

[Food Science \(MS\) Theses](https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/food_science_theses) **Example 20** and Theses **Dissertations and Theses**

5-2015

Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Listeria monocytogenes to Bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 in Alfalfa Sprouts (Medicago sativa)

Tushar Sawant Chapman University, sawan102@mail.chapman.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/food_science_theses](https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/food_science_theses?utm_source=digitalcommons.chapman.edu%2Ffood_science_theses%2F2&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Food Microbiology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/86?utm_source=digitalcommons.chapman.edu%2Ffood_science_theses%2F2&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Sawant, T. (2015). Antimicrobial susceptibility of Listeria monocytogenes to bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 in alfalfa sprouts (Medicago sativa). Master's thesis, Chapman University. [https://doi.org/10.36837/](https://doi.org/10.36837/chapman.000006) [chapman.000006](https://doi.org/10.36837/chapman.000006)

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Dissertations and Theses at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Food Science (MS) Theses by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [laughtin@chapman.edu.](mailto:laughtin@chapman.edu)

Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* **to Bacteriophage LISTEX™**

P100 in Alfalfa Sprouts (*Medicago sativa***)**

A Thesis by

Tushar Prakash Sawant

Chapman University

Orange, California

Schmid College of Science and Technology

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Food Science and Nutrition

May 2015

Committee in charge:

Rosalee S. Hellberg, Ph.D., Advisor

Anuradha Prakash, Ph.D.

Lilian Were, Ph.D.

The thesis of Tushar Prakash Sawant is approved.

Rosla & Hellly

Rosalee Hellberg, Ph.D., Thesis Advisor

Chunedlia Cremely

Anuradha Prakash, Ph.D.

Lilian Were, Ph.D.

May 2015

Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* **to Bacteriophage LISTEX™**

P100 in Alfalfa Sprouts (*Medicago sativa***)**

Copyright © 2015

by Tushar Prakash Sawant

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my family for the encouragement and support that they have provided throughout my life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Chapman University Schmid College of Science and Technology, the Office of the Chancellor and the Graduate Academic Council for grant support, as well as Dr. Rosalee Hellberg for the support and use of materials throughout this project. I would also like to express my gratitude to the rest of my thesis committee: Dr. Anuradha Prakash and Dr. Lilian Were for their encouragement, insightful comments and remarks.

ABSTRACT

Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* **to Bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 in Alfalfa Sprouts (***Medicago sativa***)**

by Tushar Prakash Sawant

The seed germination process during sprout production provides suitable environmental conditions for the growth of pathogenic bacteria, such as *Listeria monocytogenes.* A potential way to control this bacterial growth is through the use of bacteriophages, which are naturally occurring viruses that specifically attack bacterial targets and have been shown to be effective antimicrobials in some foods. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the antimicrobial susceptibility of *L. monocytogenes* to bacteriophage on alfalfa sprouts during seed germination and subsequent refrigerated storage at 4 °C. Alfalfa sprout seeds were dip-inoculated with 5.5 x 105 CFU/ml *L. monocytogenes* serogroups 1 and 4. This was followed by treatment with the commercial bacteriophage LISTEXTM P100 at a concentration of 5.3 x 10^7 PFU/ml. The seeds were then soaked and germinated for 80 h using the glass jar method. The concentration of *L. monocytogenes* was determined every 24 h using PALCAM agar plated in triplicate. When compared to the spiked, untreated control, treatment of sprout seeds with LISTEXTM P100 resulted in a statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) reduction of 1.6 log10 CFU/g *L. monocytogenes* after the initial 24 h of germination. However, the bacteriophage did not show a lasting inhibitory effect, with no statistically significant reductions in *L. monocytogenes* growth as compared to the control at subsequent time points. The bacteriophage remained stable over the entire germination and storage period. Although biocontrol of *Listeria* with bacteriophages has high potential to serve as an

vi

alternative strategy to control foodborne illnesses, factors such as phage delivery and dose optimization in sprouts need to be further investigated.

Keywords:

Listeria monocytogenes, alfalfa sprouts, bacteriophage, LISTEX™ P100, germination

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

[samples at the given time point...](#page-90-0) 74

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- AA Acetic acid
- ACMSF Advisory Committee on the Microbiological Safety of Food
- AEW Acidic electrolyzed water
- ANOVA Analysis of Variance
- APC Aerobic Plate Count
- BPW Buffered Peptone Water
- C Celsius
- CDC Center for Disease Control and Prevention
- CFR Code of Federal Regulations
- CFU Colony forming unit
- d Days
- DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid
- FSIS Food Safety and Inspection Service
- GRAS Generally Recognized As Safe
- $h -$ Hour
- HACCP Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point
- ICTV International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses
- LA Lactic acid
- LPS *Listeria monocytogenes* + LISTEX™ P100 + alfalfa seeds
- LS *Listeria monocytogenes* + Alfalfa seeds
- MA Malic Acid
- MOI Multiplicity of Infection

NACMCF – The National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods

- NDB National Nutrient Database
- NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- O.D Optical Density
- OA Oxalic acid
- PA Phytic acid
- PALCAM polymyxin acriflavine lithium chloride ceftazidimeaesculin mannitol
- PFU Plaque forming unit
- PS LISTEX™ P100 + alfalfa seeds
- RNA Ribonucleic Acid
- RTE Ready-to-Eat
- S Alfalfa seeds only
- s Seconds
- TDS Thiamine Dilauryl Sulfate
- THM Trihalomethanes
- TSA Tryptic Soy Agar
- TSB Tryptic Soy Broth
- USFDA United States Food and Drug Administration
- UV Ultraviolet

LOGIC MODEL

1 INTRODUCTION

Listeria monocytogenes is a Gram-positive, ubiquitous, facultative anaerobic bacterium that can grow at refrigerated temperatures and survive in a wide range of growth conditions such as low pH (below 4.3) and high salt levels up to 10% (USFDA 2012). *L. monocytogenes* causes a foodborne illness called listeriosis, which involves diarrhea, fever, headaches, stiffness, and nausea. In some cases, it can lead to more serious diseases like pneumonia and meningitis, as well as spontaneous abortions and still births among pregnant women (USFDA 2012). Human listeriosis is responsible for 28% of all deaths due to foodborne illness and it has the highest hospitalization rate of 92 % among food borne pathogens in United States (Scallan and others 2011). The susceptible populations for listeriosis are pregnant women, elderly and immunocompromised populations.

Since foodborne listeriosis was first reported in 1981, numerous outbreaks caused by *L. monocytogenes* have been reported in the United States (Silk and others 2013). The majority of these outbreaks have been caused by the food groups such as meat, dairy, poultry and produce (Conter and others 2009), with majority of these caused by *L. monocytogenes*. In 2013, alfalfa sprouts from Sprouters Northwest of Kent were found to be contaminated with *L. monocytogenes,* resulting in an immediate recall of over 1,953 lbs of alfalfa and other sprouts (USFDA 2013).

A potential means for controlling *L. monocytogenes* in sprouts is through the use of bacteriophages, which are naturally occurring viruses that specifically attack bacterial targets. Since 2000, bacteriophages have been applied in the food industry as biocontrol,

1

biopreservative, and biosanitation agents as well as for detecting pathogenic bacteria in foods (Garcia and others 2008). The advantages of bacteriophages include the following: i) they kill the bacterial target, ii) they do not cross genus boundaries as compared to chemical sanitizers such as $CaOCl₂$ and thus are specific in their action, and iii) they break down into non-toxic proteins and nucleic acids as their final product (Rossi and others 2011). In 2006, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved and granted generally recognized as safe (GRAS) status to the use of the LISTEX™ P100 in raw and ready-to-eat foods as an antimicrobial agent against *L. monocytogenes* (USFDA 2007). Bacteriophages are effective at controlling pathogens such as *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli*, and *L. monocytogenes* in a variety of food products (Hagens and Loessner 2007). The efficacy of LISTEX™ P100 was evaluated in broth and on raw salmon fillet tissues for control of *L. monocytogenes* as a function of storage temperature (Soni and Nannapaneni 2010). A 2.3 log CFU/g reduction in *L. monocytogenes* was observed with application of phage LISTEX™ P100 on raw salmon fillet tissue over a 10 d storage period at 4 °C. The potential of bacteriophages has also been studied with alfalfa sprouts where a 1-log CFU/g reduction in *Salmonella oranienburg* was observed after a 3 h phage application during sprouting (Kocharunchitt and others 2009). However, no studies have evaluated the effectiveness of LISTEX™ P100 as an antimicrobial agent against *L. monocytogenes* in alfalfa sprouts.

Figure 1. The gap in knowledge associated with use of bacteriophages as a biocontrol agent in alfalfa sprouts.

As seen in [Figure 1,](#page-19-0) many studies have reported a relationship between *Listeria* – sprouts and *Listeria* – bacteriophage, but no study has been reported studying the relationship between *Listeria monocytogenes*, alfalfa sprouts (*Medicago sativa*) and bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100. The goal of this study was to evaluate the antimicrobial susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* to the bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 on alfalfa sprouts (*Medicago sativa*) at refrigerated temperature (4 °C).

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

 2.1 *Listeria monocytogenes*

2.1.1 History of L. monocytogenes

In the late $19th$ century, Hayem (France, 1891) and Henle (Germany, 1893) studied patients that, in retrospect, had listeriosis and observed characteristic Grampositive rods in their tissue section (Gray and Killinge 1966). However, their observations were not verified until 1911 when Hulphers, a Swedish scientist isolated an organism from necrotic foci in rabbit liver which he assigned as *Bacillus hepatis* and whose observed characteristics resemble most likely those of *Listeria monocytogenes* (Gellin and Broome 1989). The first major progressive step in description of the organism was achieved when Murray and others (1926) isolated the bacterium from infected rabbits and guinea pigs and named it *Bacterium monocytogenes* because of a characteristic monocytosis (Farber and Peterkin 1991). In 1927, a similar bacterium was isolated from liver of several rodents (*Iatera lobenquiae*) from Tiger River region in South Africa (Tiger river disease) and named *Listerella hepatolytica* by Pirie (1927) in the honor of Lord Joseph Lister, the father of antisepsis (Pitt and Aubin 2012; Schlech and Acheson 2000). Although several studies were reported on possible outbreaks of listeriosis, Gill (1933) first confirmed the isolation of *L. monocytogenes* from sheep suffering from circling disease (Oevermann and others 2010) and later proved the relationship of organism isolated from brain of affected animal and the disease (Gray and Killinge 1966). Listeric infection was first confirmed in humans in 1929 when Schmidt and Nyfeldt (1938) isolated the causal agent of infectious-mononucleosis from three patients and named it *Bacterium monocytogenes hominis* (Gellin and Broome 1989)*.*

4

Burn (1936) reported *L. monocytogenes* as a causal agent of meningitis in three newborn infants and an adult. In 1940, Seeliger (1988) named the organism as *Listeria monocytogenes*.

2.1.2 Outbreaks associated with L. monocytogenes

All 13 reported serovars of *Listeria monocytogenes* are capable of causing listeriosis, however, serovars 1/2a, 1/2b and 4b cause most of the cases (Rocourt and Bille 1997; Swaminathan and Gerner-Smidt 2007). According to FDA's Risk & Safety Assessment, the recognition of *L. monocytogenes* as a food borne pathogen started in the early 1980's due to serious illness outbreaks associated with consumption of contaminated dairy products and coleslaw (USFDA 2003). The first scientifically proven listeriosis outbreak was observed in Maritime Provinces, Canada in 1980-81 where 41 cases of infection were caused by consumption of contaminated coleslaw resulting in 14 deaths (Schlech and Acheson 2000; Schlech and others 1983).

There have been several incidences of *L. monocytogenes* outbreaks associated with foods. Los Angeles County, CA, observed a large-scale listeriosis outbreak due to contaminated Mexican-style soft cheese consumption in 1985. Out of a total 142 cases, the outbreak led to 48 deaths which included 20 fetuses, 10 neonates and 18 non-pregnant adults (USFDA 2012). Several reported incidences of growth of *L. monocytogenes* in a wide variety of foods such as meat, dairy products, seafood, and vegetables indicate a high survival capability of the pathogen in foods (Farber and Peterkin 1991). A multistate listeriosis outbreak caused by contaminated hot dog consumption resulted in six deaths and two miscarriages in 1998-99 (USFDA 2012). A similar outbreak occurred due to

contaminated turkey deli meat consumption in Northeastern U.S. in 2002, resulting in 46 cases of illness, 7 deaths and 3 miscarriages. The outbreak strain was serotype 4b in both the outbreaks (CDC 2002). In 2008, production of contaminated deli meat by a Maple Leaf Foods plant in Toronto, Canada led to 57 cases and 22 deaths due to human listeriosis caused by serotype 1/2a (USFDA 2012).

In 2011, a multistate outbreak of listeriosis due to whole cantaloupes from Jensen Farms in Colorado, resulted in 33 deaths to (CDC (2011a). Human listeriosis was linked to Imported Frescolina Marte Brand Ricotta Salata Cheese distributed by Forever Cheese, Inc. which resulted in 20 hospitalization cases and 4 deaths (CDC 2013b). In 2013, another cheese-related listeriosis outbreak was reported from Les Frères, Petit Frère, and Petit Frère with Truffles cheeses made by Crave Brothers Farmstead Cheese Company, Waterloo, WI (CDC 2013c). The FDA ordered a recall of alfalfa, broccoli sandwich sprouts, clover and spicy sprouts from Sprouters Northwest Kent, WA, for potential *L. monocytogenes* contamination in January 2013 (USFDA 2013). Surveillance sampling of products from retail locations by the Washington State Department of Agriculture (WSDA) helped in restricting the spread of disease (USFDA 2013).

Table 1. Foodborne outbreaks in United States associated with *L. monocytogenes* in different food groups (USFDA 2003).

Table 2. Foodborne outbreaks outside United States associated with *L. monocytogenes* in different food groups (USFDA 2003).

2.1.3 Taxonomy of L. monocytogenes

L. monocytogenes belongs to the Kingdom Bacteria, Division Firmicutes, Class Bacilli, Order Bacillales, Family Listeriaceae, Genus *Listeria*, species *monocytogenes*. Besides *L. monocytogenes*, other species within the genus include *L. ivanovii, L. innocua, L. welshimeri, L. seeligeri, L. grayi* (Rocourt and Buchrieser 2007), *L. marthii* (Graves and others 2010), *L. rocourtii* (Leclercq and others 2010), *L. weihenstephanensis* (Halter and others 2013), *L. fleischmannii* (Bertsch and others 2013), *L. floridensis*, *L. aquatic*, *L. cornellensis*, *L. riparia*, *L. grandensis* (den Bakker and others 2014), *L. booriae*, and *L. newyorkensis* (Weller and others 2015). Among these species, *L. monocytogenes* is responsible for most of the clinical infections, whereas *L. ivanovii* has been found to be typically associated with abortion in ruminants.

Since 2000, alfalfa sprouts have been involved in most of the sprout-related outbreaks mainly because of their availability and larger consumption as compared to other sprouts (Yang and others 2013). The major bacterial pathogens associated with these outbreaks include *Salmonella spp.*, *Escherichia coli* O157, and *L. monocytogenes*. The National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods (NACMCF) has reported 21 sprout-related outbreaks and 1500 cases since 1995, with *Salmonella* being

the causal agent in most of the outbreaks, although cases involving *Bacillus cereus*, *L. monocytogenes* and *E. coli* O157: H7 have also been reported. In 2013, *Listeria* contamination in alfalfa sprouts from Sprouters Northwest Kent was reported by the FDA (2013).

2.1.4 Emergence of Listeria monocytogenes as a pathogen

The increasing consumer trend for convenience has prompted retailers in offering ready-to-eat and ready-to-cook food products that require minimal processing (Davis and Stewart 2002; Stewart and others 2006). Consequently, the levels of exposure of food to *L. monocytogenes* have also increased (ACMSF 2009). Incidences of listeriosis have been on the rise mainly due to increased average life span of immunocompromised or elderly individuals due to advancement in medical technologies, globalization of food attracting consumption of ethnic foods, negligence of food production and processing facilities with regards to food safety, and changing consumer habits towards consumption of convenience foods (Rocourt and Bille 1997). Another factor contributing to the emergence *Listeria* as one of the leading causes of death due to food borne illnesses is the ability of the pathogen to survive under low-temperature conditions (Likotrafiti and others 2013). Refrigeration or freezing is one of the most widely used food preservation methods, which not only restricts microbial growth by delaying the cellular metabolic reactions but also preserves the taste, texture and nutritional value of food (Delgado and Sun 2001). In foods such as seed sprouts, the environment created during germination provides suitable conditions for pathogens such as *Listeria* to grow and proliferate (Palmai and Buchanan 2002). The humid conditions during seed sprouting can promote internalization of bacteria in the sprouts (Yang and others 2013).

11

2.1.5 Characteristics of L. monocytogenes

Listeria monocytogenes is a small, Gram-positive, ubiquitous, non-sporulating, rod-shaped, facultative anaerobic bacterium responsible for food borne illness, listeriosis (Low and Donachie 1997; Mishra and others 2013). *Listeria* colonies exhibit a blue/green color under obliquely transmitted light (Low and Donachie 1997). This food borne pathogen is able to grow at refrigerated temperatures and survive in a wide range of environmental conditions such as low pH and high salt levels (Boziaris and Nychas 2006; Odriscoll and others 1997). *L. monocytogenes* possesses peritrichous flagella and has a characteristic temperature-driven motility, only between $20 - 25$ °C (Peel and others 1988). The saprophytic nature of *L. monocytogenes* surviving on plants, soil samples and wild animal feces was confirmed by Weis and Seeliger (1975). Additionally, *L. monocytogenes* has also been found in mud, sewage, silage, milk, and slaughterhouse wastes worldwide (Gray and Killinge 1966). Although found mostly in soils, *L. monocytogenes* is transmitted from the environment by animals and through contaminated foods during processing. Thus, it can be considered as an environmental bacterium (Rebagliati and others 2009). When *L. monocytogenes* cultures are grown on solid media, they exhibit a characteristic sour, buttermilk-like odor (Gray and Killinge 1966).

2.1.6 Pathogenesis of L. monocytogenes

Human listeriosis is a potentially fatal infection caused by *L. monocytogenes* (Allerberger and Wagner 2010). Most of the listeriosis patients undergo gastrointestinal symptoms as the gastrointestinal tract is the site of entry for the pathogen into the host

(Gellin and Broome 1989; Vazquez-Boland and others 2001). *L. monocytogenes* is able to invade host cells and its movement in the host cell cytoplasm is mediated by the polymerization of actin filaments to form a long actin tail (Ryser and Marth 1999). In cases of gastroenteritis caused by *L. monocytogenes*, the infection begins about 20 h after the pathogen enters the host through contaminated foods, whereas the incubation period for the invasive form of illness is longer, usually estimated to vary from 3 days to few months (Vazquez-Boland and others 2001). There have been no major studies reporting the minimal infection dose but the various listeriosis case studies imply a high dose of $10⁶$ cells (Vazquez-Boland and others 2001). More than 90 % of listeriosis outbreaks are associated with 3 out of 12 serovars of *L. monocytogenes* (1/2a, 1/2b, 4b) (Vazquez-Boland and others 2001). Besides the strain, host susceptibility including health status of the individual affects the pathogenicity of *L. monocytogenes* (Vazquez-Boland and others 2001). As a result, immunocompromised individuals are at a greater risk for listeriosis.

Host macrophages that reside in the intestinal epithelium ingest *L. monocytogenes*. Subsequently, the pathogens rapidly translocate within the organs depending upon the number of pathogens present and presence of the virulence factor, hemolysin (Ryser and Marth 1999; Vazquez-Boland and others 2001). After the bacteria cross the intestinal lining, they are carried by lymph or blood to the spleen and liver primarily. During the multiplication process in the liver, the macrophages kill the ingested bacteria after the first 6 h of infection but the surviving *Listeria* cells start to grow and increase within 2-5 days. Besides the liver, the pathogen may also penetrate the placental barrier to cause abortion and stillbirth and nerve tissue resulting in meningitis (Vazquez-Boland and others 2001).

2.1.7 Listeria biofilm formation is a growing concern in food industry

The ability of *Listeria* to survive for long periods under harsh conditions in equipment and environments of food industries is related to the formation of biofilms (da Silva and De Martinis 2013). Biofilms are communities of microorganisms that are attached to a surface and are enclosed in a matrix (Gandhi and Chikindas 2007). *Listeria* forms biofilms on surfaces such as plastic, polypropylene, rubber, stainless steel and glass (Bonsaglia and others 2014). This biofilm formation is observed at different temperatures, including refrigeration which is one of the most common preservation methods (Bonsaglia and others 2014). This formation of biofilms is a great concern to the food industry, as bacteria from these biofilms can be transferred to food products (Gandhi and Chikindas 2007). Cleaning and sanitization of equipment with acetic acid, sodium hypochlorite, quaternary ammonium, and lactic acid can control biofilm formation to a certain extent. Besides sanitation, maintaining physical conditions such as exposure time, temperature and applying mechanical removal or chemical intervention strategies can also restrict biofilm formation (da Silva and De Martinis 2013).

2.1.8 Listeriosis – A fatal foodborne illness caused by L. monocytogenes

L. *monocytogenes* causes a food borne illness called listeriosis, which involves diarrhea, fever, headaches, stiffness, and nausea, and can lead to more serious diseases like pneumonia and meningitis, as well as spontaneous abortions and still births among pregnant women (Todd and Notermans 2011). The susceptible populations for listeriosis are pregnant women, elderly and immunocompromised populations. According to CDC estimates, *L. monocytogenes* causes 1600 cases and 260 deaths due to listeriosis annually

with an average annual incidence rate of 0.29 case per 100,000 population in the United States for 2009-2010 (Scallan and others 2011; CDC 2011c). Human listeriosis is responsible for 28% of all deaths due to foodborne illness and it has the highest hospitalization rate of 92 % [\(Figure 2\)](#page-32-1) among food borne pathogens in United States (Scallan and others 2011). Although the hospitalizations caused by *L. monocytogenes* accounted for only 3.8 % of total food borne illnesses, the death rate was 28 % of total food borne deaths (Crim and others 2014). This makes *L. monocytogenes* one of the leading causes of death from food borne illnesses in the United States (USFDA 2012). The infective dose depends on strain, host susceptibility and substrate involved in the dose-response relationship (USFDA 2012). As the dose-response relationship varies depending on various factors, similarly the incubation period for *L. monocytogenes* varies from a few hours to 2-3 days to a few months in cases of serious invasions (USFDA 2012). A typical listeriosis infection has a 15-30 % case fatality rate, which can reach higher levels under severe conditions such as septicemia (case fatality rate 50 %), listerial meningitis (case fatality rate 70 %) and perinatal/neonatal infections (case fatality rate > 80 %) (USFDA 2012).

Figure 2. High hospitalization and case-fatality rates of *Listeria monocytogenes* when compared to other common food borne pathogens (adapted from Crim and others (2014)).

2.1.9 Listeria in foods

Since food borne listeriosis was first reported in 1981, numerous food borne outbreaks caused by *L. monocytogenes* have been reported worldwide (Zhang and others 2007), including a recent outbreak associated with alfalfa sprouts in 2013 (USFDA 2013). The most common vehicles for listeriosis have been found to be contaminated dairy products especially mozzarella, queso fresco cheese, unwashed raw vegetables, sprouts, meat, seafood, sausages, hot dogs and poultry products (Conter and others 2009;

Messi and others 2000; Leggett and others 2012; Kozak and others 1996; Soylemez and others 2001; Jung and others 1992). Besides occurrence of *L. monocytogenes* in food products, the pathogen has also been found in sewage sludge (De Luca and others 1998), soil (Vivant and others 2013), animal feces (Burow and others 1996) and slaughter houses (Wendlandt and Bergann 1994). Some of the food involved with most outbreaks associated with *Listeria* are summarized in [Figure 3.](#page-33-0) Additional studies reporting on *L*. *monocytogenes* isolation are given in [Table 3.](#page-35-1)

SEAFOOD (2 %*)

Figure 3. Some of the common foods associated with *Listeria* outbreaks since 1981

* Percentage of listeriosis cases associated with the food category since 1990 (De Valk and others 2005; Cartwright and others

2013; Silk and others 2013)

2.1.10 Listeria monocytogenes Zero-Tolerance Policy

The FDA has a zero-tolerance policy against *L. monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat foods (USFDA 2003). This policy states that a food product is considered adulterated if any *L. monocytogenes* is detected. However, it is difficult to completely eliminate
Listeria contamination in a food processing environment due to the ubiquitous nature of the pathogen. The USDA Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) supports the fact that achieving zero levels of *Listeria* is very difficult for post-processing environments even under strict HACCP plans. Hence, the FDA is reviewing the petition put forward by trade associations to set a limit for *L. monocytogenes* of 100 CFU/g for foods which do not support the pathogen growth (Gandhi and Chikindas 2007). Chen and others (2003) reported a 1.82 % prevalence rate for over 31,000 ready-to-eat retail foods surveyed among eight food categories. Thus, regulating the number of *L. monocytogenes* can increase the food safety standards.

2.1.11 Factors affecting the growth of Listeria

The growth of *Listeria* is highly dependent on external environmental factors. The range of temperature for *Listeria* growth extends from 2-45 °C with optimum temperature range of $30 - 37$ °C (Gray and Killinge 1966). The survival of the organism in refrigerated conditions is a great concern to the food industry (Smith and others 2013; Gandhi and Chikindas 2007). *Listeria* growth as a function of pH studied by Boziaris and Nychas (2006) suggested that the minimum pH required for pathogen growth was 4.81 at a temperature range of 25-35 °C and a high water activity (a_w) of 0.992. Although pathogens such as *L. monocytogenes, Salmonella typhimurium, E. coli* O157:H7*,* and *Shigella flexneri* grow best under neutral pH conditions, facultative intracellular pathogens such as *Salmonella* and *Listeria* can tolerate low pH conditions (Abee and Wouters 1999). Gray and Killinge (1966) report that *Listeria* grows optimally in neutral to alkaline medium within a pH range of 5.6 - 9.6.

The growth of *Listeria* is also dependent on carbohydrates as the primary energy source and the function of carbohydrates at low pH is of particular concern. Vital processes such as DNA transcription, protein synthesis and enzyme activities are mainly controlled by the intracellular pH (pHi) value of the organism (Shabala and others 2002). According to Ita and Hutkins (1991), *L*. *monocytogenes* maintained pHi of 0.5 to 0.7 over extracellular pH (pH₀) of $5.0 - 6.0$. This higher growth potential of *Listeria* towards large ΔpH makes it more tolerant to acidic environments (Shabala and others 2002). The inoculum size is also important for initiation of bacterial growth in a food matrix (Koutsoumanis and Sofos 2005). Augustin and others (2000) studied the significance of inoculum size on the lag phase of *L. monocytogenes* growing in relatively poor growth medium and reported and extended lag time under small inoculation size. Robinson and others (2001) reported an increase in cell requirement to initiate *L. monocytogenes* growth from one cell for medium in optimum conditions to $10⁵$ cells for medium supplemented with 1.8 M NaCl. The addition of chemical antimicrobials such as sodium propionate, potassium sorbate, and sodium benzoate extended the *L. monocytogenes* lag phase for 18, 27 and 21 d, respectively, at 4 °C (Razavilar and Genigeorgis 1998).

$2.2\,$ **ALFALFA SPROUTS**

The demand for fresh produce has been increasing and gaining popularity as an important source of nutrients, vitamins and fiber in humans (Brackett 1999; Olaimat and Holley 2012). According to the 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans (McGuire 2011), 4-5 servings of fruits and vegetables are recommended daily based on a 2000 kcal diet. Fruits, vegetables, and legumes provide bioactive phytochemicals that also help in reducing the risk of developing chronic diseases (Kuo and others 2004). Besides the

health benefits of fruit and vegetable consumption, the availability of domestic and imported produce throughout the year (Olaimat and Holley 2012) and increasing trend of food preparation through ready-to-eat products (Tournas 2005) have further enhanced the popularity and demand for fresh fruits and vegetables.

The alfalfa plant (*Medicago sativa*) is a perennial legume (NRCS 2002). However, when alfalfa seeds are germinated under optimum temperatures of $18{\text -}25$ °C, the seeds undergo metamorphosis and loose the toxins associated with the legume. The seed germination allows the alfalfa seed to mature to a green stage where it is no longer a legume and now considered as a green plant (Meyerowitz 1999). The USDA National Nutrient Database (NDB 2012) classifies raw alfalfa sprouts under the food group "Vegetable and Vegetable products".

Legumes are a good source of dietary proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals but also contain certain anti-nutritional factors such as trypsin inhibitors, which reduce trypsin activity and thereby reduce amino acid availability, tannins which reduce the activity of lysine and methionine (essential amino acids) by forming cross-links with proteins, and phytate, which reduces bioavailability of minerals (Vidalvalverde and others 1994). To remove some of these anti-nutritional factors, soaking, cooking and germination have been studied (Vidalvalverde and others 1994). The germination process increases the digestibility, reduces antinutritional factors and increases antioxidant compounds (Penas and others 2009). At the same time, germination provides suitable environmental conditions (temperature, humidity, and nutrients released from seeds) for growth and proliferation of bacteria (Piernas and Guiraud 1998). Sprout seeds such as alfalfa, mung bean, and radish generally show a high microbial load (aerobic plate count)

ranging from 10^3 to 10^6 CFU/g (Penas and others 2009). These levels tend to increase under ideal conditions during sprouting, reaching up to $10^8 - 10^{11}$ CFU/g (Taormina and others 1999). This results in a reduced shelf-life of the product and increased susceptibility of consumers to bacterial infections (Penas and others 2009).

Sprouts provide a nutritional, inexpensive, natural, convenient and rich source of proteins, minerals, carbohydrates and vitamins (Penas and others 2009; Yang and others 2013). Minimal processing involves preserving foods by non-thermal techniques to extend shelf life without compromising on nutritional and sensory quality of the product (Ramos and others 2013). Sprouts require minimal processing and are often consumed raw; however, as a result the risk of pathogen contamination increases (Olaimat and Holley 2012). Minimal processing ideally involves simple operations such as cleaning, washing, trimming, peeling, cutting, sanitizing and packaging which creates the need for freezing or refrigeration as an alternative and easy preservation technique especially in case of sprouts (Ramos and others 2013; Tournas 2005). Storage of sprouts under temperature-abused conditions (>10 °C) facilitates bacterial growth further. Microbial contamination and growth have the potential to occur in sprouts throughout the food supply chain, depending on safety measures adopted at each stage (Olaimat and Holley 2012). For example, contamination and growth may occur during production, harvest, processing, storage, transportation, handling, and/or consumption at home/restaurants.

2.2.1 Glass jar method is the most preferred sprouting technique used for alfalfa sprouts germination

Sprouts are popular in the American kitchen not only because of their nutritional benefits but also for their ease of production in the kitchen (Mansour 1993). Sprouts are produced by soaking seeds in warm water for 3-7 d under controlled temperature for germination and growth, with exact conditions depending on the type of sprout used (USFDA 2006b). Among four sprouting techniques studied for yield, labor requirement and microbial quality of sprouts, Sawyer and others (1985) reported the largest yield, smallest bacterial growth, and smallest total direct labor time using the glass container method of sprouting in contrast to the tray method using either cheese cloth cover or paper towel cover (on top or bottom of the tray). Sawyer and others (1985) suggested that the glass container method was best sprouting technique to adopt for food service applications. Mansour (1993) studied three methods (Glass jar method, paper towel method, sprinkle method) for growing vegetable sprouts and recommended the glass jar method, with a temperature range of $21 - 29$ °C, rinsing 3-4 times per day, a sprouting time of 3-5 days and a harvesting length of 1-2 inches for alfalfa sprouts.

2.2.2 Techniques used for microbial decontamination in sprouts

2.2.2.1 *Chemical methods*

Chemical intervention methods involve the use of chemical sanitizers on the surface of produce for decontamination followed by rinsing with potable water (Ramos and others 2013). The prominent category of sanitizers used in processing plants are chlorine-based sanitizers particularly chlorine dioxide (Sikin and others 2013). According to USDA recommendations, seed treatment with $20,000$ ppm active chlorine, $Ca(OCl₂)$ for 15 min can control the risk of sprout-associated food borne illnesses (USFDA 1999). However, chlorine treatment has been reported to reduce germination of seeds and sprout quality (Fransisca and others 2012) and when in contact with organic substance in water, chlorine has been reported to form carcinogenic byproducts such as trihalomethanes (THM) (Li and others 1996). Organic acids, electrolyzed oxidizing water, and ozone are some of the other commonly used chemical interventions for microbial safety (Sikin and others 2013). An overview of chemical intervention strategies are given in [Table 4.](#page-41-0)

Table 4. Efficacy of chemical methods in reducing microbial contamination in seeds and sprouts.

Source: Sikin and others (2013)

2.2.2.2 Physical methods

One of the major drawbacks of the chemical methods of preservation is that they act on the seed surface which limits the efficiency of the treatment against bacteria that is not exposed to the chemical during the treatment. Besides, consumers have negative perceptions of the use of synthetic chemicals when associated with foods, especially fresh produce (Sikin and others 2013). As a result, there is an ever-growing consumer preference for reduction in the use of chemicals or synthetic additives and increased use of natural antimicrobials/preservatives in foods (Oms-Oliu and others 2010). Physical interventions such as irradiation, heat, and pressure treatments help decontaminate sprouts against pathogens protected by the food matrix itself, or internalized bacteria

(Sikin and others 2013). Physical treatments such as dry heat/hot water treatment, high hydrostatic pressure, gamma irradiation and supercritical carbon dioxide have been reported to be effective at decontamination of seed sprouts in various studies (Yang and others 2013; Sikin and others 2013). An overview of the major studies reporting the physical intervention strategies are given in [Table 5.](#page-44-0)

Table 5. Efficacy of physical methods in reducing microbial contamination in seeds and sprouts.

Source: (Sikin and others 2013)

2.2.2.3 Biological methods

The development of antibiotic resistant strains of bacteria since 1970's has triggered the need to find alternative approaches for controlling pathogenic bacteria in

foods. Biological interventions such as the use of bacteriophages and protective bacterial cultures such as *Lactobacillus spp.* along with antimicrobials produced by microorganisms such as bacteriocins and organic acids have provided an alternative to other physical and chemical methods of preservation in foods (Sikin and others 2013). The biological preservation methods not only control growth of pathogenic bacteria but also reduce the use of synthetic additives as preservatives in food (Sikin and others 2013). Biological methods of improving microbial safety involve the use of various bio control agents to extend shelf life using microorganisms and/or their metabolites (Ramos and others 2013). Razavi Rohani and others (2011) have reported the use of antibacterial compounds such as nisin against bacterial contamination. The emergence of hurdle technology has enhanced the use of biological preservation techniques in combination with other preservation methods to reduce bacterial loads in a variety of foods (Leistner 2000). Some common bio control agents used against target pathogens in seeds and sprouts are given in [Table 6.](#page-46-0)

Table 6. Efficacy of biological methods in reducing microbial contamination in seeds and sprouts.

Source: (Sikin and others 2013)

BACTERIOPHAGE 2.3

Bacteriophages are naturally occurring viruses that specifically attack bacterial targets (Hagens and Loessner 2007). Bacteriophages are extremely host specific and it is this specificity that enables elimination of the target organism without affecting the viability of other organisms in a food matrix (Guenther and others 2009). The antimicrobial activity of bacteriophages was first discovered by the efforts of Ernest Hankin (1896) and Frederick Twort (1915). In recent years it has been found that bacteriophages have several applications in the food industry including use as antimicrobials and tool for detecting pathogenic bacteria. The advantages of

bacteriophages include the following: i) they kill the bacterial target ii) they do not cross genus boundaries and thus are specific in their action, and iii) they breakdown into nontoxic proteins and nucleic acids as their final product (Rossi and others 2011). Bacteriophage use in the food industry is advantageous for a number of reasons. They are ubiquitously present in nature, and can replicate easily. Bacteriophages can be used to detect pathogens and act against biofilm production. In addition they can act as an excellent source of potential antimicrobials. Due to these bio sanitizing and bio preservative properties, they have versatile use in the food supply chain (Arachchi and others 2014; Garcia and others 2008). Bacteriophages can also be considered an important tool in hurdle technology when used with a combination of different methods of preservation. Leverentz and others (2003) found that a combination of nisin (a bacteriocin) and phage mixture (LM-103 and LMP-102) resulted in reduction of *L. monocytogenes* by up to 2.3 log units in apple slices. Similarly, Soni and others (2012) reported a reduction in *L. monocytogenes* by 2-4 log CFU/cm² in Queso fresco cheese when treated with a combination of bacteriophage $LISTEX^{TM}P100$ and antimicrobials, lauric alginate and potassium lactate-sodium diacetate. Phages are present in food and water and are regularly consumed unknowingly without any undesirable effects. The abundance of phage on earth (estimated to be 10^{31} phage particles), coupled with their relatively safe use against harmful bacteria have made phages an increasing area of research in the field of food safety (Wommack and Colwell 2000).

The phage discovery begin when d'Herelle (1917) found microbes which caused bacterial lysis in liquid culture and showed distinct patches when grown on agar containing bacteria. He called these killing zones 'plaques' and considered the microbes

as 'ultraviruses' which were antagonistic to bacteria and multiplied after bacteria lysis. He termed these ultraviruses "Bacteriophages" (d'Herelle 1917). In 1931, he also studied bacterial mutations on *Salmonella typhimurium* and found that the association of bacteriophage with the bacteria caused the variations and thus stated that bacteriophage are the infectious agents which act as obligate intracellular parasites to bacteria (d'Herelle 1917). Following the studies of d'Herelle, many companies began commercial production of phages against bacterial pathogens. However, the emergence of antibiotics and its immediate impact in the modern world prompted a decline in use of phage therapy against bacterial pathogens (Garcia and others 2008). The concern of antibiotic resistance of bacterial pathogens has led to renewed interest in use of bacteriophages as biocontrol agents of foodborne pathogens (Hagens and Loessner 2007).

In 2007, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved and granted generally recognized as safe (GRAS) status to the use of the bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 in raw and ready-to-eat foods as an antimicrobial agent against *L*. *monocytogenes* (USFDA 2007). In a study conducted on Brazilian fresh sausage, Rossi and others (2011) reported that application of bacteriophage LISTEXTM P100 (3 x 10⁷ PFU/g) on *L*. *monocytogenes* 1/2a inoculated Brazilian sausage $(2.1 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU/g})$ at 4 °C for 10 days reduced *L. monocytogenes* counts by 2.5 log. For contamination-sensitive ready-to-eat foods, Guenther (2009) reported that the *Listeria* counts dropped below count from 103 CFU/g for liquid foods such as chocolate milk and mozzarella cheese brine when treated with phage A511 (3 x 10⁶ to 3 x 10⁸ PFU/g) at 6 °C for 6 days whereas on solid foods (hot dogs, sliced turkey meat, smoked salmon, sliced cabbage, and lettuce) a 5-log bacterial reduction was observed. In another study of using bacteriophage as a bio control

in combination with other food preservation methods, Leverentz and others (2003) observed that a phage-nisin mixture reduced the *L. monocytogenes* population by 5.7 log on honeydew melon slices and 2.3 log on apple slices while nisin (bacteriocin) alone reduced the *Listeria* population by 3.2 log on honeydew melon slices and 2.0 log on apple slices. Thus, bacteriophages can be used either alone or in combination, without compromising the compatibility of other control measures, to reduce bacterial contamination. Some of the other studies using bacteriophages as a control for food borne pathogens are given in [Table 7.](#page-50-0)

Biology of Phages

Phages are viruses that infect bacteria for their survival and multiplication. Bacteriophages are very host specific owing to specific cell wall receptors (Strauch and others 2007). However, in nature some bacteriophages exhibit diverse host range whereas some are highly specific to a single bacterial strain. This diversity emphasizes the importance of understanding the morphology and host-phage interaction. A typical bacteriophage structure is divided into two main regions - head or capsid, and a tail [\(Figure 4\)](#page-52-0). Most bacteriophages have DNA as nucleic acid, but some phages have been known to have RNA instead. In most phages double stranded DNA is observed but some phage may possess single-stranded DNA or RNA (Strauch and others 2007). The head region (usually icosahedron shape) encapsulates the DNA or RNA and acts as a protective covering. The tail is connected to the head via the collar. The tail in most bacteriophages is a contractile sheath which is often connected to six tail fibers

containing specific receptors at the tip which help in attaching to the receptor sites on the host bacterial surface (Hanlon 2007).

Figure 4. Typical structure of a bacteriophage Source: Stewart (2003)

2.3.1 Life Cycle of a Phage

A typical life cycle of phage starts with an irreversible adsorption of phage to the bacterial cell wall where the receptors of the tail fiber are attached to the receptor site on the host cell. These receptor sites may include cell surface components such as protein, peptidoglycan, oligosaccharide, lipopolysaccharide, capsules, flagella or teichoic acid (Hanlon 2007). For phages lacking the tail fibers, the adsorption step is executed by some

form of analogous structure present in the phage. After the initial attachment, the phage injects the DNA or RNA into the bacterial cell wall with the help of contractile motion and formation of a hole within the bacterial cell wall by enzymatic action of nuclease enzymes present in the phage. The nucleic acid enters the bacterial cell whereas the body of the phage remains outside the cell. The phage genome is then replicated, synthesized and assembled within the bacterial cell to ultimately result in formation of new capsids. The extensive formation of these capsids within the cell wall results in cell lysis and release of new phage particles which are ready for infection (Strauch and others 2007). The release of the newly formed phage particles is controlled by the enzymes which form holes in the host cell wall through peptidoglycan digestion. Due to the breakdown of its cytoplasmic membrane, the host cell cannot withstand high internal pressure and this results in hypotonic lysis of the cell (Fischetti 2005). Each bacterial cell can release 100- 1000 new phage particles, each capable of infecting another bacterial cell. The number of new phage particles released per bacterial cell is referred as 'burst size' (Hanlon 2007).

Depending upon the morphology and life cycle, phages can either undergo a lytic (virulent) or lysogenic (temperate) life cycle. Phages undergoing lytic cycle (virulent phages) kill the bacterial host at the end of cycle whereas the temperate phages infect the cells without killing the host. The virulent phage injects the nucleic acid into the host cell after the initial adsorption stage. The phage nucleic acid is expressed within the host and eventually takes control over the biosynthetic machinery of the host cell to produce more phage particles. The structural proteins are synthesized and nucleic acid is embedded within the newly formed head. The remaining phage components such as the tail, collar, etc., are assembled to form a new mature phage particle ready for infection. This process

of assembly is termed as maturation. The phage enzymes cause the breakdown of host cell wall and facilitate the release of the new infective phage particles. Temperate phages on the other hand, form an integral part of the host DNA, replicate along with the host DNA and also remain part of the successive daughter cells. Under favorable environmental conditions, the phage DNA can be reactivated and re-enter the lytic cycle to ultimately cause formation of new virus particles and lysis of host bacterium (Hanlon 2007; Strauch and others 2007; Skurnik and Strauch 2006).

2.3.2 Listeria bacteriophages

2.3.2.1 LISTEX™ P100

Bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 is a broad range, lytic bacteriophage capable of infecting 95% of common *L. monocytogenes* strains of serovars ½ and 4 (Klumpp and others 2008). It belongs to the morphotype A1 of the *Myoviridae* family comprising an isometric capsid and a long, contractile chain (Calendar 2006). This phage was first isolated from sewage of a dairy plant in southern Germany and found to exhibit lytic action on *L. monocytogenes* strains (Carlton and others 2005). According to MICREOS, the manufacturer of phage P100, marketed under trade name LISTEX™ P100, has been concentrated and selected from a collection of food grade phages taking into the consideration the broadest sensitivity to pathogenic strains of *L. monocytogenes*. LISTEXTM P100 is active for a temperature range of $1 - 35$ °C with optimum activity at 30 °C. It can act on a wide pH range of 5.5 to 9.5 with optimum pH of 7.7 for efficient control. The phage can survive high salt levels and prefers a minimum water activity (a_w) of 0.92. It can survive best at 4 °C for long periods of time and is stable over an extended

storage period (Soni and Nannapaneni 2010; Kocharunchitt and others 2009). LISTEX™ P100 is a strictly virulent phage which causes complete lysis of the bacterial host and is unable to transduce bacterial DNA making it safe to use in the food system (Carlton and others 2005). In 2006, the FDA approved GRAS status for application of LISTEX™ P100 to foods (Guenther and others 2009). LISTEX[™] P100 can also be regarded as a processing aid thereby exempting it from food labeling (MICREOS 2011).

2.3.2.2 GRAS status of bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100

A food substance, under sections 201(s) and 409 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) and regulations in 21 CFR 170.3 and 21 CFR 170.30, can be termed GRAS through scientific procedures thoroughly reviewed by a qualified, scientifically trained, and experienced panel (USFDA 2011). According to FD&C Act of 1958, a food additive can be defined as any substance whose intended use may result in the substance being part of the food or affecting other components of food directly or indirectly (Gaynor 2005). The GRAS substances are safe to use under the conditions of their intended use and the safety is not compromised by their excessive levels in the food. The GRAS substances are therefore not considered as food additives as per the FD&C Act, 1958 and thereby are exempt from premarket approval by the FDA (Gaynor 2005). To determine whether an ingredient is GRAS, it has to achieve a common consensus among qualified experts regarding its use and safety under the conditions of its intended use (Gaynor 2005).Thus, the FDA determines the ingredient safety for food additives whereas, GRAS determination is done by experts outside the government (Gaynor 2005).

On December 21, 2006, EBI Food Safety, Wageningen, Nederlands submitted a notice to the FDA for bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 preparation from *L. innocua* to be considered GRAS under the regulation 21 CFR 170.36 (USFDA 2007). In its letter to the FDA, EBI Food Safety stated the intended use of bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 as an antimicrobial in controlling *L. monocytogenes* population in food in general, and up to levels of 10^9 plaque forming units per gram (PFU/g) of food (USFDA 2007).

In its letter to the FDA, EBI described the commercial production process of LISTEX™ P100. The following bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 production process was adapted from EBI Food Safety, Wageningen, Nederlands (USFDA 2006a). The bacteriophage preparation involved use of isolates from wastewater sources such as sewage water. A non-pathogenic strain of the genus *Listeria*, *L. innocua* was used for cultivation of bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100. The bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 has been found to infect and kill 95 percent of strains of serovars 1/2a, 4b (*L. monocytogenes*) and 5 (*L. ivanovii*) (Guenther and others 2009). The manufacture of bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 begun as a batch fermentation process involving culturing of *L. innocua* to a known density followed by phage application which amplified upon further incubation. The bacterial cultures were grown in standard media and enumerated by standard procedures. The cell debris and host cells were filtered after a series of filtrations and removed to obtain a high titer bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 solution. A detailed characterization and bioinformatics analysis of the LISTEX™ P100 genome revealed that the genes or proteins of *Listeria* known to be toxins, pathogenic, antibiotic resistant, or allergens had no correlation with proteins of bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 (Carlton and others 2005). The study also observed no potential harmful effects of

repeated dose oral toxicity (such as abnormal biological symptoms or mortality) in rats thereby supporting the safe use of LISTEX™ P100 in foods (Carlton and others 2005).

2.3.2.3 Phage and host identity of LISTEX™ P100

The bacterial host used in LISTEX™ P100 preparation is *L. innocua*. According to the German classification system, *L. innocua* is associated with Risk Group 1 (Anonymous 1983). The type strain and registry numbers include SLCC 3379, NCTC 11288, ATCC 33090 and DSM 20649 (Anonymous 1983). The phage belongs to the Order Caudovirales, Family Myoviridae, and Species P100 (USFDA 2006a). The bacteriophage P100 is host specific to *L. monocytogenes*, *L. innocua*, and other *Listeria* spp. (USFDA 2006a).

The bacteriophage P100 is marketed under the trade name LISTEX™ P100 by MICREOS Food Safety, WA, Nederlands. It is most stable (>2 year stability) under refrigerated conditions at a temperature range of 2-8 °C. The product specifications of commercially available LISTEX™ P100 are summarized in [Table 8.](#page-57-0)

Table 8. Physical, chemical, and microbiological properties of LISTEX™ P100 obtained from MICREOS Food Safety, WA, Nederlands.

LISTEX™ P100 – Product Specification

Physical Properties

Product description Suspension formulated in propylene glycol


```
Source: (USFDA 2006a)
```
2.3.2.4 Taxonomy of LISTEX™ P100

The shape, size and nucleic acid are the major basis for phage taxonomy (Skurnik and Strauch 2006). LISTEX™ P100 is classified under the group I (dsDNA) and belongs to family Myoviridae, subfamily Spounavirinae, genus Twort like virus, species P100 (ICTV 2012).

2.3.3 Listeria biocontrol by phage

The recent approval of bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 in 2007 by FDA has triggered interest within the scientific community in use of phages as a bio control agent in the control of *Listeria monocytogenes*. One of the first bacteriophages (LMP-102) approved as food additive by FDA contained a cocktail of six *Listeria*-specific phages capable of killing 170 different *Listeria* strains. A recommended phage preparation of 1 mL per 500 m² surface of RTE and poultry products before packaging could kill *Listeria* colonies in the product (Bren 2006).

The efficacy of broad host range phages A511 and P100 were studied against *Listeria monocytogenes*-infected RTE food samples (Guenther and others 2009). In solid foods such as hot dogs, turkey, smoked salmon, seafood, sliced cabbage, and lettuce leaves up to 5-log reduction in *L. monocytogenes* counts was observed when these foods were stored at 6 °C for six days with either phages (Guenther and others 2009). When tested against liquid foods such as chocolate and Mozzarella cheese brine, the *L. monocytogenes* counts reached below detection level suggesting that the food matrix plays an essential role in phage application. The phage concentration also affected the efficacy as high dose concentration of 10^8 PFU/g resulted in optimum efficiency (Coffey and others 2010). Phage resistance studies performed for LISTEX™ P100 demonstrated a 3.5-log reduction in *L. monocytogenes* count without any bacterial resistance (Guenther and others 2009). Soni and Nannapaneni (2010) demonstrated antilisterial activity of LISTEX™ P100 on raw salmon fillet tissue and reported a 1 log CFU/g reduction at a phage concentration of 10⁸ PFU/g when samples were stored at 4 \degree C over a 10-day storage period. Similarly, phage application of phages SSP5 and SSP6 over contaminated alfalfa seeds resulted in 1-log reduction of *Salmonella* after 3 h of phage application (Kocharunchitt and others 2009). Thus, phages have tremendous potential as bio control agents against *Listeria* contamination in a variety of food matrices.

2.3.4 Factors affecting efficacy of phage

The development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria strains has prompted a renewed interest in commercial production of bacteriophages to be used as antimicrobial agents in phage therapy. However, the application of phage has not always been fruitful. The efficacy of phage depends on several factors such as bacteriophage: bacteria host ratio, phage resistance and the physico-chemical properties (pH, aw, temperature) of the food matrix. Phage characteristics such as initial dose, phage adsorption rate, burst size, latent period, and eclipse period also determine the magnitude of bacterial lysis. Phage specificity plays a major role in the ability of phage to infect the host cell. Some studies have found that a cocktail of broad-spectrum phages increased the phage activity (Pao and others 2004). The activity of phages was higher in liquid foods such as chocolate milk and mozzarella cheese brine when compared with solid foods such as hot dogs, turkey meat, smoked salmon, sliced cabbage and lettuce leaves (Guenther and others 2009). Due to the complexity within the food matrix with respect to its physical structure, the accessibility of phage to the target bacteria is affected. Phage efficiency is also affected by enzymes present in the food system; for example, O'Flaherty and others 2005found that the whey proteins in raw milk prevented the adsorption of phage on bacterial cells. Furthermore, antibodies present in a food can neutralize the phages and render them ineffective (Ly-Chatain 2014). The external physical and chemical factors such as temperature, acidity, ions, and a_w also affect the host-phage interaction. Most

phages are stable to external environmental conditions and exhibit maximum efficiency around neutral pH $(6-8)$ and a temperature range of 5-35 °C. Some phages are also capable of surviving the pasteurization process and high temperatures (40-90 $^{\circ}$ C) but cannot survive low pH conditions found in the guts of mice (Watanabe and others 2007). The temperature plays an important role in attachment, penetration, multiplication and the length of the latent period (Jonczyk and others 2011). Salt concentrations, on the other hand did not significantly impact the phage titer when monovalent salt (LiCl, NaCl and KCl) concentrations were increased to 1.0 M (Mylon and others 2010). Thus, the external and internal factors combined with the biochemical composition of the food matrix studied largely determined the ability of phage to infect the bacteria (Ly-Chatain 2014).

2.3.5 Phage resistance

The bacteria cell wall has specific receptor sites which help in the initial attachment of phage to its host. The loss or inactivity of these receptor sites due to gene mutation can lead to development of phage resistance in bacteria. However, according to Skurnik and Strauch (2006), this loss of receptor site would be beneficial to decrease the virulence of the bacteria as the receptors act as virulence determinants. The other possible form of phage resistance is through restriction-modification immunity where the restriction endonucleases in bacteria degrade and stop the activity of the injected phage nucleic acid, thereby stopping the phage lytic cycle (Skurnik and Strauch 2006; Levin and Bull 2004). Some bacteria develop partial phage resistance by formation of mucoid colonies which protect the bacterial host against phage adsorption. However, phage resistance can be overcome by using a cocktail of different phages, as different phages

have different receptors and would thus require individual mutation to each phage. Also, phages evolve continuously and therefore are capable of overcoming the bacterial resistance over time. Further studies are required to confirm the phage ecology and hostphage interaction of resistant bacteria.

2.3.5.1 *Listeria* **lysins**

Lysins are the enzymes that are encoded by phages and digest the bacterial cell wall to release of the newly formed phages. Lysins are effective against killing of Grampositive bacteria due to their ability to target the peptidoglycan bonds in the bacterial cell wall. Lysins do not induce any bacterial resistance and thus offer an advantage over other methods of bio control. However, lysins in their use as bio preservative have certain challenges and difficulties such as proteolysis in some foods, unproven safety issues and costly technology to adopt (Coffey and others 2010).

2.3.6 Recent advances - Hurdle Technology

The deliberate and intelligent combination of hurdles in food preservation technology to improve the microbial stability, sensory quality as well as nutritional and economic properties of food is one of the latest trends in food preservation techniques and is known as hurdle technology (Leistner 2000). A combined use of several physical and chemical methods for safer foods is a common practice (Lee 2004). The recent trends for "healthier" and convenient foods which require little or minimal processing and are "natural" without the addition of chemical preservatives has given rise to adoption of hurdle technology in food processing plants (Lee 2004). The important hurdles in food preservation that influence the quality and safety of foods include temperature, water

activity (aw), pH, redox potential, preservatives and competitive microflora (e.g. Lactic acid bacteria) (Leistner 2000). The multiplication of microorganisms is adversely affected when the hurdle factors disturb the homeostatic mechanism. When multiple stress conditions are created, the microorganisms are unable to cope and remain inactive or even die. Thus, determining the major factors that contribute to microbial safety and quality is necessary. At the same time, bacteriophages can be used as an additional hurdles to enhance microbial safety and quality of foods (Chibeu and others 2013).

3 RATIONALE AND SIGNIFICANCE

Microbial food safety has been a rising concern in the food industry for the past few years as reported in the outbreaks associated with various pathogens such as *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella*, *E. coli,* and *Vibrio* by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC 2013a). In 2014, a multistate outbreak caused by the consumption of *L. monocytogenes* contaminated bean sprouts resulted in 2 deaths and 5 hospitalizations (CDC 2014b). Alfalfa sprouts are one of the most consumed sprouts and the probability of an outbreak is higher than other sprouts (Yang and others 2013). Also, the seed germination process provides conducive environmental conditions for the pathogen to grow and proliferate (Palmai and Buchanan 2002). Several physical, chemical, and biological techniques have been implemented on sprouts in order to control pathogenic growth. However, factors such as antibiotic resistance, development of undesirable organoleptic features, and consumer preference of "natural" preservation techniques have shifted the focus on use of natural antimicrobial agents such as bacteriophages in foods. The use of bacteriophages in foods has increased after the FDA GRAS status approval of a *Listeria*-specific bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 to be used in all food products

(USFDA 2006a). Soni and Nannapaneni (2010) reported a 3.5 log CFU/g reduction of *L. monocytogenes* in raw salmon fillet tissue by LISTEX™ P100 stored at 4 or 22 °C for a 10-day storage period. Kocharunchitt and others (2009) observed a 1 log CFU/g reduction of *Salmonella oranienburg* in alfalfa sprouts 3 h after phage (SSP6) application during sprouting. Several studies have reported the use of LISTEX™ P100 to control *L. monocytogenes* in various food matrices such as cheese (Carlton and others 2005), catfish fillet (Soni and others 2010), cooked beef and turkey (Chibeu and others 2013), sausages (Rossi and others 2011), and variety of ready-to-eat (RTE) foods (Guenther and others 2009). However, no study has demonstrated the antimicrobial susceptibility of *L. monocytogenes* to bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 in alfalfa sprouts.

The increase in outbreaks associated with the sprout industry has led to extensive research on methods for microbial decontamination (Yang and others 2013). Bacteriophages provide a safe, natural, and environmentally friendly alternative against bacteria in foods (Guenther and others 2009). The increasing applications of hurdle technology have further enhanced the role of bacteriophages as they can provide an additional hurdle to hinder the growth of pathogenic bacteria in foods (Leistner 2000). Understanding the efficacy of bacteriophage against *L. monocytogenes* cells in a complex food matrix such as alfalfa seed sprouts would substantiate the potential use of phages in bacterial decontamination of foods. The *overall goal of this study* was to evaluate the antimicrobial susceptibility of *L. monocytogenes* to bacteriophage on alfalfa sprouts during seed germination and subsequent refrigerated storage at 4 °C. The specific aims established to achieve this overall goal were:

- I. Determine the efficacy of phage against *L. monocytogenes* in nutrient broth. Guenther and others (2009) reported rapid reduction (up to 5 log units) of *L. monocytogenes* in liquid foods such as chocolate milk and mozzarella cheese brine when treated with phage A511 and samples were stored for 6 days at 6 $^{\circ}$ C. However, solid foods such as turkey breast and hot dogs showed a significantly lower reduction (up to 2.3 log units) than the liquid foods. In this study, LISTEX[™] P100 was hypothesized to work against L. monocytogenes in alfalfa sprouts due to lytic activity of the bacteriophage, as reported by Guenther and others (2009).
- II. Determine the effect of LISTEX™ P100 on *L. monocytogenes* in sprouts. Kocharunchitt and others (2009) reported a 1 log CFU/g reduction of *Salmonella* in alfalfa sprouts 3 h after phage SSP6 application during sprouting. The working hypothesis for this aim was that when bacteriophages come in contact with the target bacteria (*L. monocytogenes*), they will penetrate the bacterial cells, replicate the phage DNA within the bacterial cells, and eventually cause cell lysis (Fischetti 2005).
- III. Determine the stability of phage over the sprouting and storage period of alfalfa seeds and sprouts. The working hypothesis is that phages would remain stable during the entire sprouting and storage period since each phage particle will liberate progeny phage particles via the lytic cycle (Fischetti 2005). Similar results were reported by Kocharunchitt and others (2009), where the phage (SSP6) remained stable throughout the sprouting period of alfalfa. Soni and Nannapaneni (2010) obtained similar results for LISTEX™ P100, which showed

only a marginal decrease of 0.6 log PFU/g from the initial inoculation of 8 log PFU/g in raw salmon fillet tissue stored at 4 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for 10 days.

4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 *Experimental Analysis*

Raw alfalfa seeds were dipped in *Listeria monocytogenes* inoculum before dipping in LISTEX™ P100 phage solution. The treated and untreated seeds were further dried and used for seed sprouting using the glass jar method.

B.

Figure 5. Experimental design of (A) *Listeria* inoculated-alfalfa seed with or without phage, (B) Microbial and phage enumeration in seed and sprout samples.

4.2 *Preparation of media and cultures*

Two of the three *L. monocytogenes* serogroup commonly associated with food borne illness (Type 1 and Type 4) were used for this study. The cultures of *L. monocytogenes* were obtained from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Pacific Regional Laboratory Southwest and were stored at -80 °C. Each culture was transferred to 25 mL tryptic soy broth (TSB) and incubated at 37 \degree C for 24 h before cultivating by streaking on the *Listeria*-specific polymyxin acriflavine lithium chloride ceftazidimeaesculin mannitol (PALCAM) agar plates at 37 °C for 48 h. A single isolated *L. monocytogenes* colony of each serogroup was transferred to TSB before centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 15 min to obtain cell pellets. The cell pellets were resuspended in 0.9 % NaCl solution to obtain bacterial stocks with concentrations of 5.8 $log_{10} CFU/mL$ and 5.6 log_{10} CFU/mL for T1 and T4, respectively as determined by the standard plate count using PALCAM agar combined with the standard curve from the turbidimetric method (described below). An equal volume of each bacterial solution was mixed to obtain a final bacterial inoculum at concentration of 5.7 log_{10} CFU/mL for use in seed inoculation.

4.3 *Determination of cell concentration by the turbidimetric method*

The final concentration of bacterial inoculum for each *L. monocytogenes* serogroup (T1 and T4) was determined by the turbidimetric method using a BioPhotometer plus (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY, USA) and the standard plate count as described by Brown and Benson (2007). The stock solution of *L. monocytogenes* serogroup T1 and T4 was serially diluted in tryptic soy broth (TSB) medium and 1 mL aliquot from each dilution was transferred individually into specialized cuvettes called

'Uvettes' (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY, USA) and optical density (O.D) was read at 595 nm. For the standard plate count, a 1 mL aliquot from each dilution was pour-plated using sterile PALCAM agar and incubated for 48 h at $37 \degree C$. Using a standard plate counter (American Optical Corporation, Southbridge, MA, USA), the number of colonies in each plate were counted and used for determining cell concentration. The *Listeria* concentration values were expressed in CFU/mL. The values from the spectrophotometer and the standard plate count were correlated to prepare a standard curve of absorbance vs. inoculum concentration. This standard curve [\(Figure 6\)](#page-87-0) was used for determination of bacterial concentrations in future inoculums by recording the absorbance values at 595 nm.

4.4 *Bacteriophage preparation*

The bacteriophage LISTEX™ P100 was obtained from MICREOS Safety (NieuweKanaal, Wageningen, Nederlands) and stored under refrigerated (4 °C) conditions. The phage stock solution had an initial concentration of 11.3 \log_{10} PFU/mL (2) $\propto 10^{11}$ PFU/mL) stored under saline buffer according to the manufacturer. The phage solution was serially diluted using sterile SM buffer [100 mM NaCl, 8 mM MgSO4 and 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5)]. The final phage concentration was determined by standard phage titer assay – soft agar overlay method (Soni and Nannapaneni 2010). A cocktail mixture of two *L. monocytogenes* serogroup T1 and T4 was prepared by adding 1 mL of each serogroup at concentrations of 7.84 log_{10} CFU/mL (O.D₅₉₅ = 0.704) and 7.79 log_{10} CFU/mL (O.D₅₉₅ = 0.620), respectively. A mixture of 4 mL soft agar (0.7% agar), 100 μ L of each phage dilution (10⁻⁵, 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁷), and 100 μ L *Listeria* cocktail was poured over pre-warmed (37 °C) Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) plates and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h.

After the incubation period, the number of visible plaques in each plate were counted and the dilution factor was multiplied to determine the PFU/ml.

4.5 *LISTEX™ P100 treatment in nutrient broth*

 $LISTEXTM P100 was inoculated in nutrient broth to study its inhibitory effect on$ *L. monocytogenes*. The two serogroups of *L. monocytogenes* were tested separately. A mixture of 8 mL TSB, 1 mL *L. monocytogenes* serogroup T1 (5.8 log₁₀ CFU/mL) or T4 $(5.6 \log_{10} CFU/mL)$ cultured in sterile tryptic soy broth (TSB) (described above), and 1 mL LISTEXTM P100 in sterile SM buffer at 8 log_{10} PFU/mL was prepared. The untreated control broth received *L. monocytogenes* serogroup T1 or T4, and 1 mL of sterile SM buffer instead of phage. The phage control broth was prepared by adding 1 mL sterile TSB instead of the *Listeria* inoculum. The negative control broth was comprised of 9 mL sterile TSB and 1 mL sterile SM buffer (with no *Listeria* or phage). All broth samples were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Bacterial counts of *L. monocytogenes* were then determined by pour plating samples on PALCAM agar plates in triplicate and incubating plates at 37 °C for 48 h .

4.6 *Alfalfa seed treatment with LISTEX™ P100*

4.6.1 Seed inoculation with L. monocytogenes

A total of four sets of alfalfa seeds were prepared for use in this study: *Listeria*inoculated seeds treated with phage (LPS), *Listeria-*inoculated seeds with no phage (LS), uninoculated seeds treated with phage (PS), and uninoculated seeds with no phage (S)*.* To prepare the *Listeria-*inoculated seeds (LPS and LS), alfalfa seeds (Fisher Scientific,
Nazareth, PA) were aseptically weighed into a sterile beaker to obtain 40 g and then mixed with 200 mL of the *Listeria* inoculum described above (5.7 log₁₀ CFU/mL) and kept as such for 1 h. The seeds were then removed from the inoculum and allowed to dry at ambient temperature for 16-18 h under a Biosafety hood (SterilGard II, Class II Type A/B3, The Baker Co., Sanford, ME) on aluminum foil. The process was repeated for the two sets of uninoculated seeds (PS and S) using sterile 0.9% NaCl solution instead of bacterial inoculum.

4.6.2 Bacteriophage treatment with LISTEX™ P100

The bacteriophage treatment on raw alfalfa seeds was adopted from Kocharunchitt and others (2009) with some modifications. The sets of alfalfa seeds to be treated with phage (LPS and PS) were each soaked in 240 mL sterile water containing bacteriophage at a concentration of 7.72 \log_{10} PFU/mL for 12 h. The sets of alfalfa seeds that were not treated with phage (LS and S) were dipped in 240 mL sterile water without bacteriophage. After 12 h of immersion, the seeds were allowed to dry on sterile trays under the Bio-safety hood for 30 min before the first rinse for the seed sprouting process.

4.6.3 Seed germination of alfalfa seeds

The method used for germination (sprouting) of alfalfa seeds was adopted from Sawyer (1985), with some modifications. After the 12 h immersion step described above, the seeds were removed from solution and rinsed with sterile water by the twirling method in glass jars covered with cheesecloth. The jars were then placed in an inverted position at a 45° angle at room temperature (24.5 \pm 0.6 °C) in the dark in a flat, deep, autoclaved glass tray. At every 8 h interval, water was rinsed nine times to obtain a

cumulative time of 72 h of sprout growth. After the ninth rinse, the jars were exposed to visible light for 8 h for a total of 80 h of growing time. Next, the water was drained-off and sprouts were placed in a bowl of sterile deionized water, stirred vigorously to loosen the hulls and allowed to stand for 10 min to separate the hulls, which float on the surface. The treated alfalfa seeds were then removed from the solution and dried for 30 min at room temperature on aluminum foil under a Biosafety hood. After drying, the sprouts were packaged in plastic clam shells (Sprout House, New York, USA) and stored at 4 °C. The clamshells were sterilized by ultraviolet (UV) light for 30 min prior to use. All samples were held for a storage period of 8 d in the refrigerator (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburg, PA, USA) at 4 °C.

4.7 *Microbial enumeration*

The microbial enumeration method was adopted from Kocharunchitt and others (2009). Samples of alfalfa seeds/sprouts were collected immediately after 12 h presoaking but before the first rinse for day 0. Subsequently, seed samples were collected at 24 h (day 1), 48 h (day 2), and sprout sample at 72 h (day 3) after the first rinse. After seed sprouting, the sprouts samples were collected immediately after the last rinse (day 0) for enumerating *L. monocytogenes* during refrigerated storage. Following the first sprout sample collection, further samples of sprouts were collected at day 2, day 4, day 6, and day 8 of the refrigerated storage. For bacterial enumeration of seed samples, a 1.0 g seed sample was placed in a stomacher bag containing 9 mL of sterile buffered peptone water (BPW) (Thermo Scientific, Remel products, Lenexa, KS, USA) and mixed for 30 s at 230 RPM in a 400C Seward Stomacher (Seward Stomacher, England). Similarly, for bacterial enumeration of sprouts, 10 g of sprout sample was placed in a stomacher bag containing

90 mL of sterile BPW and mixed for 30 s in a 400C Seward Stomacher. The obtained seed or sprout homogenates were then serially diluted in sterile BPW and pour-plated on PALCAM plates, followed by incubation at 37 °C for 48 h and enumeration of *L. monocytogenes* colonies.

4.8 *LISTEX™ P100 Stability*

The phage stability determination method was adopted from Kocharunchitt and others (2009). Phage stability was determined throughout the germination and storage periods. Water samples (2 mL) for each treatment solution (LPS and PS) were obtained at 0, 3, 8 and 12 h during the presoaking period after phage application. The seed samples were taken at Day 1, 2, and 3 during germination and sprout samples were taken at Day 1, 3, 5 and 7 during refrigerated storage. Each set of seeds was added to a separate sterile stomacher bag containing 9 mL of BPW. For sprouted samples, 10 g sprouted seed sample and 90 mL of BPW were added to a sterile stomacher bag. The mixtures were stomached for 30 s at 230 RPM in a 400C Seward Stomacher. Each homogenate was filter-sterilized with a sterile syringe and 0.22 µM filters to obtain filtrate in a sterile test tube for further testing. This filtrate was serially diluted in sterile BPW and plated on TSA using the soft agar overlay technique described above. After incubation, the visible phage plaques of LISTEX™ P100 were enumerated to obtain PFU/g.

4.9 *Statistical Analysis*

L. monocytogenes and LISTEX[™] P100 phage counts were converted into log₁₀ CFU/g and $log_{10} PFU/g$, respectively, to normalize the data. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), Tukey's test to compare growth of *L.*

monocytogenes in the treatment and control groups across the 3-day germination period. These tests were carried out with IBM SPSS Statistics 21 (IBM SPSS Inc., Armonk, NY, USA) and the significance was based on a confidence interval of 95% ($p < 0.05$). The statistical analysis comparing sample sets LPS and PS to determine phage stability in alfalfa seeds and sprouts was performed using a two-tailed Student's t-test, at a confidence interval of 95% ($p < 0.05$) carried out in MS-Excel 2013.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

L. monocytogenes **inhibition by LISTEX™ P100 in nutrient broth** 5.1

The inoculated broth sample treated with bacteriophage showed no growth of *L. monocytogenes* after incubation at 37 °C for 48 h, indicating that the phage was able to lyse the bacteria. The untreated control broth (*Listeria* without phage application) showed *L. monocytogenes* counts of 7.68 log₁₀ CFU/mL for *L. monocytogenes* T1 and 7.90 log₁₀ CFU/mL for *L. monocytogenes* T4 on PALCAM plates as compared to the initial *L. monocytogenes* inoculum of 8.2 log₁₀ CFU/mL for both T1 and T4. The phage control (phage without bacteria) and negative control (no bacteria, no phage) of broth samples did not show *L. monocytogenes* growth as confirmed by pour plating on PALCAM plates.

Antimicrobial activity of LISTEX™ P100 on L. monocytogenes during germination and storage of alfalfa seeds sprouts

As shown in [Figure 7](#page-88-0) and [Figure 8,](#page-89-0) the cocktail mixture of *L. monocytogenes* serogroups T1 and T4 survived the pre-soaking, germination and storage periods of

alfalfa seeds and sprouts in LPS and PS samples. The phage significantly reduced the *L. monocytogenes* population by 1.6 log₁₀ CFU/g in the LPS sample when compared to the PS sample after 24 h of seed germination at room temperature (24.5 \pm 0.6 °C). Thereafter, the phage failed to cause significant reductions in *L. monocytogenes* numbers as shown in [Figure 7,](#page-88-0) with no significant difference between *Listeria* counts in LPS and LS samples for day 2 after the first rinse during seed germination. On the last germination day (day 3), the *L. monocytogenes* counts in the LPS sample were found to be significantly $(p < 0.05)$ higher than those for the LS sample. The PS and S samples, did not show growth of *L. monocytogenes* throughout the seed germination period (80 h), except at day 3, where the *L. monocytogenes* population in the PS sample was significantly greater than the S sample indicating a potential contamination due to error in handling of samples. The LS samples showed a spike $(8.0 \log_{10} CFU/g)$ in *L*. *monocytogenes* growth on day 2 of germination following which no further increases in the *Listeria* population were observed until the end of seed germination period.

As seen in [Figure 8,](#page-89-0) the LPS and LS samples showed no significant difference in *L. monocytogenes* levels at day 0 of alfalfa sprout storage at 4 °C. A similar trend followed for the subsequent time points at days 2 and 4 of the refrigerated storage as no significant reduction in *L. monocytogenes* counts was observed between LPS and LS samples. However, LISTEX[™] P100 was able to cause a statistically significant reduction of 0.5 log10 CFU/g and 0.1 log10 CFU/g of *L. monocytogenes* in LPS samples when compared to the LS samples on day 6 and day 8 of storage, respectively [\(Figure 8\)](#page-89-0). During the entire refrigerated storage of 8 days for alfalfa sprouts, the highest *L. monocytogenes* counts in LPS and LS were enumerated at 5.26 log₁₀ CFU/g and 5.75

log10 CFU/g respectively, at day 0. However, there was only a marginal decrease in *L. monocytogenes* counts at the end of the 8-day storage period for the sprouts treated with phage, indicating an inefficacy of LISTEX™ P100 to lyse *L. monocytogenes* cells in a complex food matrix such as alfalfa sprouts.

Stability of LISTEX™ P100 on alfalfa seeds and sprouts 5.3

The phage counts on *Listeria*-inoculated (LPS) alfalfa seeds and sprouts at the end of the sprouting period were significantly higher than the phage counts of phage-treated uninoculated alfalfa seeds and sprouts (PS). [Figure 9](#page-90-0) shows the stability of $LISTEX^{TM}$ P100 during the pre-soaking, germination and storage of alfalfa sprouts in both LPS and PS samples. For LPS samples, the initial phage titer at the presoaking stage was 7.4 log₁₀ PFU/g and at the end of the sprouting period it was 7.3 $log_{10} PFU/g$. For PS samples, the initial phage titer was enumerated at 7.3 log_{10} PFU/g, with a decrease in phage numbers to 5.7 log₁₀ PFU/g at the end of sprouting period. The phage numbers in both LPS and PS samples further decreased to 5.4 log_{10} PFU/g and 4.4 log_{10} PFU/g at the end of day 7 of refrigerated storage for LPS and PS samples, respectively. The means of LPS and PS samples at all time-points showed significant difference except at 12 h (presoaking) and day 5 (storage). These results indicate that the phage remained stable throughout the seed germination process for LPS samples, however, in case of PS samples, the phage numbers began to decline after day 2.

Discussion

A variety of foodborne pathogens cause contamination in sprouts (Yang and others 2013). Schoeller and others (2002) confirmed the growth of *L. monocytogenes*

during sprouting and subsequent survival during refrigerated storage. The alfalfa seeds used for sprouting are considered a raw agricultural commodity (Taormina and others 1999). These seeds further go through the usual distribution chain from farm to the sprouting facilities, as with other grains, fruits and vegetables (Taormina and others 1999). This transport system can serve as a source of contamination for raw seeds with pathogenic bacteria such as *L. monocytogenes* (Montville and Schaffner 2005). Moreover, owing to the environmental conditions during the sprouting of alfalfa seeds, the risk of pathogen contamination during this phase is enhanced (Kocharunchitt and others 2009). During sprouting, raw seeds are rinsed with water at regular intervals and are held at specific temperatures to improve sprouting efficiency. Such conditions are supportive for growth, proliferation and spread of pathogens such as *L. monocytogenes* within the food matrix (Montville and Schaffner 2005). For example, Palmai and Buchanan (2002) demonstrated the proliferation of *L. monocytogenes* in germinating alfalfa seeds to the level of 10^6 CFU/g from an initial load of 10^2 CFU/g within 24 h of germination. Similar growth levels were seen in the current study, where the *L. monocytogenes* population increased from 10^5 CFU/g to 10^8 CFU/g after 24 h of seed germination. The slightly greater growth rate observed by Palmai and Buchanan (2002) may be attributed to the type of method used for germination, as they used a minisprouter for germinating alfalfa seeds which may have resulted in ideal moisture levels for bacteria to grow.

Several physical and chemical intervention strategies have been proposed to minimize bacterial populations in sprouts at both pre-harvest and postharvest stages (Yang and others 2013). Chemical interventions such as chlorous acid (268 ppm) have

been reported to reduce *L. monocytogenes* populations by 5-log in mung bean sprouts after 9-day refrigerated storage (Lee and others 2002). A combined treatment of aqueous chlorine dioxide and modified atmosphere packaging in vacuum, N_2 , or CO_2 resulted in a 1.5 log10 CFU/g reduction of *L. monocytogenes* in mung bean sprouts during refrigerated storage at 5 ± 2 °C (Jin and Lee 2007). Supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO₂) treatment has also been used to inhibit pathogen growth without affecting the seed germination potential (Jung and others 2009). An SC-CO₂ treatment at 20 MPa and 45 °C for 15 min on raw alfalfa seeds resulted in >7 log CFU/g reduction of *L. monocytogenes* without adversely affecting the germination rate (Jung and others 2009). Although these interventions have shown promise in reducing the bacterial load in seed sprouts, there is a demand among consumers for the use of natural antimicrobials in food safety.

Bacteriophages are host specific and naturally lyse the target bacterial cell thereby providing a promising alternative for biocontrol of sprouts (Strauch and others 2007; Sikin and others 2013). LISTEX™ P100, a listericidal phage, has been concentrated and selected from a collection of food grade phages taking into the consideration the broadest sensitivity to pathogenic strains of *L. monocytogenes* (USFDA 2007). LISTEX™ P100 is active for a temperature range of $1 - 35$ °C with optimum activity at 30 °C (USFDA 2007). The phage can survive best at 4 $\rm{°C}$ for long periods of time and is stable over an extended storage period (Soni and Nannapaneni 2010). In this study, the ability of phage LISTEX™ P100 to lyse *L. monocytogenes* during germination of alfalfa seeds was determined. The efficacy of LISTEX™ P100 in reducing *L. monocytogenes* levels has been studied with several other foods, including turkey, beef, salmon fillets, catfish, cheeses, ready-to-eat foods etc. (Chibeu and others 2013; Guenther and others 2009;

Rossi and others 2011; Soni and Nannapaneni 2010). As discussed previously, the type of food matrix plays an important role in determining the efficacy of phage biocontrol (Guenther and others 2009). In the present study, the effectiveness of phage against *L. monocytogenes* was higher in broth (7 log_{10} CFU/mL reduction after 48 h) as compared to a complex food matrix such as alfalfa sprouts $(1.6 \log_{10} CFU/mL)$ reduction after 24 h during seed germination). Greater effectiveness in the broth sample was expected due to the increased diffusivity of phage in a liquid medium resulting in effective attachment of phage to the host cell (Guenther and others 2009). Similar results were obtained by Guenther and others (2009), when liquid foods such as chocolate milk and mozzarella cheese brine treated with wide-host-range phages A511 and P100 resulted in 7.6 log CFU/mL and 5.1 log CFU/mL reduction of *L. monocytogenes* respectively, as compared to reduction levels of up to 5 log_{10} CFU/g in solid foods such as hot dogs, sliced turkey meat, smoked salmon, seafood, lettuce leaves, and sliced cabbage. Reduced diffusivity in a food matrix can result in immobilization and subsequent inactivation of phages (Guenther and others 2009). Also, the broth samples were incubated at a higher temperature of 37 °C than the germination temperature (24.5 \pm 0.6 °C) or commercial refrigeration storage temperature (4 °C). The optimum activity of LISTEX[™] P100 is reported to be at 30-35 °C according MICREOS, the manufacturer of the phage. Although LISTEX[™] P100 is able to lyse bacteria at lower temperatures, the higher temperatures are more conducive to the growth and efficacy of lytic bacteriophages such as P100. Soni and others (2012) observed a higher efficacy of phage P100 in reducing *L. monocytogenes* counts by 5.6 log10 CFU/mL at 30 °C against a *L. monocytogenes* reduction of 3.1 log₁₀ CFU/mL at 4 \degree C in TSB broth after 24 h compared to an untreated

control. An additional explanation for the reduced effectiveness of phage in the alfalfa seeds during germination is the presence of favorable environmental conditions conducive to *L. monocytogenes* proliferation. In the current study, the average temperature was 24.5 ± 0.6 °C throughout the entire sprouting period. *L. monocytogenes* has shown growth at a temperature range of 0-45 °C (USFDA 2003). The moist environment conditions during the sprouting of seeds contribute greatly to the rapid growth and proliferation of pathogenic bacteria such as *L. monocytogenes*. In the current study, although the sample treated with LISTEX™ P100 showed a significant reduction in the *L. monocytogenes* population by 1.4 log10 CFU/g when compared to the untreated bacteria control after 24 h of sprouting, the effectiveness of the phage did not last throughout the germination period. In a similar observation, Kocharunchitt and others (2009) reported rapid growth (1 $log_{10} CFU/g$) of *Salmonella* on experimentally contaminated alfalfa seeds after the first 12 h of phage application.

The initial phage concentration level used in this study was based on a previous study by Leverentz and others (2003), which used a host culture of *L. monocytogenes* (5 x 10⁷ CFU/ml) against a phage concentration of 1 x 10⁷ PFU/ml to give a Multiplicity of Infection (MOI) of 0.2 PFU/CFU. In the current study, a *Listeria* concentration of 5.7 log₁₀ CFU/g was used against a phage concentration of 7.7 log₁₀ PFU/g, resulting in an MOI of 100 PFU/CFU. The use of higher MOIs has been found to result in greater bacterial reduction due to the presence of more phage particles (Garcia and others 2008; Guenther and others 2009; Soni and Nannapaneni 2010). For example, Bigwood and others (2008) reported a significant reduction of >5.9 log CFU/g at 24 °C in *Salmonella*inoculated raw and cooked beef when treated with phage at an MOI of 10^4 after 24 h.

Similarly, the same study found that a high MOI of $10⁵$ resulted in reduction of *Camplyobacter jejuni* to below detectable levels in artificially contaminated chicken skin. In the current study LISTEX™ P100 was able to cause a significant reduction in *L. monocytogenes* population for the LPS sample only for the initial 24 h of sprouting compared to the LS sample. The LS sample showed a spike on day 2 of sprouting after which the *L. monocytogenes* counts remained stable throughout the sprouting period. Perhaps, increasing the MOI would help to improve the efficacy of the phage against *L. monocytogenes* throughout the sprouting period. For example, a LISTEXTM P100 dose of 10^8 PFU/g on salmon fillets inoculated with 10^4 CFU/g *L. monocytogenes* resulted in a \sim 3.5 log CFU/g reduction in the bacterial population, with an MOI of 10⁴ (Soni and Nannapaneni 2010). However, lowering the phage concentration to 10^7 PFU/g while keeping the same *L. monocytogenes* concentration (10⁴ CFU/g) resulted in a bacterial reduction of only 2 log CFU/g (Soni and Nannapaneni 2010). Kim and others (2007) observed regrowth of *Enterobacter sakazakii* in infant formula to 3.29 ± 1.48 and 4.94 ± 1.48 0.64 log CFU/ml from an initial inoculation of 2 log CFU/mL when treated with phage levels of 10^8 and 10^7 PFU/mL, respectively, whereas a higher phage concentration of 10^9 PFU/ml reduced the counts to non-detectable levels.

As reported by Chibeu and others (2013), subsequent progeny phages developed after bacterial lysis demonstrated lower phage efficiency than the initial infecting phage. This may explain the observed reduction in phage effectiveness after the initial 24 h in the current study. Furthermore, the surface complexity of alfalfa seeds could act as a hindrance for newly formed phages to access the target bacterial cells (Ly-Chatain 2014). The other factors contributing to the inefficacy of LISTEX™ P100 in alfalfa sprouts

could be the neutralization of phages by host antibodies (Sulakvelidze and others 2001) and temporary phage resistance (Kocharunchitt and others 2009). However, it is unclear at this point if phage antibodies (if present) can cause a barrier for bacterial lysis by slowing the doubling time of bacteria (Sulakvelidze and others 2001).

In order for a technology to be applicable as a method of bio preservation, the stability of reacting particles over the food surface is of utmost importance. Soni and Nannapaneni (2010) found that the stability of LISTEX[™] P100 did not differ significantly from an initial concentration of 8 log PFU/g to a final 7.4 log PFU/g in salmon fillets over 10-day storage at 4 °C. Similarly, Soni and others (2010) observed only a reduction in bacteriophage numbers from 7.3 \log_{10} PFU/g to 5.5 \log_{10} PFU/g and 5.2 log₁₀ PFU/g in catfish fillets stored at 4 °C and 10 °C, respectively, for 10 days. Kocharunchitt and others (2009) observed that although phage SSP6 survived the presoaking and germination period of alfalfa seed sprouts, the phage numbers decreased by \sim 3 log₁₀ PFU/g. In this study, similar results for the phage stability were obtained for seed sprouts throughout the sprouting and storage period. The phage numbers decreased from an initial concentration of 7.4 \log_{10} PFU/g to 5.4 \log_{10} PFU/g by day 7 of storage in LPS sample whereas the phage numbers decreased from 7.4 log_{10} PFU/g to 4.4 log_{10} PFU/g in PS sample. The higher decrease in phage numbers in PS sample could be attributed to unavailability of host bacteria for the phage to lyse. Also, the uneven surface areas of alfalfa seeds coupled with periodical rinsing during the sprouting process might have caused phage particles to detach from the seed surface and wash-off with the rinsing water. The average phage numbers throughout the presoaking, sprouting and storage period for LPS sample and PS samples were $6.64 \pm 0.98 \log_{10} PFU/g$ and 5.85 ± 1.18

 log_{10} PFU/g respectively [\(Figure 9\)](#page-90-0). The results indicate that the phage survived and remained stable for the entire presoaking, sprouting and storage period of alfalfa seed sprouts.

6 CONCLUSION

Although bacteriophages have shown great potential as an alternative strategy in controlling foodborne diseases, the efficacy of phage-based biocontrol highly depends upon the complexity of the food matrix. This study has shown that LISTEX™ P100 may serve as a potential hurdle in controlling the proliferation of *Listeria monocytogenes* in alfalfa sprouts during the initial stages of germination. However, further understanding of phage delivery and phage optimization specifically for seed sprouts needs to be considered for effective biocontrol of foodborne pathogens. The use of lytic bacteriophages can act as an additional hurdle in decontamination and help in enhancing the safety of seeds and sprouts.

7 POTENTIAL PROBLEMS, ALTERNATIVE STRATEGIES, AND FUTURE INVESTIGATIONS

The potential problems linked to this study can be associated with prior microbial contamination arising due to presence of aerobic bacteria on the working platform. In spite of the utmost care during operation of the aseptic techniques for microbial enumeration, the possibility of environmental contamination cannot be denied due to several factors. The use of non-specific agar such as TSA for the soft-agar overlay technique can help in the growth of external, undesired microorganisms that can cause potential errors in phage enumeration. Seed sprouts are reported to carry high background

microbiota, with an aerobic plate count (APC) of $10^8 \text{ - } 10^9 \text{ CFU/g}$ in alfalfa and mung bean sprouts available at retail stores, as reported by Patterson and Woodburn (1980). The microflora associated with these seeds can cause competitive interaction between *L. monocytogenes* as well as a hindrance to attachment of phage particles to the target bacteria thereby reducing the phage efficacy. Another potential problem during sprouting of alfalfa seeds is the dehulling step during the process. Commercial sprouts are dehulled before packaging to enhance the marketability of the product by separating the unsprouted seeds from the sprouted. In a lab setting, effective dehulling can be very difficult to achieve while simultaneously working in an aseptic environment. The glass jar method of producing alfalfa sprout may increase the potential of external contamination due to partially uncovered mouth of the jar (kept for aeration).

The external microbial contamination during the experiment can be prevented using appropriate aseptic techniques during the entire operation. The use of a Biosafety hood for the purpose of bacteria and phage inoculation as well as for rinsing water during seed sprouting can reduce aerobic contamination in the samples. The use of a homesprouting apparatus for germinating alfalfa seeds can be an alternate approach instead of glass jar method. A mechanical sprouter would have better advantage over control of other sprouting factors such as irrigation water, moisture control, etc. (Palmai and Buchanan 2002). In the current study, microbial enumeration was carried out using a standard pour-plate technique. However, the use of an automated spiral plater for microbial enumeration in both treated and control sample would have given a more accurate data on microbial numbers in each sample.

According to MICREOS, the manufacturer of LISTEX™ P100, the optimum pH range for anti-listerial activity of LISTEX[™] P100 is $5.6 - 9.6$. Although this study did not consider the pH values on the alfalfa seed surface, the future investigations might consider pH as one of the variable in method design. Combination of various physical, chemical, and biological treatments to obtain a synergistic effect in reducing microbial populations has been studied in the past (Penas and others 2009). The use of bacteriophage as part of a combination treatment may prove effective for reducing bacterial growth in sprouts. Future studies could focus on investigating the effective combinations of several physical, chemical, and biological interventions in addition to application of bacteriophages for decontamination of sprouts. The use of a multi-phage cocktail against single bacterial pathogens could also reduce the potential of developing bacteriophage insensitive mutants (BIM) and thereby prevent an acquired phage resistance (Sharma 2013). Further investigation on phage cocktails against specific bacteria could help optimize phage therapy especially in produce. Lastly, the use of LISTEX™ P100 as a processing aid against decontaminating food processing equipment is still largely unreported. Exploring the potential of suppressing the growth of *L. monocytogenes* on food processing equipment surfaces could help advance microbiological food safety.

FIGURES

Figure 6. Standard curve showing O.D at 595 nm against *L. monocytogenes* concentration

Figure 7. *L. monocytogenes* growth during alfalfa seed germination $(24.5 \pm 0.6 \degree C)$ compared between LPS (*Listeria monocytogenes* + P100 + alfalfa seeds), LS *(Listeria monocytogenes* + Alfalfa seeds), PS (P100 + alfalfa seeds) and S (Alfalfa seeds only) samples. Means with the same letter are not statistically different $(p < 0.05)$ from other samples groups tested at each time point.

Figure 8. *L. monocytogenes* growth during alfalfa sprout storage (4 °C) compared between LPS (*Listeria monocytogenes* + P100 + alfalfa seeds), LS (*Listeria monocytogenes* + Alfalfa seeds), PS (P100 + alfalfa seeds) and S (Alfalfa seeds only) samples. Means with the same letter are not statistically different $(p < 0.05)$ from other samples groups tested at each time point.

Figure 9. LISTEX™ P100 stability during seed germination and storage of alfalfa seed sprouts between LPS (*Listeria* monocytogenes + P100 + alfalfa seeds) and PS (P100 + alfalfa seeds). * indicates no significant difference $(p < 0.05)$ between LPS and PS samples at the given time point.

8 REFERENCES

- Abee T, Wouters JA. 1999. Microbial stress response in minimal processing. Int J Food Microbiol 50(1-2):65-91.
- [ACMSF] Advisory Committee on the Microbiological Safety of Food. 2009. Ad Hoc Group on Vulnerable Groups. Report on the Increased Incidence of Listeriosis in the UK.: Food Standards Agency; 2009 [Accessed 2015 May 25] Available from: [http://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/multimedia/pdfs/committee/acmsflister](http://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/multimedia/pdfs/committee/acmsflisteria.pdf) [ia.pdf.](http://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/multimedia/pdfs/committee/acmsflisteria.pdf)
- Allerberger F, Guggenbichler JP. 1988. Listeriosis in Austria--report of an outbreak in 1986. Acta Microbiol Hung 36(2-3):149-52.
- Allerberger F, Wagner M. 2010. Listeriosis: a resurgent foodborne infection. Clin Microbiol Infec 16(1):16-23.
- Anonymous. 1983. Validation of the publication of new names and new combinations previousy effectively published outside the IJSB. Int J Syst Bacteriol 33(2):438- 40.
- Arachchi GJG, Cruz CD, Dias-Wanigasekera BM, McIntyre L, Billington C, Hudson A, Flint SH, Mutukumira AN. 2014. Host range and in vitro lysis of *Listeria monocytogenes* seafood isolates by bacteriophages. Food Sci and Technol Int 20(8):591-603.
- Ariefdjohan MW, Nelson PE, Singh RK, Bhunia AK, Balasubramaniam VM, Singh N. 2004. Efficacy of high hydrostatic pressure treatment in reducing *Escherichia coli* O157 and *Listeria monocytogenes* in alfalfa seeds. J Food Sci 69(5):M117-M20.
- Arslan S, Özdemir F. 2008. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria* spp. in homemade white cheese. Food Control 19(4):360-3.
- Augustin JC, Brouillaud-Delattre A, Rosso L, Carlier V. 2000. Significance of inoculum size in the lag time of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Appl Environ Microb 66(4):1706- 10.
- Aureli P, Fiorucci GC, Caroli D, Marchiaro G, Novara O, Leone L, Salmaso S. 2000. An outbreak of febrile gastroenteritis associated with corn contaminated by *Listeria monocytogenes*. New Engl J Med 342(17):1236-41.
- Bari ML, Al-Haq MI, Kawasaki T, Nakauma M, Todoriki S, Kawamoto S, Isshiki K. 2004. Irradiation to kill *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7 and *Salmonella* on ready-toeat radish and mung bean sprouts. J Food Protect 67(10):2263-8.
- Bari ML, Nazuka E, Sabina Y, Todoriki S, Isshiki K. 2003. Chemical and irradiation treatments for killing *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7 on alfalfa, radish, and Mung bean seeds. J Food Protect 66(5):767-74.
- Bari ML, Nei D, Enomoto K, Todoriki S, Kawamoto S. 2009a. Combination Treatments for Killing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on Alfalfa, Radish, Broccoli, and Mung Bean Seeds. J Food Protect 72(3):631-6.
- Bari ML, Sugiyama J, Kawamoto S. 2009b. Repeated Quick Hot-and-Chilling Treatments for the Inactivation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in Mung Bean and Radish Seeds. Foodborne Pathog Dis 6(1):137-43.
- Bari ML, Ukuku DO, Kawasaki T, Inatsu Y, Isshiki K, Kawamoto S. 2005. Combined efficacy of nisin and pediocin with sodium lactate, citric acid, Phytic acid, and

potassium sorbate and EDTA in reducing the *Listeria monocytogenes* population of inoculated fresh-cut produce. J Food Protect 68(7):1381-7.

- Becroft D, Dove B, Farmer K, Tonkin S, Yeates N, Stamp R, Mickleson KEN. 1984. Epidemic perinatal listeriosis. Pediatr Infecti Dis J 3(1):30-4.
- Berrang ME, Brackett RE, Beuchat LR. 1989. Growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* on fresh vegetables stored under controlled-atmosphere. J Food Protect 52(10):702-5.
- Bertsch D, Rau J, Eugster MR, Haug MC, Lawson PA, Lacroix C, Meile L. 2013. *Listeria fleischmannii* sp nov., isolated from cheese. Int J Syst Evol Micr 63:526- 32.
- Bigot B, Lee WJ, McIntyre L, Wilson T, Hudson JA, Billington C, Heinemann JA. 2011. Control of *Listeria monocytogenes* growth in a ready-to-eat poultry product using a bacteriophage. Food Microbiol 28(8):1448-52.
- Bigwood T, Hudson JA, Billington C, Carey-Smith GV, Hememann JA. 2008. Phage inactivation of foodborne pathogens on cooked and raw meat. Food Microbiol $25(2):400-6.$
- Bonsaglia ECR, Silva NCC, Fernades A, Araujo JP, Tsunemi MH, Rall VLM. 2014. Production of biofilm by *Listeria monocytogenes* in different materials and temperatures. Food Control 35(1):386-91.
- Boziaris IS, Nychas GJE. 2006. Effect of nisin on growth boundaries of *Listeria monocytogenes* Scott A, at various temperatures, pH and water activities. Food Microbiol 23(8):779-84.
- Brackett RE. 1999. Incidence, contributing factors, and control of bacterial pathogens in produce. Postharvest Biol Tec 15(3):305-11.

Bren L. 2006. Bacteria-eating virus approved as food additive. FDA consum 41(1):20-2.

- Brown AE, Benson HJ. 2007. Benson's Microbiological Applications: Laboratory Manual in General Microbiology, Complete Version: McGraw-Hill.
- Burn CG. 1936. Clinical and pathological features of an infection caused by a new pathogen of the genus *Listerella*. Am J Pathol 12(3):341-U16.
- Burow H, Weber A, Patel J. 1996. Detection of *Listeria* spp in faecal samples of farm animals and in food samples of animal origin. Fleischwirtschaft 76(7):745-8.
- Büla CJ, Bille J, Glauser MP. 1995. An epidemic of food-borne listeriosis in western Switzerland: description of 57 cases involving adults. Clin Infect Dis 20(1):66-72.

Calendar R. 2006. The Bacteriophages. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Carlton RM, Noordman WH, Biswas B, de Meester ED, Loessner MJ. 2005. Bacteriophage P100 for control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods: Genome sequence, bioinformatic analyses, oral toxicity study, and application. Regul Toxicol Pharm 43(3):301-12.
- Cartwright EJ, Jackson KA, Johnson SD, Graves LM, Silk BJ, Mahon BE. 2013. Listeriosis outbreaks and associated food vehicles, United States, 1998–2008. Emerg Infect Dis 19(1):1-9.
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 1998. Multistate outbreak of listeriosis--United States, 1998. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 47(50);1085-6 1998 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00056024.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00056024.htm)

[CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 1999. Update: Multistate Outbreak of Listeriosis. 1999 [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:

[http://www.cdc.gov/media/pressrel/r990114.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/media/pressrel/r990114.htm)

[CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2001. Outbreak of listeriosis associated with homemade Mexican-style cheese--North Carolina, October 2000- January 2001, MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 50(26), 560-2.; 2001 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from:

[http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5026a3.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5026a3.htm)

- [CDC] Center for Disease Prevention and Control. Public Health Dispatch: Outbreak of listeriosis--northeastern United States, 2002. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 51(42), 950-1.; 2002 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5142a3.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5142a3.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Prevention and Control. Outbreak of *Listeria monocytogenes* infections associated with pasteurized milk from a local dairy--Massachusetts, 2007. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 57 (40), 1097-1100. 2008 Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5740a1.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5740a1.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011. Multistate Outbreak of Listeriosis Linked to Whole Cantaloupes from Jensen Farms, Colorado. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 60 (39), 1357-1358.; 2011a [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:

[http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6039a5.htm?s_cid=mm6039a](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6039a5.htm?s_cid=mm6039a5_w) [5_w.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6039a5.htm?s_cid=mm6039a5_w)

[CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011. Outbreak of invasive listeriosis associated with the consumption of hog head cheese--Louisiana, 2010. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 60(13), 401-405.; 2011b [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from:

[http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6013a2.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6013a2.htm)

[CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011. Vital signs: incidence and trends of infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through food- foodborne diseases active surveillance network, 10 US sites, 1996-2010. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 60 (22), 749-755.; 2011c [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from:

[http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6022a5.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6022a5.htm)

- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013. Incidence and trends of infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through food-foodborne diseases active surveillance network, 10 US sites, 1996-2012. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 62 (15), 283-287.; 2013a [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6215a2.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6215a2.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013. Multistate Outbreak of Listeriosis Linked to Imported Frescolina Marte Brand Ricotta Salata Cheese (Final Update). 2013b [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-09-12/index.html?s_cid=fb1807.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-09-12/index.html?s_cid=fb1807)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013. Multistate Outbreak of Listeriosis Linked to Crave Brothers Farmstead Cheeses (Final Update). MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 63 (13), 294-295.; 2013c [Accessed 2015

April, 27] Available from:

[http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6313a5.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6313a5.htm)

- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2014. Multistate Outbreak of Listeriosis Linked to Roos Foods Dairy Products. 2014a [Accessed 2015 16 April] Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-02-](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-02-14/index.html) [14/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-02-14/index.html)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2014. Wholesome Soy Products, Inc. Sprouts and Investigation of Human Listeriosis Cases (Final Update). 2014b [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:

[http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/bean-sprouts-11-14/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/bean-sprouts-11-14/index.html)

[CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2014. Oasis Brands, Inc. Cheese Recalls and Investigation of Human Listeriosis Cases (Final Update). 2014c [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:

[http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-10-14/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-10-14/index.html)

- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2015. Multistate Outbreak of Listeriosis Linked to Blue Bell Creameries Products. 2015a [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/ice-cream-03-](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/ice-cream-03-15/index.html) [15/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/ice-cream-03-15/index.html)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2015. Multistate Outbreak of Listeriosis Linked to Commercially Produced, Prepackaged Caramel Apples Made from Bidart Bros. Apples (Final Update). 2015b [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/caramel-apples-12-](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/caramel-apples-12-14/index.html) [14/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/caramel-apples-12-14/index.html)
- Chen YH, Ross EH, Scott VN, Gombas DE. 2003. *Listeria monocytogenes*: Low levels equal low risk. J Food Protect 66(4):570-7.
- Chibeu A, Agius L, Gao A, Sabour PM, Kropinski AM, Balamurugan S. 2013. Efficacy of bacteriophage LISTEX™P100 combined with chemical antimicrobials in reducing *Listeria monocytogenes* in cooked turkey and roast beef. Int J Food Microbiol 167(2):208-14.
- Coffey B, Mills S, Coffey A, McAuliffe O, Ross RP. 2010. Phage and Their Lysins as Biocontrol Agents for Food Safety Applications. In: Doyle MP, Klaenhammer TR, editors. Annual Review of Food Science and Technology, Vol 1. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews. p. 449-68.
- Conter M, Paludi D, Zanardi E, Ghidini S, Vergara A, Ianieri A. 2009. Characterization of antimicrobial resistance of foodborne *Listeria monocytogenes*. Int J Food Microbiol 128(3):497-500.
- Crim SM, Iwamoto M, Huang JY, Griffin PM, Gilliss D, Cronquist AB, Cartter M, Tobin-D'Angelo M, Blythe D, Smith K. 2014. Incidence and trends of infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through food—Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, 10 US sites, 2006–2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 63:328-32.
- da Silva EP, De Martinis ECP. 2013. Current knowledge and perspectives on biofilm formation: the case of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Appl Microbiol Biot 97(3):957- 68.
- Dalton CB, Austin CC, Sobel J, Hayes PS, Bibb WF, Graves LM, Swaminathan B, Proctor ME, Griffin PM. 1997. An outbreak of gastroenteritis and fever due to *Listeria monocytogenes* in milk. New Engl J Med 336(2):100-6.
- Davis DE, Stewart H. 2002. Changing consumer demands create opportunities for US food system. Food Rev 25(1):19-23.
- De Luca G, Zanetti F, Fateh-Moghadm P, Stampi S. 1998. Occurrence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in sewage sludge. Zbl Hyg Umweltmed 201(3):269-77.
- De Valk H, Jacquet C, Goulet V, Vaillant V, Perra A, Simon F, Desenclos JC, Martin P. 2005. Surveillance of *listeria* infections in Europe. Euro surveillance: bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles= European communicable disease bulletin 10(10):251-5.
- Delgado AE, Sun DW. 2001. Heat and mass transfer models for predicting freezing processes - a review. J Food Eng 47(3):157-74.
- den Bakker HC, Warchocki S, Wright EM, Allred AF, Ahlstrom C, Manuel CS, Stasiewicz MJ, Burrell A, Roof S, Strawn LK, Fortes E, Nightingale KK, Kephart D, Wiedmann M. 2014. *Listeria floridensis* sp nov., *Listeria aquatica* sp nov., *Listeria cornellensis* sp nov., *Listeria riparia* sp nov and *Listeria grandensis* sp nov., from agricultural and natural environments. Int J Syst Evol Micr 64:1882-9.

Dorozynski A. 2000. Seven die in French *Listeria* outbreak. Brit Med J 320(7235):601.

d'Herelle F. 1917. An invisible microbe that is antagonistic to the dysentery bacillus. Comptes rendus Acad. Sci. Paris 165:373-5.

- Farber JM, Daley EM, Mackie MT, Limerick B. 2000. A small outbreak of listeriosis potentially linked to the consumption of imitation crab meat. Lett Appl Microbiol 31(2):100-4.
- Farber JM, Peterkin PI. 1991. *Listeria monocytogenes*, a food-borne pathogen. Microbiol Rev 55(3):476-511.
- Feng GP, Churey JJ, Worobo RW. 2007. Thermal inactivation of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7 on alfalfa seeds. J Food Protect 70(7):1698-703.
- Fischetti VA. 2005. Bacteriophage lytic enzymes: novel anti-infectives. Trends Microbiol 13(10):491-6.
- Fleming DW, Cochi SL, MacDonald KL, Brondum J, Hayes PS, Plikaytis BD, Holmes MB, Audurier A, Broome CV, Reingold AL. 1985. Pasteurized milk as a vehicle of infection in an outbreak of listeriosis. New Engl J M 312(7):404-7.
- Fransisca L, Park HK, Feng H. 2012. *E. Coli* O157:H7 Population Reduction from Alfalfa Seeds with Malic Acid and Thiamine Dilauryl Sulfate and Quality Evaluation of the Resulting Sprouts. J Food Sci 77(2):M121-M6.
- Fretz R, Pichler J, Sagel U, Much P, Ruppitsch W, Pietzka AT, Stöger A, Huhulescu S, Heuberger S, Appl G. 2010. Update: Multinational listeriosis outbreak due to 'Quargel', a sour milk curd cheese, caused by two different *L. monocytogenes* serotype 1/2a strains, 2009-2010.
- Frye DM, Zweig R, Sturgeon J, Tormey M, LeCavalier M, Lee I, Lawani L, Mascola L. 2002. An outbreak of febrile gastroenteritis associated with delicatessen meat contaminated with *Listeria monocytogenes*. Clin infect dis 35(8):943-9.
- Gandhi M, Chikindas ML. 2007. *Listeria*: A foodborne pathogen that knows how to survive. Int J Food Microbiol 113(1):1-15.
- Garcia P, Martinez B, Obeso JM, Rodriguez A. 2008. Bacteriophages and their application in food safety. Lett Appl Microbiol 47(6):479-85.
- Gaynor, P. 2006. How US FDA's GRAS notification program works. Food Saf Mag 11: pp. 16-19. 2005 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from: [http://www.foodsafetymagazine.com/magazine-archive1/december-2005january-](http://www.foodsafetymagazine.com/magazine-archive1/december-2005january-2006/how-us-fdas-gras-notification-program-works/)[2006/how-us-fdas-gras-notification-program-works/.](http://www.foodsafetymagazine.com/magazine-archive1/december-2005january-2006/how-us-fdas-gras-notification-program-works/)
- Gellin BG, Broome CV. 1989. Listeriosis. Jama J Am Med Assoc 261(9):1313-20.
- Gill DA. 1933. Circling Disease: A Meningo-Encephalitis of Sheep in New Zealand. Notes on a New Species of Pathogenic Organism. Vet J 89:258-70.
- Goulet V, Jacquet C, Vaillant V, Rebiere I, Mouret E, Lorente C, Maillot E, Stainer F, Rocourt J. 1995. Listeriosis from consumption of raw-milk cheese. Lancet 345(8964):1581-2.
- Goulet V, Rocourt J, Rebiere I, Jacquet C, Moyse C, Dehaumont P, Salvat G, Veit P. 1998. Listeriosis outbreak associated with the consumption of rillettes in France in 1993. J Infect Dis 177(1):155-60.
- Graves LM, Helsel LO, Steigerwalt AG, Morey RE, Daneshvar MI, Roof SE, Orsi RH, Fortes ED, Milillo SR, Den Bakker HC. 2010. *Listeria marthii* sp. nov., isolated from the natural environment, Finger Lakes National Forest. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 60(6):1280-8.
- Gray ML, Killinge AH. 1966. *Listeria monocytogenes* and listeric infections. Bacteriol Rev $30(2):309 - &.$
- Guenther S, Huwyler D, Richard S, Loessner MJ. 2009. Virulent bacteriophage for efficient biocontrol of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat foods. Appl Environ Microb 75(1):93-100.
- Hagens S, Loessner MJ. 2007. Application of bacteriophages for detection and control of foodborne pathogens. Appl Microbiol Biot 76(3):513-9.
- Halter EL, Neuhaus K, Scherer S. 2013. *Listeria weihenstephanensis* sp nov., isolated from the water plant Lemna trisulca taken from a freshwater pond. Int J Syst Evol Micr 63:641-7.
- Hanlon GW. 2007. Bacteriophages: An appraisal of their role in the treatment of bacterial infections. Int J Antimicrob Ag 30(2):118-28.
- Hurd S, Phan Q, Hadler J, Mackenzie B, Lance-Parker S, Blake P, Deasy M, Rankin J, Frye D, Lee I. 2000. Multistate outbreak of listeriosis-United States, 2000. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) 49(50):1129-30.
- [ICTV] International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. 2012. ICTV Master Species List (MSL), Version 2. 2012 [Accessed 2014 May 7] Available from: [http://talk.ictvonline.org/files/ictv_documents/m/msl/4090.aspx.](http://talk.ictvonline.org/files/ictv_documents/m/msl/4090.aspx)
- Ita PS, Hutkins RW. 1991. Intracellular pH and survival of *Listeria monocytogenes* Scott-A in tryptic soy broth containing acetic, lactic, citric, and hydrochloric acids. J Food Protect 54(1):15-9.
- Jacquet C, Catimel B, Brosch R, Buchrieser C, Dehaumont P, Goulet V, Lepoutre A, Veit P, Rocourt J. 1995. Investigations related to the epidemic strain involved in the French listeriosis outbreak in 1992. Appl Environ Microb 61(6):2242-6.
- Jamali H, Radmehr B, Thong KL. 2013. Prevalence, characterisation, and antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria* species and *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from raw milk in farm bulk tanks. Food Control 34(1):121-5.
- Jensen A, Frederiksen W, Gerner-Smidt P. 1994. Risk factors for listeriosis in Denmark, 1989-1990. Scand J Infect Dis 26(2):171-8.
- Jin HH, Lee SY. 2007. Combined effect of aqueous chlorine dioxide and modified atmosphere packaging on inhibiting *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Listeria monocytogenes* in mungbean sprouts. J Food Sci 72(9):M441-M5.
- Jonczyk E, Klak M, Miedzybrodzki R, Gorski A. 2011. The influence of external factors on bacteriophages-review. Folia Microbiol 56(3):191-200.
- Jung DS, Bodyfelt FW, Daeschel MA. 1992. Influence of fat and emulsifiers on the efficacy of nisin in inhibiting *Listeria monocytogenes* in fluid milk. J Dairy Sci 75(2):387-93.
- Jung WY, Choi YM, Rhee MS. 2009. Potential use of supercritical carbon dioxide to decontaminate *Escherichia coli* O157:H7,*Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Salmonella typhimurium* in alfalfa sprouted seeds. Int J Food Microbiol 136(1):66-70.
- Kim C, Hung YC, Brackett RE, Lin CS. 2003. Efficacy of electrolyzed oxidizing water in inactivating *Salmonella* on alfalfa seeds and sprouts. J Food Protect 66(2):208-14.
- Kim HJ, Feng H, Kushad MM, Fan XT. 2006. Effects of ultrasound, irradiation, and acidic electrolyzed water on germination of alfalfa and broccoli seeds and *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7. J Food Sci 71(6):M168-M73.
- Kim K-P, Klumpp J, Loessner MJ. 2007. *Enterobacter sakazakii* bacteriophages can prevent bacterial growth in reconstituted infant formula. Int J Food Microbiol 115(2):195-203.
- Kim SA, Kim OM, Rhee MS. 2013. Changes in microbial contamination levels and prevalence of foodborne pathogens in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) and rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) during sprout production in manufacturing plants. Lett appl microbiol 56(1):30-6.
- Kim Y, Kim M, Bin Song K. 2009. Combined treatment of fumaric acid with aqueous chlorine dioxide or UV-C irradiation to inactivate *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella enterica* serovar *typhimurium*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* inoculated on alfalfa and clover sprouts. LWT-Food Sci Technol 42(10):1654-8.
- Klumpp J, Dorscht J, Lurz R, Bielmann R, Wieland M, Zimmer M, Calendar R, Loessner MJ. 2008. The terminally redundant, nonpermuted genome of *Listeria* bacteriophage A511: a model for the SPO1-like myoviruses of gram-positive bacteria. J Bacteriol 190(17):5753-65.
- Kocharunchitt C, Ross T, McNeil DL. 2009. Use of bacteriophages as biocontrol agents to control *Salmonella* associated with seed sprouts. Int J Food Microbiol 128(3):453-9.
- Koutsoumanis KP, Sofos JN. 2005. Effect of inoculum size on the combined temperature, pH and a(w) limits for growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Int J Food Microbiol 104(1):83-91.
- Kovacevic M, Burazin J, Pavlovic H, Kopjar M, Pilizota V. 2013. Prevalence and level of *Listeria monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* sp in ready-to-eat minimally processed and refrigerated vegetables. World J Microb Biot 29(4):707-12.
- Kozak J, Balmer T, Byrne R, Fisher K. 1996. Prevalence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods: Incidence in dairy products. Food Control 7(4-5):215-21.
- Kuo YH, Rozan P, Lambein F, Frias J, Vidal-Valverde C. 2004. Effects of different germination conditions on the contents of free protein and non-protein amino acids of commercial legumes. Food Chem 86(4):537-45.
- Lang MM, Ingham BH, Ingham SC. 2000. Efficacy of novel organic acid and hypochlorite treatments for eliminating *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7 from alfalfa seeds prior to sprouting. Int J Food Microbiol 58(1-2):73-82.
- Le Souef PN, Walters BN. 1982. Neonatal Listeriosis: A Summer Outbreak. Obstet Gynecol Surv 37(6):411-2.
- Leclercq A, Clermont D, Bizet C, Grimont PAD, Le Fleche-Mateos A, Roche SM, Buchrieser C, Cadet-Daniel V, Le Monnier A, Lecuit M, Allerberger F. 2010. *Listeria rocourtiae* sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Micr 60:2210-4.
- Lee S-Y. 2004. Microbial safety of pickled fruits and vegetables and hurdle technology. Internet Journal of food safety 4:21-32.
- Lee S-Y, Yun K-M, Fellman J, Kang D-H. 2002. Inhibition of *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Listeria monocytogenes* in mung bean sprouts by chemical treatment. J Food Protect 65(7):1088-92.
- Leggett LN, Tomasula PM, Van Hekken DL, Porto-Fett ACS, Shoyer B, Renye JA, Luchansky JB, Farkye N. 2012. Effect of storage at 4 and 10 C on the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* in and on Queso fresco. J of Food Safety 32(2):236-45.
- Leistner L. 2000. Basic aspects of food preservation by hurdle technology. Int J Food Microbiol 55(1-3):181-6.
- Leverentz B, Conway WS, Camp MJ, Janisiewicz WJ, Abuladze T, Yang M, Saftner R, Sulakvelidze A. 2003. Biocontrol of *Listeria monocytogenes* on fresh-cut produce by treatment with lytic bacteriophages and a bacteriocin. Appl Environ Microb 69(8):4519-26.
- Levin BR, Bull JJ. 2004. Population and evolutionary dynamics of phage therapy. Nat Rev Microbiol 2(2):166-73.
- Li JW, Yu ZB, Cai XP, Gao M, Chao FH. 1996. Trihalomethanes formation in water treated with chlorine dioxide. Water Res 30(10):2371-6.
- Likotrafiti E, Smirniotis P, Nastou A, Rhoades J. 2013. Effect of relative humidity and storage temperature on the behavior of *Listeria monocytogenes* on fresh vegetables. J Food Safety 33(4):545-51.
- Linnan MJ, Mascola L, Lou XD, Goulet V, May S, Salminen C, Hird DW, Yonekura ML, Hayes P, Weaver R. 1988. Epidemic listeriosis associated with Mexicanstyle cheese. New Engl J Med 319(13):823-8.
- Low JC, Donachie W. 1997. A review of *Listeria monocytogenes* and listeriosis. Vet J 153(1):9-29.
- Ly-Chatain MH. 2014. The factors affecting effectiveness of treatment in phages therapy. Frontiers in Microbiology 5:7.

Lyytikäinen O, Autio T, Maijala R, Ruutu P, Honkanen-Buzalski T, Miettinen M, Hatakka M, Mikkola J, Anttila V-J, Johansson T. 2000. An outbreak of *Listeria monocytogenes* serotype 3a infections from butter in Finland. J Infect Dis 181(5):1838-41.

- Mansour NS. 1993. Grow your own vegetable sprouts. EC 1358 Oregon State University, Extension Service, Oregon.
- McGuire S. 2011. US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Health and Human Services, Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, January 2011. Advances in Nutrition: An International Review Journal 2(3):293-4.

McLauchlin J. 1997. *Listeria* and listeriosis. Clin Microbiol Infec 3(4):484-92.

- Mead PS, Dunne EF, Graves L, Wiedmann M, Patrick M, Hunter S, Salehi E, Mostashari F, Craig A, Mshar P. 2006. Nationwide outbreak of listeriosis due to contaminated meat. Epidemiol Infect 134(04):744-51.
- Messi P, Casolari C, Fabio A, Fabio G, Gibertoni C, Menziani G, Quaglio P. 2000. Occurrence of *Listeria* in food matrices. Ind Aliment-Italy 39(389):151-7.
- Meyerowitz S. 1999. Sprouts, the miracle food: the complete guide to sprouting: Sproutman Publications.

MICREOS. 2011. Regulatory position LISTEX™ - USA. 2011 [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from: [http://www.micreosfoodsafety.com/en/listex-regulatory.aspx.](http://www.micreosfoodsafety.com/en/listex-regulatory.aspx)

Mishra N, Puri VM, Demirci A. 2013. Inactivation and injury of *Listeria monocytogenes* under combined effect of pressure and temperature in UHT whole milk. J Food Process Eng 36(3):374-84.
- Molinos AC, Abriouel H, Ben Omar N, Valdivia E, Lopez RL, Maqueda M, Canamero MM, Galvez A. 2005. Effect of immersion solutions containing enterocin AS-48 on *Listeria monocytogenes* in vegetable foods. Applied and Environ Microbiol 71(12):7781-7.
- Montville R, Schaffner D. 2005. Monte Carlo simulation of pathogen behavior during the sprout production process. Appl Environ Microb 71(2):746-53.
- Murray EGD, Webb RA, Swann MBR. 1926. A disease of rabbits characterised by a large mononuclear leucocytosis, caused by a hitherto undescribed bacillus *Bacterium monocytogenes* (n.sp.). J Pathol Bacteriol 29(4):407-39.
- Mylon SE, Rinciog CI, Schmidt N, Gutierrez L, Wong GCL, Nguyen TH. 2010. Influence of salts and natural organic matter on the stability of bacteriophage MS2. Langmuir 26(2):1035-42.
- Neetoo H, Chen HQ. 2010. Inactivation of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on artificially contaminated alfalfa seeds using high hydrostatic pressure. Food Microbiol 27(3):332-8.
- Nei D, Latiful BM, Enomoto K, Inatsu Y, Kawamoto S. 2011. Disinfection of Radish and Alfalfa Seeds Inoculated with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* by a Gaseous Acetic Acid Treatment. Foodborne Pathog Dis 8(10):1089-94.

[NRCS] Natural Resources Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2002. Plant fact sheet. ALFALFA *Medicago sativa* L. The USDA NRCS Plant material program. 2002 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from: [http://plants.usda.gov/factsheet/pdf/fs_mesa.pdf.](http://plants.usda.gov/factsheet/pdf/fs_mesa.pdf)

- Odriscoll B, Gahan CGM, Hill C. 1997. Two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis of the acid tolerance response in *Listeria monocytogenes* LO28. Appl Environ Microb 63(7):2679-85.
- Oevermann A, Di Palma S, Doherr MG, Abril C, Zurbriggen A, Vandevelde M. 2010. Neuropathogenesis of naturally occurring encephalitis caused by *Listeria monocytogenes* in ruminants. Brain Pathol 20(2):378-90.
- Olaimat AN, Holley RA. 2012. Factors influencing the microbial safety of fresh produce: A review. Food Microbiol 32(1):1-19.
- Oliveira M, Viñas I, Colàs P, Anguera M, Usall J, Abadias M. 2014. Effectiveness of a bacteriophage in reducing *Listeria monocytogenes* on fresh-cut fruits and fruit juices. Food microbiol 38:137-42.
- Oms-Oliu G, Alejandra Rojas-Graue M, Alandes Gonzalez L, Varela P, Soliva-Fortuny R, Hernando Hernando MI, Perez Munuera I, Fiszman S, Martin-Belloso O. 2010. Recent approaches using chemical treatments to preserve quality of fresh-cut fruit: A review. Postharvest Biol Tec 57(3):139-48.
- Osaili TM, Alaboudi AR, Nesiar EA. 2011. Prevalence of *Listeria* spp. and antibiotic susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from raw chicken and ready-toeat chicken products in Jordan. Food Control 22(3–4):586-90.
- Palmai M, Buchanan RL. 2002. Growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* during germination of alfalfa sprouts. Food Microbiol 19(2-3):195-200.
- Pao S, Kalantari A, Khalid MF. 2008. Eliminating *Salmonella enterica* in alfalfa and mung bean sprouts by organic acid and hot water immersions. J Food Process Pres 32(2):335-42.
- Pao S, Rolph SP, Westbrook EW, Shen H. 2004. Use of bacteriophages to control *Salmonella* in experimentally contaminated sprout seeds. J food sci 69(5):M127- M30.
- Patterson JE, Woodburn MJ. 1980. *Klebsiella* and other bacteria on alfalfa and bean sprouts at the retail level. J Food Sci 45(3):492-5.
- Peel M, Donachie W, Shaw A. 1988. Temperature-dependent expression of flagella of *Listeria monocytogenes* studied by electron-microscopy, SDS-page and Western blotting. J Gen Microbiol 134:2171-8.
- Penas E, Gomez R, Frias J, Vidal-Valverde C. 2009. Efficacy of combinations of high pressure treatment, temperature and antimicrobial compounds to improve the microbiological quality of alfalfa seeds for sprout production. Food Control 20(1):31-9.
- Piernas V, Guiraud JP. 1998. Control of microbial growth on rice sprouts. Int J Food Sci Tech 33(3):297-305.
- Pierre PM, Ryser ET. 2006. Inactivation of *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7, *Salmonella typhimurium* DT104, and *Listeria monocytogenes* on inoculated alfalfa seeds with a fatty acid-based sanitizer. J Food Protect 69(3):582-90.
- Pirie JHH. 1927. A new disease of veld rodents.'Tiger River Disease'. Publ S Afr Inst Med Res 3(13):163-87.
- Pitt D, Aubin JM. 2012. Joseph Lister: Father of modern surgery. Can J Surg 55(5):E8- E9.
- Pérez-Trallero E, Zigorraga C, Artieda J, Alkorta M, Marimón JM. 2014. Two Outbreaks of *Listeria monocytogenes* Infection, Northern Spain. Emerg Infect Dis 20(12):2155.
- Ramos B, Miller FA, Brandao TRS, Teixeira P, Silva CLM. 2013. Fresh fruits and vegetables-An overview on applied methodologies to improve its quality and safety. Innov Food Sci Emerg 20:1-15.
- Razavilar V, Genigeorgis C. 1998. Prediction of *Listeria* spp. growth as affected by various levels of chemicals, pH, temperature and storage time in a model broth. Int J Food Microbiol 40(3):149-57.
- Rebagliati V, Philippi R, Rossi M, Troncoso A. 2009. Prevention of foodborne listeriosis. Indian J Pathol Micr 52(2):145-9.
- Riedo FX, Pinner RW, de Lourdes Tosca M, Cartter ML, Graves LM, Reeves MW, Weaver RE, Plikaytis BD, Broome C. 1994. A point-source foodborne listeriosis outbreak: documented incubation period and possible mild illness. J Infect Dis 170(3):693-6.
- Robinson TP, Aboaba OO, Kaloti A, Ocio MJ, Baranyi J, Mackey BM. 2001. The effect of inoculum size on the lag phase of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Int J Food Microbiol 70(1-2):163-73.
- Rocourt J, Bille J. 1997. Foodborne listeriosis. World health statistics quarterly. Rapport trimestriel de statistiques sanitaires mondiales 50(1-2):67-73.
- Rocourt J, Buchrieser C. 2007. The Genus *Listeria* and *Listeria monocytogenes*: Phylogenetic Position, Taxonomy, and Identification. Food Sci Technol (161):1- 20.
- Rossi LPR, Almeida RCC, Lopes LS, Figueiredo ACL, Ramos MPP, Almeida PF. 2011. Occurrence of *Listeria* spp. in Brazilian fresh sausage and control of *Listeria monocytogenes* using bacteriophage P100. Food Control 22(6):954-8.
- Ryser ET, Marth EH. 1999. Pathogenesis of *Listeria monocytogenes*. In. Ryser, Elliot T.; Marth, Elmer H. editors. *Listeria*, listeriosis, and food safety. New York, USA: CRC Press. pg. 97-130: CRC Press.
- Ryser ET, Marth EH. 2007. Foodborne Listeriosis. In. Ryser, Elliot T. editor. Listeria, listeriosis, and food safety. New York, USA: CRC Press. pg no. 301-302.: CRC Press.
- Salamina G, Donne ED, Niccolini A, Poda G, Cesaroni D, Bucci M, Fini R, Maldini M, Schuchat A, Swaminathan B. 1996. A foodborne outbreak of gastroenteritis involving *Listeria monocytogenes*. Epidemiol Infect 117(03):429-36.
- Sawyer CA, Devitto AK, Zabik ME. 1985. Foodservice systems Comparison of production methods and storage times for alfalfa sprouts. J Food Sci 50(1):188- 91.
- Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, Tauxe RV, Widdowson MA, Roy SL, Jones JL, Griffin PM. 2011. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States--major pathogens. Emerg Infect Dis 17(1):7-15.
- Schlech WF. 1996. Overview of listeriosis. Food Control 7(4):183-6.
- Schlech WF, Acheson D. 2000. Foodborne listeriosis. Clin Infect Dis 31(3):770-5.
- Schlech WF, Lavigne PM, Bortolussi RA, Allen AC, Haldane EV, Wort AJ, Hightower AW, Johnson SE, King SH, Nicholls ES, Broome CV. 1983. Epidemic listeriosis - Evidence for transmission by food. New Engl J Med 308(4):203-6.
- Schmidt V, Nyfeldt A. 1938. Ueber Mononucleosis infectiosa und Meningoencephalitis. Acta Oto-Laryngol 26(6):680-8.
- Schoeller NP, Ingham SC, Ingham BH. 2002. Assessment of the potential for *Listeria monocytogenes* survival and growth during alfalfa sprout production and use of ionizing radiation as a potential intervention treatment. J Food Protect 65(8):1259-66.
- Schwartz B, Broome C, Brown G, Hightower A, Ciesielski C, Gaventa S, Gellin B, Mascola L, Listeriosis Study G. 1988. Association of sporadic listeriosis with consumption of uncooked hot dogs and undercooked chicken. Lancet 332(8614):779-82.
- Schwartz B, Hexter D, Broome CV, Hightower AW, Hirschhorn RB, Porter JD, Hayes PS, Bibb WF, Lorber B, Faris DG. 1989. Investigation of an outbreak of listeriosis: new hypotheses for the etiology of epidemic *Listeria monocytogenes* infections. J Infect Dis 159(4):680-5.

Seeliger HPR. 1988. Listeriosis - History and actual developments. Infection 16:S80-S4.

- Shabala L, Budde B, Ross T, Siegumfeldt H, McMeekin T. 2002. Responses of *Listeria monocytogenes* to acid stress and glucose availability monitored by measurements of intracellular pH and viable counts. Int J Food Microbiol 75(1-2):89-97.
- Sharma M. 2013. Lytic bacteriophages: potential interventions against enteric bacterial pathogens on produce. Bacteriophage 3(2).
- Sikin AM, Zoellner C, Rizvi SSH. 2013. Current intervention strategies for the microbial safety of sprouts. J Food Protect 76(12):2099-123.
- Silk BJ, Mahon BE, Griffin PM, Gould H, Tauxe RV, Crim SM, Jackson KA, Gerner-Smidt P, Herman KM, Henao OL. 2013. Vital signs: *Listeria* illnesses, deaths, and outbreaks - United States, 2009-2011. Mmwr-Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 62(22):448-52.
- Silva ENG, Figueiredo ACL, Miranda FA, Almeida RCdC. 2014. Control of *Listeria monocytogenes* growth in soft cheeses by bacteriophage P100. Braz J Microbiol $45(1):11-6.$
- Skurnik M, Strauch E. 2006. Phage therapy: Facts and fiction. Int J Med Microbiol 296(1):5-14.
- Smith JL, Liu YH, Paoli GC. 2013. How does *Listeria monocytogenes* combat acid conditions? Can J Microbiol 59(3):141-52.
- Soni KA, Desai M, Oladunjoye A, Skrobot F, Nannapaneni R. 2012. Reduction of *Listeria monocytogenes* in queso fresco cheese by a combination of listericidal and listeriostatic GRAS antimicrobials. Int J Food Microbiol 155(1–2):82-8.
- Soni KA, Nannapaneni R. 2010. Bacteriophage Significantly Reduces *Listeria monocytogenes* on Raw Salmon Fillet Tissue. J Food Protect 73(1):32-8.
- Soni KA, Nannapaneni R, Hagens S. 2010. Reduction of *Listeria monocytogenes* on the surface of fresh channel catfish fillets by bacteriophage Listex P100. Foodborne Pathog Dis 7(4):427-34.
- Soylemez G, Brashears MM, Smith DA, Cuppett SL. 2001. Microbial quality of alfalfa seeds and sprouts after a chlorine treatment and packaging modifications. J Food Sci 66(1):153-7.

Stephan R, Althaus D, Kiefer S, Lehner A, Hatz C, Schmutz C, Jost M, Gerber N, Baumgartner A, Hächler H. 2015. Foodborne transmission of *Listeria monocytogenes* via ready-to-eat salad: a nationwide outbreak in Switzerland, 2013-2014. Food Control.

Stewart, Charles. 2003. Phage Techniques - Phage Biology; Institute of Bio sciences and Bio engineering, NIH Biotechnology Research Program, Rice University. 2003 [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:

[http://www.bioc.rice.edu/bios576/newphage/phageweb.html#5.](http://www.bioc.rice.edu/bios576/newphage/phageweb.html%235)

- Stewart H, Blisard N, Jolliffe D. 2006. Let's eat out: Americans weigh taste, convenience, and nutrition.
- Strauch E, Hammed JA, Hertwig S. 2007. Bacteriophages: New tools for safer food? J Verbrauch Lebensm 2(2):138-43.
- Sulakvelidze A, Alavidze Z, Morris JG. 2001. Bacteriophage therapy. Antimicrob Agents Ch 45(3):649-59.
- Swaminathan B, Gerner-Smidt P. 2007. The epidemiology of human listeriosis. Microbes Infect 9(10):1236-43.
- Taormina PJ, Beuchat LR, Slutsker L. 1999. Infections associated with eating seed sprouts: an international concern. Emerg Infect Dis 5(5):626.
- Todd ECD, Notermans S. 2011. Surveillance of listeriosis and its causative pathogen, *Listeria monocytogenes*. Food Control 22(9):1484-90.
- Tournas VH. 2005. Moulds and yeasts in fresh and minimally processed vegetables, and sprouts. Int J Food Microbiol 99(1):71-7.
- Twort FW. 1915. An investigation on the nature of ultra-microscopic viruses. Lancet 2:1241-3.
- [USFDA] U.S Food and Drug Administration. 1999. Guidance for industry: Reducing microbial food safety hazards for sprouted seeds and guidance for industry: Sampling and microbial testing of spent irrigation water during sprout production. Federal Register. 64 (20), p. 57893-57902.; 1999 [Accessed 2014 April 9].
- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, College Park, MD. 2003. Quantitative assessment of relative risk to public health from foodborne *Listeria monocytogenes* among selected categories of ready-to-eat foods. 2003 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from: [http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/RiskSafetyAssessment/ucm1839](http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/RiskSafetyAssessment/ucm183966.htm) [66.htm.](http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/RiskSafetyAssessment/ucm183966.htm)
- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2006. Agency response letter GRAS notice no. GRN 000198. Maryland, US; 2006a [Accessed 2015 March 17] Available from: [http://www.fda.gov/ucm/groups/fdagov-public/@fdagov-foods](http://www.fda.gov/ucm/groups/fdagov-public/@fdagov-foods-gen/documents/document/ucm263901.pdf)[gen/documents/document/ucm263901.pdf.](http://www.fda.gov/ucm/groups/fdagov-public/@fdagov-foods-gen/documents/document/ucm263901.pdf)
- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2006. Growing Sprouts in Retail Food Establishments CFP Issues 02-III-01 and 04-III-012. 2006b [Accessed 2015 March 17] Available from:

[http://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/RetailFoodProtection/ucm078758.](http://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/RetailFoodProtection/ucm078758.htm) [htm.](http://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/RetailFoodProtection/ucm078758.htm)

[USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2007. Agency response letter GRAS notice no. GRN 000218. Maryland, US; 2007 [Accessed 2015 March 15] Available from:

[http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticeInventory/](http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticeInventory/ucm153865.htm) [ucm153865.htm.](http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticeInventory/ucm153865.htm)

- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2011. Generally recognized as safe (GRAS). 2011 [Accessed 2015 March 15] Available from: [http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/.](http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/)
- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2012. Bad Bug Book, Foodborne Pathogenic Microorganisms and Natural Toxins. Second Edition. *Listeria monocytogenes*, pp. 99-103.; 2012 [Accessed 2014 May 7] Available from: [http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/UCM29762](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/UCM297627.pdf) [7.pdf.](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/UCM297627.pdf)
- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2013. Sprouter Northwest Expands Recall to All Varieties of Sprouts Products, Wheatgrass, and Pea Shoots with Any Best by Dates and All Sizes Because of Possible Health Risk. 2013 [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:

[http://www.fda.gov/Safety/Recalls/ucm337785.htm.](http://www.fda.gov/Safety/Recalls/ucm337785.htm)

Vazquez-Boland JA, Kuhn M, Berche P, Chakraborty T, Dominguez-Bernal G, Goebel W, Gonzalez-Zorn B, Wehland J, Kreft J. 2001. *Listeria* pathogenesis and molecular virulence determinants. Clin Microbio Rev 14(3):584-+.

- Vidalvalverde C, Frias J, Estrella I, Gorospe MJ, Ruiz R, Bacon J. 1994. Effect of processing on some antinutritional factors of lentils. J Agr Food Chem 42(10):2291-5.
- Vivant AL, Garmyn D, Piveteau P. 2013. *Listeria monocytogenes*, a down-to-earth pathogen. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 3:10.
- Wade WN, Scouten AJ, McWatters KH, Wick RL, Demirci A, Fett WF, Beuchat LR. 2003. Efficacy of ozone in killing *Listeria monocytogenes* on alfalfa seeds and sprouts and effects on sensory quality of sprouts. J Food Protect 66(1):44-51.
- Watanabe R, Matsumoto T, Sano G, Ishii Y, Tateda K, Sumiyama Y, Uchiyama J, Sakurai S, Matsuzaki S, Imai S, Yamaguchi K. 2007. Efficacy of bacteriophage therapy against gut-derived sepsis caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in mice. Antimicrob Agents Ch 51(2):446-52.
- Weis J, Seeliger HPR. 1975. Incidence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in Nature. Appl Microbiol 30(1):29-32.
- Weiss A, Hammes WP. 2005. Efficacy of heat treatment in the reduction of *Salmonellae* and *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 on alfalfa, mung bean and radish seeds used for sprout production. Eur Food Res Technol 221(1-2):187-91.
- Weller D, Andrus A, Wiedmann M, den Bakker HC. 2015. *Listeria booriae* sp. nov. and *Listeria newyorkensis* sp. nov., from food processing environments in the USA. Int J Syst Evol Micr 65(Pt 1):286-92.
- Wendlandt A, Bergann T. 1994. *Listeria monocytogenes* Occurrence in a factory for slaughtering, carving and meat processing. Fleischwirtschaft 74(12):1329-31.
- Wommack KE, Colwell RR. 2000. Virioplankton: Viruses in aquatic ecosystems. Microbiol Mol Biol R 64(1):69-+.
- Yang YS, Meier F, Lo JA, Yuan WQ, Sze VLP, Chung HJ, Yuk HG. 2013. Overview of Recent Events in the Microbiological Safety of Sprouts and New Intervention Technologies. Compr Rev Food Sci F 12(3):265-80.
- Ye J, Kostrzynska M, Dunfield K, Warrineri K. 2010. Control of *Salmonella* on Sprouting Mung Bean and Alfalfa Seeds by Using a Biocontrol Preparation Based on Antagonistic Bacteria and Lytic Bacteriophages. J Food Protect 73(1):9-17.
- Zhang Y, Yeh E, Hall G, Cripe J, Bhagwat AA, Meng J. 2007. Characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from retail foods. Int J Food Microbiol 113(1):47-53.

9 MANUSCRIPT DRAFT

- **Antimicrobial Susceptibility of** *Listeria monocytogenes* **to Bacteriophage P100**
- **during Germination and Storage of Alfalfa Sprouts (***Medicago sativa***)**
- Authors: Tushar P. Sawant, Rosalee S. Hellberg*
- Chapman University, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Food Science and
- Nutrition, One University Drive, Orange, CA 92866

***Corresponding Author**:

- Rosalee S. Hellberg, Ph.D.
- Chapman University
- Ph: 714-628-2811
- E-mail: hellberg@chapman.edu

Abstract

Keywords

Listeria monocytogenes, alfalfa sprouts, bacteriophage, LISTEX P100, germination

Introduction

 Listeria monocytogenes is a Gram-positive, ubiquitous, facultative anaerobic bacterium that can grow at refrigerated temperatures and survive in a wide range of growth conditions such as low pH and high salt levels (USFDA 2012). *L. monocytogenes* causes a foodborne illness called listeriosis, which involves diarrhea, fever, headaches, stiffness, and nausea. In some cases, it can lead to more serious diseases like pneumonia and meningitis, as well as spontaneous abortions and still births among pregnant women (USFDA 2012). Human listeriosis is responsible for 28% of all deaths due to foodborne illness and it has the highest hospitalization rate (92 %) among food borne pathogens in United States (Scallan and others 2011). The susceptible populations for listeriosis are pregnant women, elderly and immunocompromised populations.

 Since food borne listeriosis was first reported in 1981, numerous outbreaks caused by *L. monocytogenes* have been reported in the United States (Silk and others 2013). The majority of these outbreaks have been caused by the food groups such as meat, dairy, poultry and produce (Conter and others 2009). In 2013, alfalfa sprouts from Sprouters Northwest of Kent were found to be contaminated with *L. monocytogenes,* resulting in an immediate recall of over 1,953 lbs of alfalfa and other sprouts (USFDA 2013). A potential means for controlling *L. monocytogenes* in sprouts is through the use of bacteriophages, which are naturally occurring viruses that specifically attack bacterial

Determination of cell concentration by the turbidimetric method

- The final concentration of bacterial inoculum for each *L. monocytogenes*
- serogroup (T1 and T4) was determined by the turbidimetric method using a
- BioPhotometer plus (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY, USA) and the standard plate count as

Bacteriophage preparation

115 The bacteriophage LISTEX[™] P100 was obtained from MICREOS Safety (NieuweKanaal, Wageningen, Nederlands) and stored under refrigerated (4 °C) 117 conditions. The phage stock solution had an initial concentration of 11.3 log_{10} PFU/mL (2) 118 $\times 10^{11}$ PFU/mL) stored under saline buffer according to the manufacturer. The phage 119 solution was serially diluted using sterile SM buffer [100 mM NaCl, 8 mM MgSO₄ and 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5)]. The final phage concentration was determined by standard phage titer assay – soft agar overlay method (Soni and Nannapaneni 2010). A cocktail mixture of two *L. monocytogenes* serogroup T1 and T4 was prepared by adding 1 mL of 123 each serogroup at concentrations of 7.84 log_{10} CFU/mL (O.D₅₉₅ = 0.704) and 7.79 log_{10} 124 CFU/mL (O.D₅₉₅ = 0.620), respectively. A mixture of 4 mL soft agar (0.7% agar), 100

125 μ L of each phage dilution (10⁻⁵, 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁷), and 100 μ L *Listeria* cocktail was poured over 126 pre-warmed (37 °C) Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) plates and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. After the incubation period, the number of visible plaques in each plate were counted and

the dilution factor was multiplied to determine the PFU/ml.

LISTEX™ P100 treatment in nutrient broth

 LISTEX™ P100 was inoculated in nutrient broth to study its inhibitory effect on *L. monocytogenes*. The two serogroups of *L. monocytogenes* were tested separately. A mixture of 8 mL TSB, 1 mL *L. monocytogenes* serogroup T1 (5.8 log10 CFU/mL) or T4 133 (5.6 log_{10} CFU/mL) cultured in sterile tryptic soy broth (TSB) (described above), and 1 134 mL LISTEXTM P100 in sterile SM buffer at $8 \log_{10}$ PFU/mL was prepared. The untreated control broth received *L. monocytogenes* serogroup T1 or T4, and 1 mL of sterile SM buffer instead of phage. The phage control broth was prepared by adding 1 mL sterile TSB instead of the *Listeria* inoculum. The negative control broth was comprised of 9 mL sterile TSB and 1 mL sterile SM buffer (with no *Listeria* or phage). All broth samples were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Bacterial counts of *L. monocytogenes* were then determined by pour plating samples on PALCAM agar plates in triplicate and incubating 141 plates at $37 °C$ for 48 h.

Alfalfa seed treatment with LISTEX™ P100

Seed inoculation

 A total of four sets of alfalfa seeds were prepared for use in this study: *Listeria-* inoculated seeds treated with phage (LPS), *Listeria-*inoculated seeds with no phage (LS), uninoculated seeds treated with phage (PS), and uninoculated seeds with no phage (S)*.*

Bacteriophage treatment

 The bacteriophage treatment on raw alfalfa seeds was adopted from Kocharunchitt and others (2009) with some modifications. The sets of alfalfa seeds to be treated with phage (LPS and PS) were each soaked in 240 mL sterile water containing 159 bacteriophage at a concentration of 7.72 log_{10} PFU/mL for 12 h. The sets of alfalfa seeds 160 that were not treated with phage (LS and S) were dipped in 240 mL sterile water without bacteriophage. After 12 h of immersion, the seeds were allowed to dry on sterile trays under the Bio-safety hood for 30 min before the first rinse for the seed sprouting process. *Seed Germination*

 The method used for germination (sprouting) of alfalfa seeds was adopted from Sawyer (1985), with some modifications. After the 12 h immersion step described above, the seeds were removed from solution and rinsed with sterile water by the twirling method in glass jars covered with cheesecloth. The jars were then placed in an inverted 168 position at a 45° angle at room temperature (24.5 \pm 0.6 °C) in the dark in a flat, deep,

 autoclaved glass tray. At every 8 h interval, water was rinsed nine times to obtain a cumulative time of 72 h of sprout growth. After the ninth rinse, the jars were exposed to visible light for 8 h for a total of 80 h of growing time. Next, the water was drained-off and sprouts were placed in a bowl of sterile deionized water, stirred vigorously to loosen the hulls and allowed to stand for 10 min to separate the hulls, which float on the surface. The treated alfalfa seeds were then removed from the solution and dried for 30 min at room temperature on aluminum foil under a Biosafety hood. After drying, the sprouts 176 were packaged in plastic clam shells (Sprout House, New York, USA) and stored at $4 \degree C$. The clamshells were sterilized by Ultra-violet (UV) light for 30 min prior to use. All samples were held for a storage period of 8 d in the refrigerator (Fisher Scientific, 179 Pittsburg, PA, USA) at 4° C.

Microbial enumeration

 The microbial enumeration method was adopted from Kocharunchitt and others (2009). Samples of alfalfa seeds/sprouts were collected immediately after 12 h presoaking but before the first rinse for day 0. Subsequently, seed samples were collected at 24 h (day 1), 48 h (day 2), and sprout sample at 72 h (day 3) after the first rinse. After seed sprouting, the sprouts samples were collected immediately after the last rinse (day 0) for enumerating *L. monocytogenes* during refrigerated storage. Following the first sprout sample collection, further samples of sprouts were collected at day 2, day 4, day 6, and day 8 of the refrigerated storage. For bacterial enumeration of seed samples, a 1.0 g seed sample was placed in a stomacher bag containing 9 mL of sterile buffered peptone water (BPW) (Thermo Scientific, Remel products, Lenexa, KS, USA) and mixed for 30 s at 230 RPM in a 400C Seward Stomacher (Seward Stomacher, England). Similarly, for bacterial

 enumeration of sprouts, 10 g of sprout sample was placed in a stomacher bag containing 90 mL of sterile BPW and mixed for 30 s in a 400C Seward Stomacher. The obtained seed or sprout homogenates were then serially diluted in sterile BPW and pour-plated on PALCAM plates, followed by incubation at 37 °C for 48 h and enumeration of *L. monocytogenes* colonies.

LISTEX™ P100 Stability

 The phage stability determination method was adopted from Kocharunchitt and others (2009). Phage stability was determined throughout the germination and storage periods. Water samples (2 mL) for each treatment solution (LPS and PS) were obtained at 201 0, 3, 8 and 12 h during the presoaking period after phage application. The seed samples were taken at Day 1, 2, and 3 during germination and sprout samples were taken at Day 1, 3, 5 and 7 during refrigerated storage. Each set of seeds was added to a separate sterile stomacher bag containing 9 mL of BPW. For sprouted samples, 10 g sprouted seed sample and 90 mL of BPW were added to a sterile stomacher bag. The mixtures were stomached for 30 s at 230 RPM in a 400C Seward Stomacher. Each homogenate was 207 filter-sterilized with a sterile syringe and 0.22μ M filters to obtain filtrate in a sterile test tube for further testing. This filtrate was serially diluted in sterile BPW and plated on TSA using the soft agar overlay technique described above. After incubation, the visible 210 phage plaques of LISTEX[™] P100 were enumerated to obtain PFU/g.

Statistical Analysis

212 *L. monocytogenes* and LISTEX[™] P100 phage counts were converted into log₁₀ 213 CFU/g and log_{10} PFU/g, respectively, to normalize the data. Data were analyzed using

one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), Tukey's test to compare growth of *L.*

monocytogenes in the treatment and control groups across the 3-day germination period.

These tests were carried out with IBM SPSS Statistics 21 (IBM SPSS Inc., Armonk, NY,

217 USA) and the significance was based on a confidence interval of 95% ($p < 0.05$). The

statistical analysis comparing sample sets LPS and PS to determine phage stability in

alfalfa seeds and sprouts was performed using a two-tailed Student's t-test, at a

220 confidence interval of 95% $(p < 0.05)$ carried out in MS-Excel 2013.

Results

L. monocytogenes inhibition by LISTEX™ P100 in nutrient broth

 The inoculated broth sample treated with bacteriophage showed no growth of *L. monocytogenes* after incubation at 37 °C for 48 h, indicating that the phage was able to lyse the bacteria. The untreated control broth (*Listeria* without phage application) showed *L. monocytogenes* counts of 7.68 log₁₀ CFU/mL for *L. monocytogenes* T1 and 7.90 log₁₀ CFU/mL for *L. monocytogenes* T4 on PALCAM plates as compared to the initial *L. monocytogenes* inoculum of 8.2 log₁₀ CFU/mL for both T1 and T4. The phage control (phage without bacteria) and negative control (no bacteria, no phage) of broth samples did not show *L. monocytogenes* growth as confirmed by pour plating on PALCAM plates.

Antimicrobial activity of LISTEX™ P100 on L. monocytogenes during germination and storage of alfalfa seeds sprouts

 As shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2, the cocktail mixture of *L. monocytogenes* serogroups T1 and T4 survived the pre-soaking, germination and storage periods of

 As seen in Figure 2, the LPS and LS samples showed no significant difference in *L. monocytogenes* levels at day 0 of alfalfa sprout storage at 4 °C. A similar trend followed for the subsequent time points at days 2 and 4 of the refrigerated storage as no significant reduction in *L. monocytogenes* counts was observed between LPS and LS samples. However, LISTEX™ P100 was able to cause a statistically significant reduction of 0.5 log10 CFU/g and 0.1 log10 CFU/g of *L. monocytogenes* in LPS samples when compared to the LS samples on day 6 and day 8 of storage, respectively (Figure 2). During the entire refrigerated storage of 8 days for alfalfa sprouts, the highest *L.*

monocytogenes counts in LPS and LS were enumerated at 5.26 log₁₀ CFU/g and 5.75 log10 CFU/g respectively, at day 0. However, there was only a marginal decrease in *L. monocytogenes* counts at the end of the 8-day storage period for the sprouts treated with phage, indicating an inefficacy of LISTEX™ P100 to lyse *L. monocytogenes* cells in a complex food matrix such as alfalfa sprouts.

Stability of LISTEX™ P100 on alfalfa seeds and sprouts

 The phage counts on *Listeria*-inoculated (LPS) alfalfa seeds and sprouts at the end of the sprouting period were significantly different than the phage counts of phage-treated 267 uninoculated alfalfa seeds and sprouts (PS). Figure 3 shows the stability of LISTEXTM P100 during the pre-soaking, germination and storage of alfalfa sprouts in both LPS and 269 PS samples. For LPS samples, the initial phage titer at the presoaking stage was 7.4 log₁₀ 270 PFU/g and at the end of the sprouting period it was 7.3 log_{10} PFU/g. For PS samples, the 271 initial phage titer was enumerated at $7.3 \log_{10} PFU/g$, with a decrease in phage numbers 272 to 5.7 log₁₀ PFU/g at the end of sprouting period. The phage numbers in both LPS and PS 273 samples further decreased to 5.4 \log_{10} PFU/g and 4.4 \log_{10} PFU/g at the end of day 7 of refrigerated storage for LPS and PS samples, respectively. The student t-test at 275 confidence interval of 95% ($p < 0.05$) showed a statistical difference between the means of LPS and PS samples at all time-points except at 12 h (presoaking) and day 5 (storage). These results indicate that the phage remained stable throughout the seed germination process for LPS samples, however, in case of PS samples, the phage numbers began to decline after day 2.

Discussion

 The initial phage concentration level used in this study was based on a previous study by Leverentz and others (2003), which used a host culture of *L. monocytogenes* (5 367 x 10⁷ CFU/ml) against a phage concentration of 1 x 10⁷ PFU/ml to give a Multiplicity of Infection (MOI) of 0.2 PFU/CFU. In the current study, a *Listeria* concentration of 5.7 log₁₀ CFU/g was used against a phage concentration of 7.7 log₁₀ PFU/g, resulting in an MOI of 100 PFU/CFU. The use of higher MOIs has been found to result in greater bacterial reduction due to the presence of more phage particles (Garcia and others 2008;

 As reported by Chibeu and others (2013), subsequent progeny phages developed after bacterial lysis demonstrated lower phage efficiency than the initial infecting phage. This may help to explain the observed reduction in phage effectiveness after the initial 24

 h in the current study. Furthermore, the surface complexity of alfalfa seeds could act as a hindrance for newly formed phages to access the target bacterial cells (Ly-Chatain 2014). The other factors contributing to the inefficacy of LISTEX™ P100 in alfalfa sprouts could be the neutralization of phages by host antibodies (Sulakvelidze and others 2001) and temporary phage resistance (Kocharunchitt and others 2009). However, it is unclear at this point if phage antibodies (if present) can cause a barrier for bacterial lysis by slowing the doubling time of bacteria (Sulakvelidze and others 2001). In order for a technology to be applicable as a method of bio preservation, the stability of reacting particles over the food surface is of utmost importance. Soni and Nannapaneni (2010) found that the stability of LISTEX™ P100 did not differ significantly from an 405 initial concentration of 8 log PFU/g to a final 7.4 log PFU/g in salmon fillets over 10-day storage at 4 °C. Similarly, Soni and others (2010) observed only a reduction in 407 bacteriophage numbers from 7.3 \log_{10} PFU/g to 5.5 \log_{10} PFU/g and 5.2 \log_{10} PFU/g in 408 catfish fillets stored at 4 \degree C and 10 \degree C, respectively, for 10 days. Kocharunchitt and others (2009) observed that although phage SSP6 survived the presoaking and 410 germination period of alfalfa seed sprouts, the phage numbers decreased by \sim 3 log₁₀ PFU/g. In this study, similar results for the phage stability were obtained for seed sprouts throughout the sprouting and storage period. The phage numbers decreased from an 413 initial concentration of 7.4 log_{10} PFU/g to 5.4 log_{10} PFU/g by day 7 of storage in LPS 414 sample whereas the phage numbers decreased from 7.4 log₁₀ PFU/g to 4.4 log₁₀ PFU/g in PS sample. The higher decrease in phage numbers in PS sample could be attributed to unavailability of host bacteria for the phage to lyse. Also, the uneven surface areas of alfalfa seeds coupled with periodical rinsing during the sprouting process might have

 caused phage particles to detach from the seed surface and wash-off with the rinsing water. The average phage numbers throughout the presoaking, sprouting and storage 420 period for LPS sample and PS samples were $6.64 \pm 0.98 \log_{10}$ PFU/g and 5.85 ± 1.18 log10 PFU/g respectively (Figure 3). The results indicate that the phage survived the presoaking, sprouting and storage period of alfalfa seed sprouts.

Conclusions

 Although bacteriophages have shown great potential as an alternative strategy in controlling foodborne diseases, the efficacy of phage-based biocontrol highly depends 426 upon the complexity of the food matrix. This study has shown that LISTEXTM P100 may serve as a potential hurdle in controlling the proliferation of *Listeria monocytogenes* in alfalfa sprouts during the initial stages of germination. However, further understanding of phage delivery and phage optimization specifically for seed sprouts needs to be considered for effective biocontrol of foodborne pathogens. The use of lytic bacteriophages can act as an additional hurdle in decontamination and help in enhancing the safety of seeds and sprouts.

Acknowledgements

 The authors would like to thank Dr. Steve Hagens of MICREOS Food Safety, Inc. in helping with the phage titer determination protocol. We would like to acknowledge the FDA Pacific Regional Laboratory Southwest, Irvine, CA for supplying the *Listeria* strains used in this study. The authors would also like to thank Chapman University for partially funding this research along with Dr. Jason Gill, Assistant Professor, Texas

 A&M University, TX and Ashley Whelpley, Chapman University for their guidance in this research.

References

- Abee T, Wouters JA. 1999. Microbial stress response in minimal processing. Int J Food Microbiol 50(1-2):65-91.
- [ACMSF] Advisory Committee on the Microbiological Safety of Food. 2009. Ad Hoc
- Group on Vulnerable Groups. Report on the Increased Incidence of Listeriosis in
- the UK.: Food Standards Agency; 2009 [Accessed 2015 May 25] Available from:
- [http://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/multimedia/pdfs/committee/acmsflister](http://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/multimedia/pdfs/committee/acmsflisteria.pdf) [ia.pdf.](http://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/multimedia/pdfs/committee/acmsflisteria.pdf)
- Allerberger F, Guggenbichler JP. 1988. Listeriosis in Austria--report of an outbreak in 1986. Acta Microbiol Hung 36(2-3):149-52.
- Allerberger F, Wagner M. 2010. Listeriosis: a resurgent foodborne infection. Clin
- Microbiol Infec 16(1):16-23.
- Anonymous. 1983. Validation of the publication of new names and new combinations
- previousy effectively published outside the IJSB. Int J Syst Bacteriol 33(2):438- 40.
- Arachchi GJG, Cruz CD, Dias-Wanigasekera BM, McIntyre L, Billington C, Hudson A,
- Flint SH, Mutukumira AN. 2014. Host range and in vitro lysis of *Listeria*
- *monocytogenes* seafood isolates by bacteriophages. Food Sci and Technol Int
- 20(8):591-603.

- Brackett RE. 1999. Incidence, contributing factors, and control of bacterial pathogens in produce. Postharvest Biol Tec 15(3):305-11.
- Bren L. 2006. Bacteria-eating virus approved as food additive. FDA consum 41(1):20-2.
- Brown AE, Benson HJ. 2007. Benson's Microbiological Applications: Laboratory
- Manual in General Microbiology, Complete Version: McGraw-Hill.
- Burn CG. 1936. Clinical and pathological features of an infection caused by a new
- pathogen of the genus *Listerella*. Am J Pathol 12(3):341-U16.
- Burow H, Weber A, Patel J. 1996. Detection of *Listeria* spp in faecal samples of farm
- animals and in food samples of animal origin. Fleischwirtschaft 76(7):745-8.
- Büla CJ, Bille J, Glauser MP. 1995. An epidemic of food-borne listeriosis in western
- Switzerland: description of 57 cases involving adults. Clin Infect Dis 20(1):66-72.
- Calendar R. 2006. The Bacteriophages. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Carlton RM, Noordman WH, Biswas B, de Meester ED, Loessner MJ. 2005.
- Bacteriophage P100 for control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods: Genome
- sequence, bioinformatic analyses, oral toxicity study, and application. Regul
- Toxicol Pharm 43(3):301-12.
- Cartwright EJ, Jackson KA, Johnson SD, Graves LM, Silk BJ, Mahon BE. 2013.
- Listeriosis outbreaks and associated food vehicles, United States, 1998–2008.
- Emerg Infect Dis 19(1):1-9.
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 1998. Multistate outbreak of
- listeriosis--United States, 1998. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report,
- 47(50);1085-6 1998 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00056024.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00056024.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 1999. Update: Multistate Outbreak of
- Listeriosis. 1999 [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/media/pressrel/r990114.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/media/pressrel/r990114.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2001. Outbreak of listeriosis
- associated with homemade Mexican-style cheese--North Carolina, October 2000-
- January 2001, MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 50(26), 560-2.;
- 2001 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5026a3.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5026a3.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Prevention and Control. Public Health Dispatch: Outbreak of
- listeriosis--northeastern United States, 2002. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality
- weekly report, 51(42), 950-1.; 2002 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5142a3.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5142a3.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Prevention and Control. Outbreak of *Listeria monocytogenes*
- infections associated with pasteurized milk from a local dairy--Massachusetts,
- 2007. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 57 (40), 1097-1100. 2008
- Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5740a1.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5740a1.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011. Multistate Outbreak of
- Listeriosis Linked to Whole Cantaloupes from Jensen Farms, Colorado. MMWR.
- Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 60 (39), 1357-1358.; 2011a [Accessed
- 2015 April, 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6039a5.htm?s_cid=mm6039a](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6039a5.htm?s_cid=mm6039a5_w)
- 550 5_w .
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011. Outbreak of invasive listeriosis
- associated with the consumption of hog head cheese--Louisiana, 2010. MMWR.
- Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 60(13), 401-405.; 2011b [Accessed 2015
- April 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6013a2.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6013a2.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011. Vital signs: incidence and
- trends of infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through food--
- foodborne diseases active surveillance network, 10 US sites, 1996-2010. MMWR.
- Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 60 (22), 749-755.; 2011c [Accessed 2015
- April 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6022a5.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6022a5.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013. Incidence and trends of
- infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through food-foodborne diseases
- active surveillance network, 10 US sites, 1996-2012. MMWR. Morbidity and
- mortality weekly report, 62 (15), 283-287.; 2013a [Accessed 2015 April 27]
- Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6215a2.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6215a2.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013. Multistate Outbreak of
- Listeriosis Linked to Imported Frescolina Marte Brand Ricotta Salata
- Cheese (Final Update). 2013b [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-09-12/index.html?s_cid=fb1807.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-09-12/index.html?s_cid=fb1807)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013. Multistate Outbreak of
- Listeriosis Linked to Crave Brothers Farmstead Cheeses (Final Update). MMWR.
- Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 63 (13), 294-295.; 2013c [Accessed 2015
- April, 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6313a5.htm.](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6313a5.htm)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2014. Multistate Outbreak of
- Listeriosis Linked to Roos Foods Dairy Products. 2014a [Accessed 2015 16
- April] Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-02-](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-02-14/index.html)
- [14/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-02-14/index.html)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2014. Wholesome Soy Products, Inc.
- Sprouts and Investigation of Human Listeriosis Cases (Final Update). 2014b
- [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/bean-sprouts-11-14/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/bean-sprouts-11-14/index.html)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2014. Oasis Brands, Inc. Cheese
- Recalls and Investigation of Human Listeriosis Cases (Final Update). 2014c
- [Accessed 2015 April, 27] Available from:
- [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-10-14/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/cheese-10-14/index.html)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2015. Multistate Outbreak of
- Listeriosis Linked to Blue Bell Creameries Products. 2015a [Accessed 2015
- April, 27] Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/ice-cream-03-](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/ice-cream-03-15/index.html)
- [15/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/ice-cream-03-15/index.html)
- [CDC] Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2015. Multistate Outbreak of
- Listeriosis Linked to Commercially Produced, Prepackaged Caramel Apples
- Made from Bidart Bros. Apples (Final Update). 2015b [Accessed 2015 April 27]
- Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/caramel-apples-12-](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/caramel-apples-12-14/index.html)
- [14/index.html.](http://www.cdc.gov/listeria/outbreaks/caramel-apples-12-14/index.html)

- Chen YH, Ross EH, Scott VN, Gombas DE. 2003. *Listeria monocytogenes*: Low levels equal low risk. J Food Protect 66(4):570-7.
- Chibeu A, Agius L, Gao A, Sabour PM, Kropinski AM, Balamurugan S. 2013. Efficacy
- of bacteriophage LISTEX™P100 combined with chemical antimicrobials in reducing *Listeria monocytogenes* in cooked turkey and roast beef. Int J Food Microbiol 167(2):208-14.
- Coffey B, Mills S, Coffey A, McAuliffe O, Ross RP. 2010. Phage and Their Lysins as
- Biocontrol Agents for Food Safety Applications. In: Doyle MP, Klaenhammer
- TR, editors. Annual Review of Food Science and Technology, Vol 1. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews. p. 449-68.
- Conter M, Paludi D, Zanardi E, Ghidini S, Vergara A, Ianieri A. 2009. Characterization of antimicrobial resistance of foodborne *Listeria monocytogenes*. Int J Food Microbiol 128(3):497-500.
- Crim SM, Iwamoto M, Huang JY, Griffin PM, Gilliss D, Cronquist AB, Cartter M,
- Tobin-D'Angelo M, Blythe D, Smith K. 2014. Incidence and trends of infection
- with pathogens transmitted commonly through food—Foodborne Diseases Active
- Surveillance Network, 10 US sites, 2006–2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep
- 63:328-32.
- da Silva EP, De Martinis ECP. 2013. Current knowledge and perspectives on biofilm formation: the case of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Appl Microbiol Biot 97(3):957- 68.

- Gandhi M, Chikindas ML. 2007. *Listeria*: A foodborne pathogen that knows how to survive. Int J Food Microbiol 113(1):1-15.
- Garcia P, Martinez B, Obeso JM, Rodriguez A. 2008. Bacteriophages and their

application in food safety. Lett Appl Microbiol 47(6):479-85.

- Gaynor, P. 2006. How US FDA's GRAS notification program works. Food Saf Mag 11:
- pp. 16-19. 2005 [Accessed 2015 April 27] Available from:
- [http://www.foodsafetymagazine.com/magazine-archive1/december-2005january-](http://www.foodsafetymagazine.com/magazine-archive1/december-2005january-2006/how-us-fdas-gras-notification-program-works/)[2006/how-us-fdas-gras-notification-program-works/.](http://www.foodsafetymagazine.com/magazine-archive1/december-2005january-2006/how-us-fdas-gras-notification-program-works/)
- Gellin BG, Broome CV. 1989. Listeriosis. Jama J Am Med Assoc 261(9):1313-20.
- Gill DA. 1933. Circling Disease: A Meningo-Encephalitis of Sheep in New Zealand.

Notes on a New Species of Pathogenic Organism. Vet J 89:258-70.

- Goulet V, Jacquet C, Vaillant V, Rebiere I, Mouret E, Lorente C, Maillot E, Stainer F,
- Rocourt J. 1995. Listeriosis from consumption of raw-milk cheese. Lancet 345(8964):1581-2.
- Goulet V, Rocourt J, Rebiere I, Jacquet C, Moyse C, Dehaumont P, Salvat G, Veit P.
- 1998. Listeriosis outbreak associated with the consumption of rillettes in France

in 1993. J Infect Dis 177(1):155-60.

Graves LM, Helsel LO, Steigerwalt AG, Morey RE, Daneshvar MI, Roof SE, Orsi RH,

Fortes ED, Milillo SR, Den Bakker HC. 2010. *Listeria marthii* sp. nov., isolated

- from the natural environment, Finger Lakes National Forest. International Journal
- of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 60(6):1280-8.
- Gray ML, Killinge AH. 1966. *Listeria monocytogenes* and listeric infections. Bacteriol Rev 30(2):309-&.

- Guenther S, Huwyler D, Richard S, Loessner MJ. 2009. Virulent bacteriophage for
- efficient biocontrol of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat foods. Appl Environ Microb 75(1):93-100.
- Hagens S, Loessner MJ. 2007. Application of bacteriophages for detection and control of foodborne pathogens. Appl Microbiol Biot 76(3):513-9.
- Halter EL, Neuhaus K, Scherer S. 2013. *Listeria weihenstephanensis* sp nov., isolated
- from the water plant Lemna trisulca taken from a freshwater pond. Int J Syst Evol Micr 63:641-7.
- Hanlon GW. 2007. Bacteriophages: An appraisal of their role in the treatment of bacterial infections. Int J Antimicrob Ag 30(2):118-28.
- Hurd S, Phan Q, Hadler J, Mackenzie B, Lance-Parker S, Blake P, Deasy M, Rankin J,
- Frye D, Lee I. 2000. Multistate outbreak of listeriosis-United States, 2000.
- Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) 49(50):1129-30.
- [ICTV] International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. 2012. ICTV Master Species
- List (MSL), Version 2. 2012 [Accessed 2014 May 7] Available from:
- [http://talk.ictvonline.org/files/ictv_documents/m/msl/4090.aspx.](http://talk.ictvonline.org/files/ictv_documents/m/msl/4090.aspx)
- Ita PS, Hutkins RW. 1991. Intracellular pH and survival of *Listeria monocytogenes* Scott-

A in tryptic soy broth containing acetic, lactic, citric, and hydrochloric acids. J

- Food Protect 54(1):15-9.
- Jacquet C, Catimel B, Brosch R, Buchrieser C, Dehaumont P, Goulet V, Lepoutre A, Veit
- P, Rocourt J. 1995. Investigations related to the epidemic strain involved in the
- French listeriosis outbreak in 1992. Appl Environ Microb 61(6):2242-6.
- Jamali H, Radmehr B, Thong KL. 2013. Prevalence, characterisation, and antimicrobial
- resistance of *Listeria* species and *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from raw milk in farm bulk tanks. Food Control 34(1):121-5.
- Jensen A, Frederiksen W, Gerner-Smidt P. 1994. Risk factors for listeriosis in Denmark,
- 710 1989-1990. Scand J Infect Dis 26(2):171-8.
- Jin HH, Lee SY. 2007. Combined effect of aqueous chlorine dioxide and modified
- atmosphere packaging on inhibiting *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Listeria monocytogenes* in mungbean sprouts. J Food Sci 72(9):M441-M5.
- Jonczyk E, Klak M, Miedzybrodzki R, Gorski A. 2011. The influence of external factors
- on bacteriophages-review. Folia Microbiol 56(3):191-200.
- Jung DS, Bodyfelt FW, Daeschel MA. 1992. Influence of fat and emulsifiers on the
- efficacy of nisin in inhibiting *Listeria monocytogenes* in fluid milk. J Dairy Sci 75(2):387-93.
- Jung WY, Choi YM, Rhee MS. 2009. Potential use of supercritical carbon dioxide to
- decontaminate *Escherichia coli* O157:H7,*Listeria monocytogenes*, and
- *Salmonella typhimurium* in alfalfa sprouted seeds. Int J Food Microbiol 136(1):66-70.
- Kim C, Hung YC, Brackett RE, Lin CS. 2003. Efficacy of electrolyzed oxidizing water in
- inactivating *Salmonella* on alfalfa seeds and sprouts. J Food Protect 66(2):208-14.
- Kim HJ, Feng H, Kushad MM, Fan XT. 2006. Effects of ultrasound, irradiation, and
- acidic electrolyzed water on germination of alfalfa and broccoli seeds and
- *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7. J Food Sci 71(6):M168-M73.

- Patterson JE, Woodburn MJ. 1980. *Klebsiella* and other bacteria on alfalfa and bean sprouts at the retail level. J Food Sci 45(3):492-5.
- Peel M, Donachie W, Shaw A. 1988. Temperature-dependent expression of flagella of *Listeria monocytogenes* studied by electron-microscopy, SDS-page and Western blotting. J Gen Microbiol 134:2171-8.
- Penas E, Gomez R, Frias J, Vidal-Valverde C. 2009. Efficacy of combinations of high
- pressure treatment, temperature and antimicrobial compounds to improve the
- microbiological quality of alfalfa seeds for sprout production. Food Control
- 20(1):31-9.
- Piernas V, Guiraud JP. 1998. Control of microbial growth on rice sprouts. Int J Food Sci 874 Tech 33(3):297-305.
- Pierre PM, Ryser ET. 2006. Inactivation of *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7, *Salmonella*
- *typhimurium* DT104, and *Listeria monocytogenes* on inoculated alfalfa seeds with
- a fatty acid-based sanitizer. J Food Protect 69(3):582-90.
- Pirie JHH. 1927. A new disease of veld rodents.'Tiger River Disease'. Publ S Afr Inst Med Res 3(13):163-87.
- Pitt D, Aubin JM. 2012. Joseph Lister: Father of modern surgery. Can J Surg 55(5):E8- E9.

- Schmidt V, Nyfeldt A. 1938. Ueber Mononucleosis infectiosa und Meningoencephalitis. Acta Oto-Laryngol 26(6):680-8.
- Schoeller NP, Ingham SC, Ingham BH. 2002. Assessment of the potential for *Listeria*

monocytogenes survival and growth during alfalfa sprout production and use of

ionizing radiation as a potential intervention treatment. J Food Protect

65(8):1259-66.

Schwartz B, Broome C, Brown G, Hightower A, Ciesielski C, Gaventa S, Gellin B,

Mascola L, Listeriosis Study G. 1988. Association of sporadic listeriosis with

consumption of uncooked hot dogs and undercooked chicken. Lancet

332(8614):779-82.

- Schwartz B, Hexter D, Broome CV, Hightower AW, Hirschhorn RB, Porter JD, Hayes
- PS, Bibb WF, Lorber B, Faris DG. 1989. Investigation of an outbreak of
- listeriosis: new hypotheses for the etiology of epidemic *Listeria monocytogenes* infections. J Infect Dis 159(4):680-5.
- Seeliger HPR. 1988. Listeriosis History and actual developments. Infection 16:S80-S4.
- Shabala L, Budde B, Ross T, Siegumfeldt H, McMeekin T. 2002. Responses of *Listeria*
- *monocytogenes* to acid stress and glucose availability monitored by measurements
- of intracellular pH and viable counts. Int J Food Microbiol 75(1-2):89-97.
- Sharma M. 2013. Lytic bacteriophages: potential interventions against enteric bacterial
- pathogens on produce. Bacteriophage 3(2).
- Sikin AM, Zoellner C, Rizvi SSH. 2013. Current Intervention Strategies for the Microbial
- Safety of Sprouts. J Food Protect 76(12):2099-123.

989 sprouts: an international concern. Emerg Infect Dis 5(5):626.

Todd ECD, Notermans S. 2011. Surveillance of listeriosis and its causative pathogen,

Listeria monocytogenes. Food Control 22(9):1484-90.

- Tournas VH. 2005. Moulds and yeasts in fresh and minimally processed vegetables, and
- sprouts. Int J Food Microbiol 99(1):71-7.

 Twort FW. 1915. An investigation on the nature of ultra-microscopic viruses. Lancet 2:1241-3.

- [http://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/RetailFoodProtection/ucm078758.](http://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/RetailFoodProtection/ucm078758.htm)
- 1015 <u>htm</u>.
- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2007. Agency response letter GRAS
- notice no. GRN 000218. Maryland, US; 2007 [Accessed 2015 March 15]
- Available from:
- [http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticeInventory/](http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticeInventory/ucm153865.htm)
- [ucm153865.htm.](http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticeInventory/ucm153865.htm)
- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2011. Generally recognized as safe
- (GRAS). 2011 [Accessed 2015 March 15] Available from:
- [http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/.](http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/)
- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2012. Bad Bug Book, Foodborne
- Pathogenic Microorganisms and Natural Toxins. Second Edition. *Listeria*
- *monocytogenes*, pp. 99-103.; 2012 [Accessed 2014 May 7] Available from:
- [http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/UCM29762](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/UCM297627.pdf)
- [7.pdf.](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/UCM297627.pdf)
- [USFDA] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2013. Sprouter Northwest Expands
- Recall to All Varieties of Sprouts Products, Wheatgrass, and Pea Shoots with Any
- Best by Dates and All Sizes Because of Possible Health Risk. 2013 [Accessed
- 2015 April, 27] Available from:
- [http://www.fda.gov/Safety/Recalls/ucm337785.htm.](http://www.fda.gov/Safety/Recalls/ucm337785.htm)
- Vazquez-Boland JA, Kuhn M, Berche P, Chakraborty T, Dominguez-Bernal G, Goebel
- W, Gonzalez-Zorn B, Wehland J, Kreft J. 2001. *Listeria* pathogenesis and
- molecular virulence determinants. Clin Microbio Rev 14(3):584-+.

- Vivant AL, Garmyn D, Piveteau P. 2013. *Listeria monocytogenes*, a down-to-earth pathogen. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 3:10.
- Wade WN, Scouten AJ, McWatters KH, Wick RL, Demirci A, Fett WF, Beuchat LR.
- 2003. Efficacy of ozone in killing *Listeria monocytogenes* on alfalfa seeds and sprouts and effects on sensory quality of sprouts. J Food Protect 66(1):44-51.
- Watanabe R, Matsumoto T, Sano G, Ishii Y, Tateda K, Sumiyama Y, Uchiyama J,
- Sakurai S, Matsuzaki S, Imai S, Yamaguchi K. 2007. Efficacy of bacteriophage
- therapy against gut-derived sepsis caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in mice.
- Antimicrob Agents Ch 51(2):446-52.
- Weis J, Seeliger HPR. 1975. Incidence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in Nature. Appl 1050 Microbiol 30(1):29-32.
- Weiss A, Hammes WP. 2005. Efficacy of heat treatment in the reduction of *Salmonellae*
- and *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 on alfalfa, mung bean and radish seeds used for

sprout production. Eur Food Res Technol 221(1-2):187-91.

- Weller D, Andrus A, Wiedmann M, den Bakker HC. 2015. *Listeria booriae* sp. nov. and
- *Listeria newyorkensis* sp. nov., from food processing environments in the USA. 1056 Int J Syst Evol Micr 65(Pt 1):286-92.
- Wendlandt A, Bergann T. 1994. *Listeria monocytogenes* Occurrence in a factory for
- slaughtering, carving and meat processing. Fleischwirtschaft 74(12):1329-31.

- Wommack KE, Colwell RR. 2000. Virioplankton: Viruses in aquatic ecosystems. Microbiol Mol Biol R 64(1):69-+.
- Yang YS, Meier F, Lo JA, Yuan WQ, Sze VLP, Chung HJ, Yuk HG. 2013. Overview of
- Recent Events in the Microbiological Safety of Sprouts and New Intervention
- Technologies. Compr Rev Food Sci F 12(3):265-80.
- Ye J, Kostrzynska M, Dunfield K, Warrineri K. 2010. Control of *Salmonella* on
- Sprouting Mung Bean and Alfalfa Seeds by Using a Biocontrol Preparation Based
- on Antagonistic Bacteria and Lytic Bacteriophages. J Food Protect 73(1):9-17.
- Zhang Y, Yeh E, Hall G, Cripe J, Bhagwat AA, Meng J. 2007. Characterization of
- *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from retail foods. Int J Food Microbiol
- 1069 113(1):47-53.

1073 Figure 10. *L. monocytogenes* growth during alfalfa seed germination $(24.5 \pm 0.6 \degree C)$ 1074 compared between LPS (*Listeria monocytogenes* + P100 + alfalfa seeds), LS (*Listeria* 1075 *monocytogenes* + Alfalfa seeds), PS (P100 + alfalfa seeds) and S (Alfalfa seeds only) 1076 samples. Means with the same letter are not statistically different $(p < 0.05)$ from other 1077 samples groups tested at each time

1079 Figure 11*. L. monocytogenes* growth during alfalfa sprout storage (4 °C) compared

1080 between LPS (*Listeria monocytogenes* + P100 + alfalfa seeds), LS (*Listeria*

1081 *monocytogenes* + Alfalfa seeds), PS (P100 + alfalfa seeds) and S (Alfalfa seeds only)

1082 samples. Means with the same letter are not statistically different (*p* < 0.05) from other

1083 samples groups tested at each time point.

1084

1085 Figure 12. LISTEX™ P100 stability during seed germination and storage of alfalfa seed

- 1086 sprouts between LPS (*Listeria monocytogenes* + P100 + alfalfa seeds) and PS (P100 +
- 1087 alfalfa seeds). $*$ indicates no significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between LPS and PS
- 1088 samples at the given time point.