaded with DEI statistics and graphs. With all the enthusiasm of a car salesman, the speaker begins their speech on the importance of diversity in the workplace. You glance around the room and notice a collective eye-roll from your fellow attendees.

It's not that they don't care about diversity and inclusion; it's just that the term DEI has become so ubiquitous that it may have lost its charm. It's like that one song you loved at first but now can't stand hearing it on the radio for the millionth time.

And then there are the conference titles:

"Unlocking Innovation Through DEI."

"Navigating the DEI Landscape."

"DEI: The New Frontier."

Adding DEI to the title automatically makes it more appealing. But let's be honest, it's starting to feel a bit forced, like trying to fit into those skinny jeans you bought three sizes too small. Sure, it might look good on the surface, but is it comfortable? Is it serving its purpose?

Don't get me wrong; I'm all for diversity and inclusion. I'm the first to champion the cause and advocate for change. I have been doing this organically and holistically before DEI was even officially added to my title. But sometimes, I can't help but wonder:

Are we missing the mark?

Are we so focused on checking off the DEI box that we neglect the more profound issues?

Are we more concerned with appearances than with meaningful action?

It's like trying to build a house without laying a solid foundation. It might look pretty, but it won't stand the test of time.

So, what's the solution?

It may be time to shake things up....

My worry is that the skepticism towards DEI is stemming from some of the superficial diversity efforts some call "[diversity theater](#)" which is risking detachment from the meaningful actions and impact and it can exacerbate feelings of tokenism among underrepresented communities.

Moreover, DEI sometimes reinforces a narrow understanding of diversity, overlooking dimensions such as socioeconomic status and diversity of thought.

As we navigate the dynamic landscape of diversity, equity, and inclusion within our ever-changing societies and global environment, shaped by the varied thoughts and demographics of multiple generations, let us remain guided by justice, empathy, and solidarity, working together to build a more inclusive future for generations to come.

I am not suggesting a complete abandonment of the current DEI framework. Instead, I emphasize that if the term itself is causing discomfort or resistance, we can continue to leverage the principles and practices embedded in it while also remaining open to exploring alternative frameworks that better resonate with communities that may not relate to the current framework or language.

Ultimately, whether we retain the current term, adopt a more expansive framework, or completely develop a new one, the goal should always remain the same: prioritize the tangible DEI efforts and create inclusive, equitable, and just environments where everyone has the opportunity to thrive.

This current discourse around the term DEI prompts us to critically analyze the narratives we uphold and question the dominant definitions. We must recognize the dynamic nature of

language and the necessity to adjust to shifting societal dynamics, understanding that a one-size-fits-all approach is inadequate.

Our focus should be on fostering environments where individuals feel valued, respected, and empowered regardless of the terminology we use. By centering our efforts on meaningful action rather than mere semantics, we can ensure that our commitment to diversity and inclusion transcends linguistic boundaries.

To sum up, it is definitely time to move beyond this "buzzword battle" and get honest about what DEI truly means. It's about nurturing a world where each person feels cherished, esteemed, and embraced for their authentic selves and the unique contributions they bring—a cause worth fighting for, no matter what you call it and regardless of the labels we use.

Let's remain committed to dismantling barriers and fostering genuine connections, paving the way for a more inclusive future and focus on creating environments where individuals feel valued, respected, and empowered.

=====

* Disclaimer: During the preparation of this article, some assistance from ChatGPT 3.5 was received in order to brainstorm some ideas. After using this tool, the content was reviewed and edited as needed.