

1997

A Review of Phil Washburn, "Philosophical Dilemmas: Building a Worldview"

Mike W. Martin

Chapman University, mwmartin@chapman.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/philosophy_articles



Part of the [Ethics and Political Philosophy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Martin, Mike W. A Review of Phil Washburn, "Philosophical Dilemmas: Building a Worldview." *Ethics* 108.1 (1997): 237-238.

This Book Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Science and Technology Faculty Articles and Research at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Philosophy Faculty Articles and Research by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact laughtin@chapman.edu.

A Review of Phil Washburn, "Philosophical Dilemmas: Building a Worldview"

Comments

This article was originally published in *Ethics*, volume 108, issue 1, in 1997.

Copyright

University of Chicago Press

Washburn, Phil. *Philosophical Dilemmas: Building a Worldview*.
New York: Oxford University Press, 1997. Pp. 383. \$26.00 (paper).

This introduction to philosophy, about half of which focuses on ethics, should find a niche in the curriculum. It exemplifies the best of single-author texts: clear and engaging prose that allows instructors to cover a wide range of complex

material within a manageable time frame. It does well, too, in overcoming the limitations of monographs, in particular, less intellectual tension than in good anthologies. Washburn writes self-contained essays on each side of the issues, followed by sections offering tools for students to work out their own views. He succeeds so well that he risks conveying a sense of sophistry in showing how both sides of issues can be defended without personal commitment.

The book limits itself to classical issues in religion, political philosophy, personal ethics, free will, and epistemology. Most issues center around values, unified under the theme of forming a meaning-giving worldview. For example, the chapter on personal ethics discusses pleasure, happiness, relativism, and the source of moral values. Given the book's focus on meaning, one might have hoped for a chapter on aesthetics, as well as more extensive attention to feminism, non-Western religions, and topics in applied ethics such as personal relationships and work.

M. W. M.